

Digitized by Google

VIVADA CHINTAMANI:

A SUCCINCT COMMENTARY

ON

THE HINDOO LAW

PREVALENT IN MITHILA.

FROM THE ORIGINAL SANSCRIT

0F

VACHASPATI MISRA.

BY

PROSSONNO COOMAR TAGORE,

MEMBER OF THE ASIATIC SOCIETY AND THE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL OF THE LIEUTENANT-GOVERNOR OF BENGAL

CALCUTTA:

PRINTED BY A. D'ROZARIO, AT THE ALBION PRESS.

1863.

CONTENTS.

-

•

•

.

PREFACE, followed by a TABLE OF SUCCESSION	i
INTRODUCTION	2

OF JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS.

HEADS OF DISPUTES	3
OF DEBT	4
RULES OF PLEDGE	21
OF SURETIES	27
Rules for realising Debts	32
OF DEPOSITS	50
SALE WITHOUT OWNERSHIP	56
CONCERNS AMONG PARTNERS	61
SUBTRACTION OF WHAT HAS BEEN GIVEN	72
VALID GIFTS	81
Invalid Gifts	82
NON-PAYMENT OF WAGES OR HIRE	85
PERSONS LIABLE TO SLAVERY	94
WAGES AND HIRE	97
OF PROSTITUTES	101
OF RENT AND HIBE	103
OF DISPUTES BETWEEN MASTER AND SERVANT	105

NON-PERFORMANCE OF AGREEMENTS	108
RESCISSION OF SALE AND PURCHASE	112
CONTENTS REGARDING BOUNDARIES	120
OF CULTIVATED AND UNCULTIVATED FIELDS	128
OF RENT OF LAND	130
OF THE PRESERVATION OF GRAIN	132
ABUSE	138
ASSAULT	144
LARCENY	157
PUNISHMENT OF KNOWN THIEVES	158
PUNISHMENT OF UNKNOWN THIEVES	166
OF HARBOURING THIEVES	177
Some particulars regarding Theft	179
ROBBERY AND OTHER VIOLENCE	181
Inquiry after Murderers	197
ADULTERY	199
PUNISHMENT OF ADULTERY, FORNICATION, AND RAPE	2 05
INTERCOURSE WITH PROSTITUTES AND UNNATURAL CRIMES.	2 18
OF WOMEN AND OTHER MATTERS	220
THE KING'S DUTIES	2 21
DISHONESTY OF DEBTORS	ib
FALSE EVIDENCE	ib
GIFT OR SALE WITHOUT OWNERSHIP	222
GIFT FOR RELIGIOUS PURPOSES	223
· .	•

THE LAW OF INHERITANCE.

OF PARTITION	223
OF PARTITION DURING THE LIFETIME OF THE FATHER	2 28
GRANDSONS OF DIFFERENT FATHERS	237
OF EFFEOTS UNDISTRIBUTED	241

OF A CO-HEIR RETURNING FROM ABBOAD	241
OF PERSONS EXCLUDED FROM INHERITANCE	242
PERFORMANCE OF CEREMONIES FOR BROTHERS AND SISTERS.	247
OF PROPERTY SUBJECT TO PARTITION	248
OF PROPERTY NOT SUBJECT TO PARTITION	249
SEPARATE PROPERTY OF WOMEN	256
SUCCESSION TO A WOMAN'S SEPARATE PROPERTY	266
ON THE DISTRIBUTION OF EFFECTS CONCEALED	270
DISTRIBUTION AMONG BROTHERS UNEQUAL IN CLASS	271
ON THE PARTICIPATION OF SONS BORN AFTER A PARTITION	275
Of Suns	271
ON THE SUCCESSION TO THE ESTATE OF ONE WHO LEAVES	
NO SON	2 88
RE-UNION OF SEPARATED PARCENERS	800
SUCCESSION TO PROPERTY OF RE-UNITED PARCENERS	302
RIGHTS OF FATHER AND SON IN ANCESTRAL PROPERTY	309
ON THE ASCERTAINMENT OF PARTITION	310
DUTIES AND RIGHTS OF DIVIDED PARCENERS	314

SUPPLEMENTARY.

On the Validity of Mortgages	315
GAMING WITH DICE AND WITH LIVING CREATURES.	317
PERORATION.	

PUNISHMENT 7	тне	SUPPORT	OF	LAW	31	1()
---------------------	-----	---------	----	-----	----	----	---

INDEX

0 r	NAMES	AND	MATTERS	i
------------	-------	-----	---------	---

TABLE OF SUCCESSION

ACCORDING TO THE

Mitakshara, Vivada Chintamani, Vivada Ratnakara, Smritisara, Vivada Chandra, Madana Parijata, Viramitrodaya, and other works,

PREVALENT IN THE WESTERN SCHOOLS, INCLUDING MITHILA.

ON THE ORDER OF SUCCESSION TO THE ESTATE OF A DECEASED PROPRIETOR.

I. WHEN sons, after the death of their father, make a Sons inherit division of the paternal estate, the *portion* deducted for the ^{equally.} eldest and other sons, as stated by MENU (Chap. IX. v. 112,) is not now authorised according to the *Mitakshara*, nor prohibited according to the *Vivada Ratnakara*.

II. The sons of the deceased proprietor, on the Sons inherit division of his estate, receive equal shares. If any of them per capita. die during the life-time of the deceased proprietor, the division being made according to the number of the sons, the per stirpes. living sons receive a share each, and the fatherless grandsons receive the shares of their respective fathers.

III. An adopted son is entitled to a fourth part of the Adopted son. share of a son begotten by the deceased proprietor.

IV. When brothers make a division, their sisters are Sisters. entitled to a fourth part of their shares.

V. When sons make a division, their mother and stepmother receive shares like their respective sons.

VI. The son of a Sudra by his maid servant receives Sudra's nahalf the share of his son by a legal wife. If the owner tural son, have no legal wife, and no daughter by her, or daughter's

TABLE OF SUCCESSION ACCORDING TO THE

son, such son becomes entitled to the whole. In default of sons, &c., and notwithstanding the existence of the legal wife, daughter, or daughter's son, he receives half.

Grandsons VII. When grandsons divide the estate of their grandrepresent their father, the division does not take place according to their fathers. number, but according to that of their fathers, that is, one of the sons leaving one son, another two, and a third three sons, the grandsons receive the shares of their respective fathers.

Grand- VIII. When grandsons divide the property of their mothers. grandfather, their grandmothers but not their mothers receive shares.

- Great grand-Nonse IX. According to the Mitakshara, great grandsons have no right to the property of the owner. But their right is mentioned in the Vivada Chintamani, Vivada Chandra, and Vivada Ratnakara.
 - Widow. X. If the proprietor die without being separated from his brothers, &c., or, having been separated, after re-uniting with them, the widow cannot get his property. But if he dio after separation or without re-union, she is entitled to it in default of nearer heirs.

Widow has XI. A widow, inheriting her husband's property, can enjoy it for life, but cannot sell or make a gift of it at her pleasure.

Woman's peculiar property. XII. Any property which a woman *inherits* is her stridhan, that is, peculiar property. Hence any property of her husband which she inherits shall, on her death, be received by the heirs of her peculiar property. But such property cannot, according to the Smritisara, be her stridhan. Hence the heirs of her husband shall receive it.

Son's property inheritod by the perty, such property becomes her stridhan. Hence the heirs mother is of her peculiar property get it. This rule also holds good stridhan.

Digitized by Google

WESTERN SCHOOLS, INCLUDING MITHILA.

in the case of the property of grandmothers, great grandmothers, &c.

In the case of the inheritance of daughters, the Daughters. XIV. unaffianced have precedence over the affianced. But there is no distinction according to the Mitakshara.

Daughters' XV. In the case of the inheritance of daughters' sons, sons inherit they do not get shares according to the number of their per capita. mothers. Each of them receives an equal share.

XVI. According to the Vivada Chintamani, in default of Daughter's the father of the owner, his daughter's son gets his property.

Daughters" XVII. According to NANDA PANDITA, in default of daughters. daughters' sons, daughters' daughters may get the property. But this does not coincide with the opinion expressed in the Mitakshara, &c.

XVIII. According to the same authority, in default of Uterine sisuterine brothers, uterine sisters become entitled to the property.

XIX. If a step-brother be re-united and a uterine brother Uterine and Step Brother. separated, each of them gets an equal share.

XX. Great grandsons are not mentioned in the Milak- Great grandshara, as having a right to the deceased owner's property. ing In default of brothers' sons, the grandmother, grandfather, Milatihara, do and the grandfather's sons and grandsons, and in their default, the great grandmother, great grandfather, and great grandfather's grandsons get the property. The right of seven generations of sapindas and fourteen generations of sakulyas has been mentioned. The great grandson is omitted in the Smritisara, from the list of sapindas, both from the texts quoted and the annotations.

XXI. The author of the Vivada Chintamani, like that of Great grandsons, scoordthe Mitakshara, says that seven generations of sapindas ing to the Viand fourteen of sakulyas may inherit. But the right of great wida Chinta-

sons, scoord-ing to the

Sapindas and Ba İnlyes.

TABLE OF SUCCESSION ACCORDING TO THE

sakubyas.

Sapindas and grandsons is mentioned in that work, where the right of supindas and sakulyas is spoken of. Thus four descendants may be entitled to property.

Great grandsons, sapindas and saku/yas, according to the Ratnekara.

XXII. According to the Vivada Ratnakara and NANDA PANDITA, three generations are called sapindas. Hence three generations from father to great grandfather and their descendants down to great grandsons are successively entitled. In their default, sakulyas, and so forth, get the property.

Maternal un-XXIII. The authors of the Vivada Chintamani and cles. Viramitrodaya have spoken of the right of maternal uncles, in default of sakulyas, where the right of bandhu is mention-But this does not coincide with the opinion of the ed. author of the Mitakshara.

Unchaste widow.

XXIV. An unchaste widow has no right to the estate of her husband, who, having been separated, did not re-unite with his co-heirs. But if she be merely suspected, she does not get the whole property, but is entitled to maintenance. So any woman suspected to be unchaste is not entitled to the whole property.

WESTERN SCHOOLS, INCLUDING MITHILA.

	Order of Succession.		Order of Succession.
Son	1 .	Step Brother's Son	14
Grandson	2	Step Sister's Son	nil.
Grand Daughter	nil.	Step Brother's Grandson	•
Great Grandson	8	Step Brother's Daughter	••• ,,
Great Grand Daughter	nil	Step Brother's Daughter's	Son. "
Son's Daughter's Son	••• ,,	Grandmother	15
Grandson's Daughter's Son	•• >>	Step Grandmother	nil.
Great Grandson's Son	•	Grandfather	16
Great Grandson's Grandson	••••	Father's Brother	17
Great Grandson's Great Gra	nd-	" Sister	nil.
son	*	" Step Brother	18 [.]
Wife	4	" Step Sister	nil.
Unmarried Daughter	5	" Brother's Son	19
Married Daughter	6	" Sister's Son	nil.
Daughter's Son	8	" Brother's Grandso	n •
Daughter's Grandson	••• nil.	" Brother's Daughte	r., "
Daughter's Daughter	••• ,,	" Brother's Daugh	ter's
Mother	9	Son	••• ,,
Step Mother	nil.	" Step Brother's Son	n 20
Father	10	" Step Sister's Son	nih
Brother	11	" Step Brother's Gra	and-
Sister	••• nil.	50n	•
Step Brother	12	" Step Brother's Day	ıglı-
Step Sister	nil.	ter	••• ,,
Brother's Son	13	" Step Brother's Da	ugh-
Sister's Son	••• nil.	ter's Son	••• ,,
Brother's Grandson	•	Great Grandmother	21
Brother's Daughter	••• ,,	Great Step Grandmother	nil.
Brother's Daughter's Son	••• ,,	Great Grandfather	22

HEIRS OF THE DECEASED PROPRIETOR.

• The asterisks in this table refer to the heritable right of seven generations of sopindas and fourieon of sakulas, which rests on the authority of the following passare of *Vrikat-Menn*, quoted in the *Midshara*:—" The relation of the sopindas, or kindred connected by the funeral oblation, ceases with the seventh person; and that of somanodatas, or lines connected by a common libetion of water, extends to the fourierenth degree, br, as some affirm, it reaches as far as the memory of birth and name extends, This is signified by goirs, or the relation of family name."



TABLE OF SUCCESSION ACCORDING TO THE

.

Order Successi	-
Grandfather's Brother 23	Grandfather's Great Grand-
" Sister nil	father 34
" Step Brother 24	Great Great Grandfather's
" Brother's Son 25	Brother 35
" Sister's Son … nil	Great Great Grandfather's
" Brother's Grand-	Step Brother 36
son •	Great Great Grandfather's
" Brother's Daugh-	Brother's Son 37
ter ,,	Great Great Grandfather's
" Brother's Daugh-	Brother's Grandson •
ter's Son nil	. Great Great Grandfather's
" Step Brother's Son 26	Step Brother's Son 38
Grandfather's Step Sister's	Great Great Grandfather's
Son ni	Step Brother's Grandson •
" Step Brother's	Grandfather's Great Great
Grandson *	Grandmother 39
" Step Brother's	Grandfather's Great Great
Daughter's Son. "	Step Grandmother nil
Great Great Grandmother, 27	Grunditurite a care
Great Great Step Mother ni	Ciminitie in the
Great Great Grandfather, 28	Granulatiler & Great Grand-
Great Grandfather's Brother. 29	father's Brother 41
Great Grandfather's Step	Grandfather's Step Brother 42
Brother 30	
Great Grandfather's Brother's	father's Brother's Son 43
80n 31	Grandfather's Great Grand-
Great Grandfather's Brother's	father's Brother's Grandson
Grandson	Grandfuther's Great Grand-
Great Grandfather's Step	father's Step Brother's Son. 44
Brother's Son 82	Grandfather's Great Grand-
Great Grandfather's Step	father's Step Brother's
Brother's Grandson	Grandson •
Grandfather's Great Grand-	Eighth Ascendant 45
mother 33	His Son 40
Grandfather's Great Step	Ilis Grandson 47
Grandmother ni	. Ilis Great Grandson 🔹 🔹 🖷

	Order of Succession.	Order of Succession.
Ninth Ascendant	48	Ilis Grandson 62
Ilis Son	49	His Great Grandson •
His Grandson	50	Fourteenth Ascendant 63
His Great Grandson	•	IIis Son 64
Tenth Ascendant	51	Ilis Grandson 65
IIis Son	52	llis Great Grandson •
His Grandson	53	Father's Father's Sister's Son. 66
His Great Grandson	•••	Father's Mother's Sister's Son. 67
Eleventh Ascendant	54	Father's Mother's Brother's Son 67
IIis Son	55	Mother's Father's Sister's Son. 68
Ilis Grandson	56	Mother's Mother's Sister's Son. 68
Ilis Great Grandson	*	Mother's Mother's Brother's Son 68
Twelfth	57	The owner's Father's Sister's
Ilis Son	58	Son
His Grandson	59	The owner's Mother's Sister's
His Great Grandson	•	Son . 69
Thirteenth Ascendant	60	The owner's Mother's Bro-
Ilis Son	61	ther's Grandson

WESTERN SCHOOLS, INCLUDING MITHILA.

ON THE ORDER OF SUCCESSION TO THE PECULIAR PROPERTY OF WOMEN.

I. ANY wealth, movable or immovable, which women Stridhan how receive or inherit, is their stridhan, that is, peculiar property, which they have the power to give away, sell, or dispose of, at their pleasure. But they have no right to dispose of the immovable property inherited from their husbands or other relations.

According to the Mitakshara and other works, the **I**ſ. son of a woman cannot inherit her peculiar property during dan. the life-time of her daughter. But according to the Vivada Ratnakara, her daughter and son have an equal right to her whole property, excepting nuptial gifts (purinaya) yautaca, &c., received from her father.

far heritable.

Who may inherit Ari-

TABLE OF SUCCESSION, &C.

Daughters' daughters remothers.

III. In the case of the succession of daughters' daughters, present their shares shall be determined according to the number of their mothers; in other words, if a daughter leave one drughter, and a second two, the grandmother's property shall be divided into two parts according to the number of the mothers. They who are not married have precedence over those who are.

The unmarriod exclude the married.

To the property of a woman, if married according to IV. the forms called Brahma, &c., in default of her sons and grandsons, her husband, and, in his default, his sapinda (kinsmen) have right; but, if married according to the forms called Asura, &c., her mother and father, and, in their default, her sapinda, (kinsmen).

Co-wife's ohildron.

V. According to the Madana Parijata, a co-wife's daughter or daughter's son is entitled to the wealth of a woman who dies leaving no children.

VI. In the Vivada Ratnakara mention is made of the Sister's son, right of the sister's son, husband's sister's sons, &c. to.

THE SEPARATE PROPERTY OF THE DECEASED HEIRS OF PROPRIETRESS.

		ler of cssion.			der of ccssion.
Unmarried Daughter	••	1	IIusband	••	٠
Barren Widowed Daughter	••	2	Husband's sapinda	••	*
Married Daughter		8 .	" Sister's Son	••	nil.
Daughter's Daughter	•••	4	Father	•••	٠
Daughter's Son	•••	5	Mother	•••	*
Son	•••	6	Mother's sapinda	•••	٠
Grandson	••	7	Brother	•••	nil.
Co-wife's Son	•••	nil.	Brother's Sons, &c.	•••	,,
Co-wife's Daughter		nil.	If she die unmarried, her	heir s	are :
" Grandson		nil.	Uterine Brother		1
" Daughter's Son		nil.	Mother	•••	2
" Great Grandson		nil.	Father	••	3

See Observation 1V.

VIVADA CHINTAMANI.

-00----

INTRODUCTION.

THAT goddess, who is the genetrix of the universe, emerging from the sea of milk, looks askance at the assembly of gods, perceives by the glances of one of them that he would wed her, and bends down her head through bashfulness. The god, moved by love, which gave rise to the desire of embracing, holds the goddess with his perspiring hand, whereon she smiles. May that god vouchsafe protection from destruction 1

Having prostrated himself before NARAYAN, and carefully studied the works styled Krito Kalpadruma, Párijáta, Ratnákara, and others, the author, VACHASFATI MISRA, compiles the work, entitled VIVADA CHINTAMANI.

OF JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS.

MENU says that (1) debt, on loans for Heads of disconsumption; (2) deposits, and loans for use; (3) sale without ownership; (4) concerns among partners; (5) subtraction of what has been given; (6) non-payment of wages or hire; (7) nonperformance of agreements; (8) rescission of sales and purchases; (9) disputes between master and scrvant; (10) contests as to boundaries; (11) assault; (12) slander; (13) larceny; (14) robbery and other violenco; (15) adultery; (16) altercations between man and wife, and their respective duties; (17) the law of inheritance; and (18) gaming with dice and with living creatures; are the eighteen heads of disputes.*

• Institutes, Chapter VIII. v. 4-8.

putes, accord-

are What comprised un-

ON this subject NAREDA says :-- "What may der this head. or may not be lent; by whom, to whom, and in what form ; with the rules for delivery and receipt, are held to be comprised under the title of Debt."

Loan on interest defined.

A loan on interest (cusida) signifies that "contract of delivery and receipt, which is made with a view to gain by the lender, on the principal sum while remaining with the debtor, and moneylenders derive their subsistence from it."

"The principal sum while remaining with the Explanation. debtor" means, while it remains or continues with the debtor.

> "Gain" signifies interest, for which the principal is delivered and received.

Consequently, that property, which affords a gain Debt defined. stipulated, in consideration of its remaining tor a time with the debtor, is called a debt.

Loans upon When money is lent without charging interest, and without money-lenders cannot get their subsistence. interest. But a loan upon interest and one without it have the same signification, so far as the repayment of them is concerned. What has been said here will be evident from the 4th Chapter of NYAYA.*

^{*} The Author refers to his work under that title.

In matters of debt, that which is given, or something of the same species, is to be received back. employed commerce Money employed for commercial purposes cannot, debt. therefore, be called debt.

VRIHASPATI says that capitalists fearlessly receive four or eight times the capital from abject or indigent persons. Debt is, on this account, called cusidá (from cu, meaning abject, and sidá, indigent.)

The word or, in the preceding sentence, does not Rate of inmay limit the receipt of interest to four or eight times vary. the capital. (The rate may be increased or decreased according to circumstances.)

KATYAYANA says, none should lend any wealth to be lent to women, åc. to women, minors, or slaves; for what is given to them can never be got back.

VRIHASPATI speaks of the means of realising a debt. Lenders should deliver the things lent on taking beneficial pledges, or pledges to be merely the payment of kept in deposit with them, which may be equal in value to the capital and interest; on getting honest sureties; on agreements, or before witnesses.

The meaning of the above is that recourse should For the conbe had to any of these, by which the confidence of fidence of the creditor. the lenders may be established.

A beneficial pledge signifies a pledge which may Beneficial pledge defined. be made use of, according to agreement.

Why money - ia termed

Why debt is termed cusids.

Wealth not

Debt how to be realised.

Security for dobi



Pledge kept in deposit. A pledge to be merely kept in deposit means a pledge that cannot be used, according to agreement, such as gold, &c.

This, then, is the difference between a beneficial pledge and a pledge to be merely kept in deposit.

Rate of intorost, according to Monu.

MENU speaks of the rate of interest which should be taken. The rate of an eightieth per cent. per month, allowed by VASISHTHA, should be adopted. "Hear the interest for a money-lender declared in the words of VASISHTHA: five mashas for twenty palas he may claim and should receive each month : hereby the law is not violated."

According to Vrihaspati. VRIHASPATI says the twentieth of a pala is called masha, which weighs 16 ratis. Therefore a pala equals four mohars (80 ratis being equal to one mohar); or 20 palas yield an interest of one mohar (which represents an eightieth part of 20 palas.) Thus an eightieth part of the capital becomes the interest.

Exomplifi- According to MENU, 100 mohars yield an interest of one mohar and 20 ratis per month, that is, one and a quarter mohar becomes the interest.

This rate presumes soounty. This rate of interest is allowed, if pledge be given; for YAGNYAWALKYA has particularly ordained an interest of an eightieth part of the principal, where a pledge has been delivered.

VYASA has also declared monthly interest to be "an eightieth part of the principal, if a pledge ing to Vyaea. be given ; an eighth part is added, if there be mercly a surety; and if there be neither pledge nor surety, two in the hundred may be taken:" consequently, a monthly interest of 90 ratis may be taken, if 20 palas of gold be lent.

This rate of interest may be taken from Brah-This exigible from mins, and not from the members of any other class. Brahmins.

MENU confirms this, in the following text :-- "A As is also declared by money-lender may take two in the hundred from Menu. a priest; three from a soldier; four from a merchant; and five from a mechanic."

By taking two in the hundred, per month, a Two per cont. per month is money-lender does not become a sinner. allowable.

Even if there be a pledge, the rate of interest Bate of invaries according to the direct order of the classes. the order of the Hence, the interest, charged to a soldier shall be one and a half, to a merchant twice, and to a mechanic two and a half times the amount taken from a priest.

When a merchant takes interest at the rate Rates of inallowed by MENU, he does not violate the law. for merchanta, Nor does a pricet, soldier, or mechanic do so, if he priceta, others. take it in time of distress.

terost varies in four classes.

and

Rate of interest accord-

rate

Higher rates of interest prohibited.

If any one take interest exceeding the abovementioned rate, this, being oppressive towards debtors, makes him a sinner.

Exceptions, for merchants

If any person, except a merchant, take the and exigencies. abovementioned rate, or at a rate exceeding it, when there is no distress, he becomes a sinner.

Rato of monthly ining to Harida.

HARIDA ordains a monthly interest of eight terest, accord- panas for twenty-five kahonas. Thus the principal becomes double after four years and two months.

Declared to be lawful.

The abovementioned rate being consonant to the rules of justice, does not make its receiver a sinner.

On the principal being terest coases.

The principal being thus doubled after fifty doubled, in- months, it can no longer produce interest.

Various sorts of interest.

With reference to the various sorts of interest. VRIHASPATI says it is of four kinds; some say five. and others six. Correctly learn the following expressions : cayica (corporal); calica (periodical); chacravridhi (compound interest); carita (stipulated); sichdvridhi (daily interest); and bhagalabha (interest by enjoyment.)

Cayica (corporal) defined by Vyasa.

VYASA defines *cdyicd* to be "that interest which arises from the body of a pledged female quadruped to be milked, or a male animal to work or carry burdens."

And by Na-NAREDA defines cdyicd to be "interest at the rate reda. of one pana, or of half or other fraction of a pana," daily "paid without diminishing the principal."

Pana-bajya, In the work of HALAYUDHA, pana-bajya is according Halayudha read instead of one or half para.

The meaning is that as long as the pana, that is, terest exigible the principal, is not paid, interest may be charged even for a comtury. even for a hundred years.

The interpretation of cayica by VYASA has been Another explanation of included in bhagalabha and is different from that onyion. by NAREDA.

Calica means interest paid monthly in full. Chacravridhi means compound interest.

KATYAYANA defines carita to be that "interest fined. which has been specially and voluntarily promised by the debtor, in a time of extreme distress, above the allowed rate." Such interest, if voluntary, must be paid.

If the promise of the debtor be obtained otherwise, that is, by compulsion, such interest is not to Linding. be paid.

VRIHASPATI defines sikhavridhi to be interest "received at the close of each day, or hair-interest, Vrihaspati. because it grows daily like hair." As hair cannot grow when the head is cut off, so interest cannot increase, if the principal be paid. Hence it is called sikhavridhi.

Bhagalabha means "the rent or use and occupation of a mortgaged house or the produce of a mortgaged field. It is also called adhibhaga and includes the hire of other things."

Bhagalabha defined.

Promise on

compulsion not

Sikhavridhi defined

by

Oalica do-Ined.

Cheoravridhi defined.

de-

Carita

Monthly in-

9

Digitized by Google

B

Katyayana's KATYAYANA confirms this by saying that adhidefinition. bhaga means a loan "made on an agreement that the whole use and profit of a pledge shall be the only interest."

Cayica means annual, and calica, monthly in-Interpretations. terest. Chacravridhi signifies compound interest.

> By carita is meant that interest which the debtor promises to pay in a time of distress.

Sikhavridhi means daily interest.

Bhagalabha means the enjoyment of the labor of slaves, and so forth.

Sikhevridhi. and oayica, an bhagalabha may be receivod till the principal is paid.

VRIHASPATI says that the 5th, 1st, and 6th kinds of interest, namely sikhavridhi, cayica, and bhagalabha, may be received by the creditor as long as the principal is not paid.

In these three cases, more than twice the prin-

cipal may be taken, if it remain unpaid for a long

More than twice the principal may be taken.

Loaps, adhi-

Debt, secured by a written contract, by whom to be pail. Case of a dibt secured by a pledge.

time. All sorts of loans except adhibhaga are to be ed, by whom repaid only by the debtor, his son, and his son's to be repaid.

eon. YAGNYAVALKYA says on the same subject :-- "A

debt, secured *merely* by a written contract, shall be discharged, from a moral and religious obligation, only by three persons, the debtor, his son, and his son's son; but a pledge shall be enjoyed until actual payment of the debt by any heir of any degree."

Consequently, even the great-grandson of a debtor is liable for a debt secured merely by a pledge.

VISHNU says that "even if the highest interest, or that equal to the principal sum, have accrued, the creditor shall not be forced to restore a pledge placed in his hands, unless there have been a special agreement."

If the creditor bind himself by special agreement to restore the pledge, when the highest interest has accrued, he shall then return it to the debtor.

YAGNYAVALKYA says : "when a pledge has been given, which the creditor promised to return on the creditor prodebt being doubled, then, surely, the interest having turn it on the equalled the principal, the pledge must be restored on the double sum having been paid, or having to it. been obtained from the use of the pledge."

This text also is applicable where "creditors also applicable promise to return the pledge on the debt being to a promise to return doubled;" because both this text and that of pledge on debt VISHNU may, consistently with brevity, be referrible to the same source.

Of the six kinds of interest, four should not be received "beyond the year."

GAUTAMA confirms this by saying that no lender should receive compound, annual, monthly, and support. stipulated interest, beyond the year, unless there be some other agreement.

Four kinds of interest not

being doubled.

to be taken boyond the year.

Geutama's in

A pledge not to be restored, oven if the intorest equal the principal, without special agreement.

Pledge to be restored if there be 8.0 agreement.

Pledge to be restored, if the mised to repayment of principal, with interest equal

This text is

the

.

Annual interest, as explained Naroda, may long as the principal remains unpaid.

by interest may be received as long as the principal be received as remains unpaid, is applicable to the annual interest, as explained by NAREDA, for the principal is not But annual interest, as explained by diminished. GAUTAMA, is that interest which is derived from enjoying the bodily labor of slaves, &c., because this meaning coincides with that given by VYASA. Consequently, pledged cows, &c., may be used for a year. These four sorts of inter-But these four sorts of interest may be received, if est may be received, accord- there be an agreement, as has been declared by ing to agree-GAUTAMA.

The text of VRIHASPATI, to the effect that annual

The receipt of interest principal, &c., pable, is allowēd.

ment

The receipt of interest more than twice the double of the amount of the principal by using the pledge, of though oul compound interest, and of interest on principal which has been doubled by interest, is culpable, but For VRIHASPATI says it is merely is allowed. reprehensible to receive interest, when it has become more than double the principal, compound interest. and interest on principal which has been doubled by interest.

The receipt interest of principal, culpable.

In the Grihasta Ratnakara it is stated that it double of the is culpable to receive interest more than twice the principal, compound interest, and the principal with the addition of the interest in the shape of principal.

Debts without agreement

KATYAYANA speaks of debts contracted without as to interest. an express agreement as to interest.

If a man go to a different country without pay-A debt not paid on demand by one ing a debt, in spite of the demand of his creditor,

the debt will be liable to interest after three months who goes to a distant councommencing from the date of demand. Debt is try, liable to interest after here understood to be contracted expressly without three months. stipulation of interest.

OF DEBT.

If a person go to another country without paying for an article which he has purchased on credit, for on demand when to bear the price of it will bear interest after six months. interest and at Consequently, in cases of deposit, of a balance of interest, of the price of articles, and of that of articles purchased but not delivered, interest at the rate of five panas per cent. will be charged after six months, if they be not paid on demand.

For it is The rate fixed is payable This rate shall be paid by Sudras. proper to render this consistent with the provision of by Sudras. five per cent. interest on Sudras (mentioned above.)

KATYAYANA says, interest cannot be charged on No interest, what is lent out of friendship, as long as it is not ed, ou what is demanded.

If such loan be not discharged on demand, five per Such a loan, not discharged cent. interest is to be charged thereon. "Friend- on demand. bears five per ship" here signifies confidence. cent. interest.

Such interest shall be charged after three months. For the text of KATYAYANA, above adverted to, after should be maintained in consistency with this.

what rate.

Price roods not paid

unless demandlent out of friendship.

> Such interest chargeable three months.

Interest on what is lent ship can be six months.

NAREDA says, interest on what is lent out of out of friend- friendship cannot be taken without special agreecharged after ment. But, even without it, interest can be charged on such a loan after six months. "Lend" here means to deposit.

This text does not contradict any.

This text does not, therefore, contradict what has been said above about the charge of interest after three months.

Interest shall be chargof an article not returned, months. months.

If a person go to a different country without ed, on the price returning an article which he borrowed for use, borrowed but interest shall be charged on its price after six

Going to a different country is not essential.

Going to a different country is not essential; for even if a person, residing in his country, do not return any article borrowed for use, when it has been repeatedly demanded, interest becomes due, even if he be unwilling to pay it and there be no agreement to that effect.

Interest to be charged after if the price be

Otherwise after one your.

The meaning of the above is that if, under frivolsix months, ous excuses, the aforesaid price be not paid and the not paid, do. deposit returned on the lender or depositor's demand, interest shall be charged thereon after six months; and if they are not fraudulently detained, interest shall be charged after one year.

On what, interest cannot be without prement.

Without previous agreement, interest cannot be charged charged on the price of articles, salary, deposit, fine, vious agree- money received by force or fraud, idly-promised gift, and wager laid in jest.

SAMVARTA says that the peculiar property of The peouwomen, profit, deposit, doubtful money, and money due from a surety on account of his not producing yield interest, the debtor, &c., cannot yield interest without previous vious agreement.

Here the peculiar property of women is under-Peculiar stood to be spent from intimacy between the parties. from intimacy.

Having referred to husbands, sons, and so forth, he adds that, if any of them enjoy the peculiar property of women against the consent of the owner, against conhe shall be compelled to return it with interest turn it with and to pay a penalty. But if he do so with the penalty. permission of the proprietress, through affection, the payment of the principal, when he may have ment of means, will be sufficient.

VYASA says, money paid by a surety; pledged property, if used when it ought not to have been surety, used ; money not received by the creditor, when interest.

The text of KATYAYANA, that interest can be charged Interest can be charged on on the price of an article, or on deposit, even if the price of an article, 40. there be no previous agreement, holds good, when when they are not paid. they (the price and deposit) are not paid by persons

Idly-promised gift means a gift promised not for Idly mised gift dereligious purposes. flued.

OF DEBT.

liar property of WOIDOL ko. cannot without premont.

property spent

He who en-

joys the peculiar property of women sent, must reinterest and If enjoyed with permission, the pay-- the principal will be sufficient.

Money, naid by ke., cannot bear

it is willingly tendered by a debtor, who is his dependent; fines; earnest money; and idly-promised gifts ; cannot bear interest.

When a surety has to pay interest, he shall not A surety is not to pay inhave to pay more than twice the principal. more than twice the

Money, not received by a creditor. should be kept with a third person, &c.

According to YAGNYAVALKYA, if a creditor do not receive money tendered by a debtor, it should be kept with a third person and should not be charged with interest.

Interest cannot be taken from the day he is put in duress.

GAUTAMA says that interest cannot be taken from a from a debtor debtor from the day on which he is put in duress.

Maximum limit of interest.

GAUTAMA speaks of the maximum limit of interest :--- "The principal can be doubled by length of time only."

Interest, amounting to more double ed.

"Length of time" means that even when the time than for trebling the principal arrives, interest, amountthe principal, can- ing to more than double the principal, cannot be not be receiv- received.

The rule is applicable in the case of gems, applicable in the case of jewels, &c. gems, &o.

terest

principal.

MENU particularly says that, when interest is According to Menu, interreceived at one time, it should never exceed the est, received at one time, candouble of the principal. But interest on rice, grain, not exceed the double of the the hair of animals, except the wool of sheep and principal, and on rice, &c., beasts of burden, cannot exceed five times the five times the **principal** principal.

If the principal and interest be received at one When interest may be time, the interest on jewels, and so forth, may double be double, and, on rice, and so forth, five times the ple of the principal. principal. But if they be not received at once, the interest may be greater.

This is also the opinion stated in the Ratnakara, This is a seconding This is also to as expressed in the aforesaid interpretation put by the Batnakara. NAREDA on cayica.

Interest on wool cannot exceed five times the wool principal; for KATYAYANA has said that jewels, exceed pearls, coral, gold, silver, plough-shares, spun silk, cipal. and wool, cannot bear interest more than double the principal.

GAUTAMA says, interest on pashupaja, hair, land, and beasts of burden, cannot exceed five times the cannot exceed principal.

Pashupaja means milk and other produce of defined. kine, except clarified butter. For, according to KATYAYANA, all kinds of oil, wine, clarified butter, gur, and salt, yield interest eight times the principal.

VRIHASPATI says, gold yields interest twice, clothes Gold yields and utensils of metal, except those of gold and the principal,

Interest on cannot five times the prin-

Interest on pashupaja, &r., five times the principal.

Pashupaja

and

silver, thrice; and rice and other products, beasts of burden, and hair, four times the principal.

Interest of fluids and female beasts.

VISHNU says, fluids yield interest eight times the principal. The offspring of female beasts of burden constitute the interest thereof.

VRIHASPATI says, vegetables yield interest five Interest on vegetables, seeds, salt, ac. times; seeds and sugar-cane, six times; salt, oil-seeds, gur, wine, and honey, eight times the principal.

These rules hold good even if the aforesaid articles These rules always hold remain for over. good.

Interest of eight times the plicable as re-

Interest amounting to eight times the principal principal is ap- on fluids is applicable as regards salt, &c., for this is gards salt, &c. provided for in express terms.

Interest on fluids, gold, rice, &o., aceording to Vasishtha.

VASISHTHA says, fluids other than salt bear interest three times the principal. Gold bears interest twice, rice and juices as well as flowers, fruits, &c., thrice, and what is sold by weight, eight times the principal.

Interest of eight times the applicable as of gold.

The last mentioned rate is not applicable in the principal is not case of articles made of gold, for a separate provitoarticles made sion has been made as to them.

Grass, wood, without an that effect.

VRIHASPATI says that grass, wood, bricks. to, do not jield interest, thread, and "substances from which wine or agreement to spirits are extracted," betel, bones. leather. weapons, flowers, and fruits, do not yield interest, unless there be an agreement to that effect. If they be taken for use, interest must be paid.

It is for this reason that KATYAYANA has ordained interest on plough-shares, spun silk, and hair, twice a the principal ; and VASISIITHA has allowed interest on flowors, socids, and fruits, three times the principal.

The various rates of interest on rice that have been already mentioned, are on account of difference in price.

Therefore, when rice is lent, it bears interest twice the principal, if its market price fall after a fresh crop. If the price fall still lower, the interest may be three times, and so on till it be five times the principal.

This gradual increase of interest is applicable in the case of debt in general, for there is no fixed time for charging the various sorts of interest.

HARITA says that rice which has been lent yields interest double of the principal. It may be thrice.

MENU has ordained interest according to the price. The rate fixed by navigators, travellers, and those who know the seasons and the peculiar institutions of countries, shall obtain. Knowing that shipments yield greater profit, they fix a larger interest.

HABITA has also treated of this subject.

According to some, one kahana shall yield interest of one pana per month.

Interest must be paid, if they be taken for use.

Interest on ploughshares, &c., is twice, and on flowers, &c., three times the principal.

The various rates of interest on rice are owing to difference in price.

How interest on rice rice from twice to five times the principal.

Gradual increase of intercet is applicable in the case of debt in general.

4

Rice yields interest double of the principal.

Menu has ordained interest according to price.

One kahana yields intercet at one pand per month.

Naroda do-NAREDA has likewise declared this rule to be preolares this to be the universal valent throughout the world. rulo. according to the peculiar usages of places, may be

different.

Interest varies from twice the principal, usege.

Interest varies from twice, thrice, and four times to eight times to eight times the principal, according to the peculiar according to usages of different countries.

The rates, fixed

In what the knowledge of peculiar usages consists.

Knowledge of the seasons and peculiar usages of seasons and countries consists in a knowledge of the fact, that of countries four times the quantity of an article, to be got before a fresh crop, may be obtained after it at the same price, &c.

The provision of interin the direct classes.

It is said in the Ratnakara that the provision est at twice the of interest at twice the principal, &c., shall take effect principal, &c., shall take effect in the direct order of the classes. The meaning may order of the be that interest, amounting to twice the principal, shall be charged, within two or three months on grain, not freed from husk, and thrice on prepared grain and so on.

Porsons of Interest of one pana on one kahana per month mixed parentage to pay is charged on persons born of parents of different interest of one pana on one classes.

Bice may yield interest times the principal.

Experienced persons say that rice may yield thrice or five interest thrice or five times the principal, if the debt be of long standing.

RULES OF PLEDGE.

NAREDA says, what may be kept in one's possession, so that he may be its owner for a time, is called pledge. It is of two kinds, namely, a pledge be that is to be released within a specified time, and through a pledge that is to be retained as long as the debt the pledgee, he forfeits interis not liquidated. The rule regarding it is that, if est. the pledge be disfigured, or injured, through the negligence of the pledgee, he forfeits interest.

" Disfigured" means broken, &c.

" Injured" signifies spoiled.

KATYAYANA says, a pledgee forfeits interest by A pleagee interest, foite interest, using a pledge that was to be only held in deposit. If a beneficial pledge be spoiled by use, interest shall be forfeited.

MENU says the use of the pledge (adhi) exempts it from interest.

The use of such pledge, as is to be released after a certain time, is the cause of its bearing no interest.

Injury to the pledge is another cause of its the pledge is being exempt from interest. Hence, if a pledge another cause become useless through the carelessness of the exempt pledgee, it shall be exempt from interest.

Pledge defined.

If the pledge injured the nogligence

Interpretations.

A pledgee forpledge that was to be only held in deposit.

Use of the pledgeexempts it from interest.

Use of the pledge is the cause of its besting no intorest.

from

RULES OF PLEDGE.

An imprudent man using a pledge without the interest.

Katyayana.

If an imprudent man use a pledge without the permission of the pledger, he shall forfeit half the of the pledger, interest and shall thereby be absolved from the sin forfeiting half consequent upon such use.

According to KATYAYANA says, if a person cause a living pledge to work without the permission of the pledger and against the will of the pledge, he shall forfeit the gain derived from such work or interest.

who He causes a living pledge to work feit the whole interest.

If a person cause a living pledge to work against his will, he shall forfeit the whole interest. But against his will, shall for- if the pledge voluntarily work, half the interest shall be forfeited.

The pledgee shall forfeit the whole in- use a pledge to be only held in deposit. terest, if he use a pledge, &o.

If a pledge unless pledgee be blameless,

According to Vrihaspati.

NAREDA says, if a pledge be lost, the principal be lost, the principal shall be forfeited. But if it be so by the act of be forfeited,

The pledgee shall forfeit the whole interest, if he

the God or of the king, the principal shall not be forfeited.

VRIHASPATI says, if a pledge be wholly spoiled by use, the principal shall be forfeited. Where a valuable pledge is spoiled, the pledgee must satisfy the pledger.

Where these two rules are applicable.

These two rules are applicable in the case of loans bearing no interest.

VYASA says, if pledged gold, &c., be spoiled through the negligence of the pledgee, on the principal and interest being paid to him, the price of the spoiled pledge is to be taken from him.

VRIHASPATI says, where a pledge is spoiled by the act of God or of the king, a second pledge is to be given or the debt liquidated.

NAREDA says, if a pledge, carefully kept, become useless in course of time, a second pledge is to be become useless given or the debt liquidated.

VISIINU SAYS if a pledger mortgage pledged land, Punishment of even if it be equal in area to a gocharma, he shall receive bodily punishment.

If the land be smaller than a gocharma, the pledger shall be fined 16 mohars.

The meaning of the above is that the first pledge is valid, and the subsequent ones inadmissible.

A similar opinion is maintained in the Parijata, Ratnakara, Smritisara, &c. Hence, if a pledger and by other sell his property, there can be no objection.

VRIHASFATI defines gocharma to be a piece of land, the produce of which can maintain a single defined. individual for a year. It may be a little larger or smaller.

If pledged rold. £0., spoiled through the negligence of the pledgee, the price of the aame is to be taken from him.

Where pledge is spoiled without the pledgee being in fault, a second pledge is to be given, ka.

If a pledge, carefully kopt, in course of time, a second pledge is to be given, &c.

a pledger who mortgages pledged land.

The first pledge is valid, and not the subsequent ones.

The opinion corroborauthorities.

Gocharma

RULES OF PLEDGE.

In contests as to second pledge, occutitle.

In contests about a second pledge, that pledgee who shall prove his occupation of the land without pation of the without force, shall gain the cause. If it be occupied by both at the same time, both shall get it. This rulo also holds good in the case of gift or sale.

This is generally the case.

If the evidence on both the case shall casting lots.

If the evidence on behalf of both the parties be sides be equal, equally strong, their claims shall be decided by be decided by casting lots.

If a pledge die, or be through the a third person, is to be given.

KATYAYANA says, if a living pledge die or be made made useless useless through the carelessness of a person other carelessness of than the pledgee, the pledger shall give another another pledge and shall not be released from debt.

If pledged oows, &o, be accidentally destroyed, the be lost.

If pledged cows, &c., be accidentally destroyed, the principal shall be lost. This is according to the principal shall practice among persons of good manners.

The pledge to be sub-mitted to the king on the death of the pledger.

If the pledger die, the pledgee shall submit the pledge to the king.

The pledge is to be pub-licly sold.

The pledge is to be publicly sold. The pledgee taking his principal and interest, the balance shall be deposited with the king.
VRIHASPATI says, where a house or land has been If a house or lands be pledged for the use of the pledgee for a specified pledged the use of the time, neither the pledgee will be competent to pledgee for a specified time, receive the money nor the pledger to take his proneither pledgee can reperty, unless the time expire. When the time has ceive money nor the pledgpassed, the pledgee may receive his principal, and ~ property, till the pledger get back his property. the time expire.

But the property may be released and the money Except by mutual CODtaken by mutual consent, before the time expires. sont.

MENU says, a pledge, even if it remain for ever, A pledge, even if it recannot be sold or made nesargo, that is, pledged, main for ever, by the pledger, for a larger sum of money, with ac. another person.

According to IIALAYUDHA, nesargo means gift. Nesargo de-fined to be gift. Therefore, gift of a pledge cannot be made.

The conclusion is that a pledge cannot be mortpledge A cannot gaged or sold.

bo mortgaged or sold.

of

Others explain the above passage in the follow-Another ering way. Pledgees, having no proprietary right, the passage. cannot make a gift of, or sell, the pledge to another. Therefore, formal prohibition is superfluous. When the time for the release of the pledge is specified. the debtor, having then no power over it, is not competent to make a gift of, or sell it to another.

for

the

take his

RULES OF PLEDGE.

The sale of The rule, that the sale of a pledge becomes valid, a pledge in what one va- is to be applied to such cases only in which the lid. time for the release of the pledge is not specified.

The remark that pledged proporty, unless A pledge if not released, may be given released, cannot be made a gift of, or sold, has no away or sold. foundation.

The foregoing passage of ed to be appli-cable to beneficial plodges.

It is in a manner mentioned in the Kalpataru Menu suppose that the foregoing passage from MENU is applicable to beneficial pledges.

> But it may, for a similar reason, be applied to property kept in deposit and pledged without any agreement.



OF SURETIES.

VRIHASPATI says, sages have mentioned four Four kinds of surveises in the kinds of surctices in the system of jurisprudence : system of jurissurety for appearance, for honesty, for paying a debt, and for delivering the debtor's effects.

prudence.

The fourth is for such articles as are taken as The fourth is for such artiloan for use, and consequently for cases in which does as taken as the surety undertakes to recover a thing taken for use. by a person. Therefore, the fourth is for return only and not for payment. But money is to be Difference bepaid by a surety of the third kind. This then is the and difference between the third and fourth kinds of surety.

SAMPRADYA, reading the above text in a different The fourth manner, thus explains the fourth:-The property of Sempredya. the debtor is to be given to the creditor.

The first surety declares he will produce the The first suredebtor; the second vouches for the debtor's trustthe worthiness; the third undertakes to pay for the ac. debtor; and the fourth to recover the article taken.

The first two surctics shall liquidate the debts with interest, if the debtors fail to pay.

The last two sureties, as well as their sons (after them,) shall pay the debt.

tween the third fourth kinds of surety.

8.76

loan

ty declares he produce debtor.

The first two surctics shall liquidate the debts with intorest.

The last two enrotice an t their sons shall pay the debts.

SURETIES.

Katyayana speaks of suresettlement of differences.

KATYAYANA has made mention of sureties even for ties for the settlement of differences, for the observance of oaths, &c.

> This being one of the aforesaid four kinds, the number of sureties is therefore four.

Promises to pay, &c., require surety.

He says dan (promise to pay,) uposthan (promise to produce the debtor,) confidence, settlement of differences, and observance of oaths, require sureties.

Responsibility of sureties.

If those who have given sureties do not act properly, the latter shall be responsible.

The son of a surety when not obliged to pay the debt.

If a surety speak of a dishonest man as honest or cannot produce a debtor, he, but not his sons, shall pay the debt.

YAGNYAVALKYA confirms this by saying that, Yagnyavalkya confirms this. when a surety, who vouches for the trustworthiness of a man or undertakes to produce the debtor, dies, his sons shall not be obliged to pay the debt.

Even the sons of a surety for payment shall be The sons of a surety for payment liable liable to pay the money. for money.

If there be no act of God or of the king, and the surety A when to pay time for the appearance of the debtor expire, the the debt. surety shall pay the debt.

Digitized by Google

If, after the time for producing the debtor has expired on account of the act of God or of the dobt, king, the surcty carelessly refrain from producing duce him at a time when there is no act of God or of the king to prevent it, or if the debtor die, the surety shall pay the debt.

KATYAYANA confirms this in the following words. When a surety cannot produce the debtor at the proper place, and at the appointed time, when there is no act of God or of the king, he shall pay the debt.

If, on the expiration of the appointed time, the is to pay the debt, if the debtor cannot be produced, the surety shall pay debt, This rule holds good when the debtor produced the debt. dies.

If a person be surety for appearance, by taking a pledge, his sons also shall pay the debt.

This is confirmed by the following : If a creditor The rule concan prove that a person has become surety for appearance by taking a pledge, the sons of the surety also shall pay the debt.

The sons of the surety shall pay the principal the surety shall only and not interest.

VYASA confirms it by saying that, when a person pays the debt of his grandfather and the son of a surety pays money due by the latter, both of them shall only pay an amount equal to the debt, but their sons shall not be liable to pay such debt. This is fixed.

The surety is to pay the if ho neglect to prothe debtor.

Katyayana confirms this.

debtor be not at the appointed time. When the

The surety

sons of a surely for appearance are to pey the debt.

firmed.

The sons of pay the principal only.

Vyasa confirms this.

SURETIES.

Responsibility of several sureties.

YAGNYAVALKYA says that, in the case of several sureties, a debt shall be paid by them according to their respective shares. The creditor shall be competent to realise his debt from any of them, should there be a condition to that effect.

A creditor may realise his of the sureties. such an agreement.

If a creditor, at the time of lending money, debt from any stipulate that he will realise his debt from any of if there be the sureties according to his option, he shall be competent to do so.

Sureties may have time for the debtor.

Sureties may have time for making inquiries inquiring after after the debtor.

Vrihaspati confirms this.

VRIHASPATI confirms this by saying that creditors shall give the surety time for instituting inquiries after the absconding debtor.

The time varies accordtance of places.

The time is to vary from fifteen days to a month ing to the dis- and a half according to the distance of places.

A debt, due by surety A surety shall not be harassed. A should not be him, is to be realised by mild measures. harassed.

If a surety A surety, who is forced to pay a debt, shall get be forced to pay a dobt, he its double after a month and a half (from the shall get its double. debtor.)

After a month and a half, incrue.

After that period, interest shall accrue, even torest shall so- if there be no previous agreement, and the principal shall be doubled in due time. It cannot be doubled till after a month and a half.

SURETIES.

Any expense that a surety incurs for the debtor, Any expense by shall be paid by the latter.

surety, the shall be paid by the debtor.

KATYAYANA confirms this by saying that, if a Katyayana confirms this. surety, harassed by a creditor, spend any thing for a debtor, he shall get it back by proving the same by witnesses.

Before accepting a surety, it is proper for the The oreditor creditor to ascertain whether the proposed surety ascertain if the is able to pay the debt, in case it cannot be ty is able beneficiated by the debtor liquidated by the debtor.

KATYAYANA confirms this by saying that a person, who cannot pay the debt to the creditor or a fine of an equal amount to the king, or is a stranger, should not be accepted as a surety.

His ability to pay a debt, and so forth, should be ascertained by his public credit.

debt

should

Katyayana confirms this.

Public credit should decide the ability of the surety to pay the debt.

Digitized by Google

RULES FOR REALISING DEBTS.

When debtor is to pay his debt.

VRIHASPATI says, a debtor shall pay his debt on demand, if there be no fixed time for payment, or on the expiration of the appointed time if there be Where no time is fixed, the debt is to be any. liquidated, on the interest rising to its highest point. On default of the debtor, his sons shall liquidate it.

On the death of a debtor, who is to pay his dobt.

NAREDA says that, on the death of a debtor, his sons, if living separate, shall pay his debt in proportion to their respective shares; if not separato, it shall be paid by that son who takes the burden of the family on himself.

When person goes to try, to., his and sons grandsons are to pay the debt.

*YAGNYAVALKYA says, when a person goes to a a foreign country, dies, or is in great danger, his sons and grandsons shall pay his debt. If they question the justice of the claims of the creditor, they should satisfy them by receiving evidence.

According to Naroda.

NAREDA specially speaks of a man who goes to a foreign country. When a person goes to a foreign country, his son, nephew, or brother, shall not pay his debt until twenty years have elapsed.

Interpretation.

The words "twenty years," that occur in the following text of VISHNU, refer to cases in which persons reside in foreign countries ; or else there will be inconsistent opinions.

When a debtor dies, becomes an anchoret, or remains abroad for twenty years, his sons or shall pay the debt. It is optional his sons and grandsons to his great grandsons to pay it or not ; in other shall pay the words, they cannot be compelled to pay.

Debts contracted under an agreement, shall be Obligation as paid by the debtor, his sons, or grandsons.

A pledge cannot be redeemed without paying the debt.

The passage is explained in the Ratnakara and by some others as follows. If a debt, contracted by a person, be for the benefit of an by others. undivided family, his sons, nephew, or brothers shall pay it twenty years after he has gone to a foreign country.

According to the author of the Smritisara. a debt contracted by the members of a family, after members of a partition, for themselves, shall be paid by their sons. if they go to foreign countries. The rule as to time refers to the above case. But it cannot be applied in the case of a debt contracted for the benefit of the family before partition, for all its members are then debtors. This explanation renders the provisions made by the sages free from obscurity. Consequently, when a debt is to be paid in obedience to the orders of the sages, and not for contracting it, the period of twenty years is applicable. But a debt, contracted for the benefit of the family, is to

When debtor dies. 80. becomes anchoret, &c., grandsous debt

to debts, under an agree mont

How plodge may be redcomed.

How the passage is explained in the Ratnakare and

Debt 60n • tracted by the family after or before a partition.

RULES FOR REALISING DERTS.

be paid before twenty years have elapsed. For YAGNYAVALKYA says, a debt contracted for the benefit of the family before partition, is to be paid by all of them, when the debtor dies or goes to a foreign country. They should not, in this case, require time, since there is no necessity for it. Time should be allowed when a debt is contracted after partition.

When time is not necessary for the payment of a debt.

Time is not necessary when a debt is paid during the life-time of the debtor, because VRIHASPATI says, if a person be blind, deaf, mad, or afflicted with some incurable disease, his sons shall pay his debts established by evidence, even when he is near them.

Who shall pay the just debt of a poor man.

The *Parijata* explains it as follows. When a person is unable to pay his just debt owing to poverty, his sons, who live separate from him and have means, and, on their default, his grandsons, if they have means, shall pay it.

Dabt contracted by several men.

When a debt is contracted by several men, and one of them survives the rest, the survivor shall pay it for all. On his default, his son shall pay only his share of the debt.

The surviving joint debtor or debtors shall pay the entire debt,

If there be an agreement to the effect that the creditor shall be competent to realise his money from any of the joint debtors, the surviving one or ones shall pay it. For it is at the option of the creditor to take it from any of them.



.•

Responsibi-If one of the joint debtors go to a foreign counlity of son of If one of the try, his son shall pay the whole of his debts. joint debtors. he die, his son shall pay his share and not that of any other debtor.

Why time is disallowed As such debt must be paid, these texts are in these texts. mentioned in disallowance of time.

Who shall On the death of any of the joint debtors, his pay the debt of a decessed share of the debt shall be paid by his son. joint debtor.

KATYAYANA says, what is promised by a man for What promised religious purposes, be he in health or sick, must be religious purposes must be paid. On his death, his son shall pay it. paid.

NAREDA particularly says, a minor is not to pay A minor is not to pay a a debt, even when he is independent. debt.

KATYAYANA asserts that, on the death of their father, minors shall pay his debt in due time accord- of their doed ing to law. If they do not pay it, they shall time. dwell in hell.

A child of eight years of age is equal to one in Definition of minority. the womb. A child under his sixteenth year is called bala or paganda. After his sixteenth year, the child attains majority, and, if he have no parents, becomes independent.

KATYAYANA says that persons shall pay the just debts, or the balance of the debts, of their grand- fathers. fathers. But if the debts were contracted for gambling or drinking, and were not acknowledged by their fathers, they are not liable to pay such debts.

Minors shall pay the debt father in due

Just debts, to, of grand-

is

for

RULES FOR REALISING DEBTS.

Particular GAUTAMA speaks of the liquidation of particular there. debts incurred by fathers.

What debts of their father, sous are not liable to pay. Their debts, due for being sureties, for losses sustained in mercantile transactions, the purchase of spirituous liquors, gaming, and fines, do not devolve on their sons.

Debt due for being surety deflued. Debt due for being surety means that incurred for being surety for appearance and for confidence.

According to Vrihaspati.

VRIHASPATI says that sons are not liable for such debts of their fathers as are due for the purchase of spirituous liquors, for gaming, idly-promised gifts, love, anger, surety, fines, tolls, and the balance of tolls or fines.

Vyasa confirms this. Fines, tolls, or their balance thereof, and debts for irregular conduct, due from fathers, shall not be paid by their sons.

Debts through love and anger. KATYAYANA speaks of debts incurred through love and anger.

Debt through Debt through love means what is promised to a harlot.

Debt through anger defined. **a man as compensation for any injury done him.**

Idly-promised gift means what is promised without effect. For how can a man be prevented from giving a thing which has been already given?

Debt by a Debt by a surety means a debt due for being surety for appearance and confidence.

When he

On the default of a person taking his property and a son competent to pay money, he who takes his wife of the

Therefore, if there be a person who has taken his The person taking estate, neither his son nor he who takes his wife aball co'alo, alone pay the shall pay it. dobt.

VRIHASPATI says, if the son of a debtor be in According to Vrihaspeti. distress, he who takes his estate shall pay the debt, and, on his default, he who has taken his wife shall pay it.

property. who takes the

debtor is to

pay the debt.

When the

hie

the

son is to pay dobt, without

getting

When to be paid by him who takes his wife.

who takes property

He

debt.

to

On default of the first and third, the second shall

Where the property of a man is taken by one paid by him who takes the man, and his wife by another, and he has a son,

Debt to be

his debt shall be paid by the first ; and, on the property of the debtor.

the debt, but is in distress, he who takes the shall pay the

Though there be a son who is competent to pay

On the default of a person who has taken his

property or one who has taken his wife, his son, his

though he be in distress, shall be liable

default of the first two, by the son.

property shall be liable for the debt.

wife shall pay his debt.

pay the debt.

pay.

paternal debts are not to be liquidated by sons.

NAREDA speaks of some cases in which even

Paternal

debts.

RULES FOR REALISING DEBTS.

father's debt to be paid oertain oircumstances.

KATYAYANA says that a person shall pay his under father's debt, if he be not in distress and if he be able to discharge it, or else he shall not pay it.

Who shall pay the debt of a person, when his son is in distress, Ło.

Where the son is in distress, or is a minor, the debt is to be paid by him who has taken his father's property, and, on his default, by him who has taken his mother.

YAGNYAVALKYA says that a son shall pay his According toYaguyavalka father's debt on the default of him who has taken his father's property or taken his mother.

If the debtor have no son, his heirs shall pay his The beirs of a debtor shall pey his debt. debt.

The son who gots the post of his father, shall pay the debt_

Therefore, a person, whose father's estate has not been taken by others, shall pay his debt even though he have no means to do so. Therefore, that son who gets the post of his father shall bear his burden, and consequently he, and not his brothers, shall pay his father's debt.

Explanation.

In short, the son who has taken the post of his father, and is competent to pay, shall liquidate his debt, even if there be one who has taken his father's property or wife.

Who is to such a son.

On the default of such a son, he who has obtained pay the dobt on default of the estate shall pay the debt.

When the who recen iakes the dobt-

If no one take his estate, he who has taken his wife shall pay the debt, even if there be sons pay the debt, other than those who are competent to pay it.

On the default of these, sons, who are unable to pay and who have not taken the post of their father, the debt, so., shall be liable to pay his debt.

Of several sons, he who has been appointed to the post of the father shall pay his debt.

NAREDA says that persons, other than sons, shall pay the debt.

A debt, contracted by an uncle, a brother, or mother, before partition, for the benefit of the benefit of the family, shall be paid by all its members.

MENU says that a debt, contracted for the benefit According to of the family by a dependent of its dependents, whether in the native country or abroad, cannot be disowned by the head of the family.

VRIHASPATI says that a debt, contracted for the benefit of the family, by an uncle, brother, son. wife, servant, pupil, or dependent, shall be paid by the head of the family.

If a debt, contracted by the servant of a family, should be paid, it is plain that what is incurred joint members by one of its members should also be paid. it is a common saying that a debt, incurred by the members of a family who live together, shall be paid.

Certain of the debts of a son are to be paid by Certain debte his father. For instance, such debts as are approved be paid by his of by his father or such as the father shall pay out of affection, or else he shall not pay it.

When sons shall be liable.

The son appointed to the post of the shall father pay the debt.

According to Naroda, who is to pay the debt.

Debt 001tracted for the family.

According to Vrihaspeti.

A debt incurred by the of a family For shall be paid.

Women are not liable for the debts of husbands and sons.

According to VISHNU, women shall not pay debts due by their husbands and sons; nor shall husbands and sons pay such as are due by their respective wives and mothers.

A woman's debts do not fall on husband, &c.

NAREDA affirms that the debts of a woman do her not fall on her husband, unless they be for the benefit of the family. For a debt, contracted for the benefit of the family, must be paid.

But the debte of the wives husbands.

But the debts of the wives of washermen, fowlers, of washermon, milkmen, and wine-vendors, shall be paid by their ac, shall be minimuch, and while volutions, shall be paid by main paid by their husbands. For the latter depend entirely on, and their families are supported by, the former.

This rule good holds the where women manage families, &c.,

This rule holds good where the women manage families, and the men are simple and without any distinction of caste.

Exemplification.

The above is merely an instance. For the debts incurred by the wives of Brahmins, and so forth, for the benefit of the family, are likewise paid.

What debts to be paid by women, 80cording to Yagnyavalkya.

YAGNYAVALKYA speaks of debts that are to be paid by women. He says that the debts of a man which his wife has consented to pay, and those incurred by both of them, shall be paid by her.

According to Katyayana.

KATYAYANA says that debts, contracted by a woman, with her husband and son, and what she incurred herself, shall be paid by her.

According to Narada.

NAREDA says, a woman is not bound to pay the debts due by her husband or son.

If a man, on his death-bed, enjoin his wife to When woman is to pay his debt, she must pay it. pay her hus-band's debt.

In short, a woman shall pay such debts as she Debts which Woman agrees to pay. agrees to pay.

If she inherit the family estate, she must pay Debts devolve on wothe debts, even if she do not agree to do so. For mon with family estates. she has taken the property.

NAREDA makes special mention of some of those who take others' wives.

He who takes possession of the fourth or last of the shairinis, or first of the punorbhus (widows,) shall pay debts due by the husband.

He who takes the wife of a poor and childless dead man, shall pay his debts, for the wife is the of a poor and dead man's property.

NAREDA speaks of the fourth shairini and first Fourth shaipunorbhu. By the fourth shairini is meant a woman, punorbhu dewho, having come from a different country, or having been purchased by wealth, or being pressed by hunger or thirst, takes shelter with a man by saying that she will belong to him. By the first punorbhu is meant a woman, who, having lost her husband before she attained puberty, is married a second time.

Nareda on the responsibility of those take who others' wive.

He who takes the fourth or last of the shairinis, &c , shall pay the dobts of the busband.

Η• who takes the wife childless dead man, shall pay his debts.

rini and first

KATYAYANA says, debts due by poor and childless Debta of poor and childwine-vendors, &c., shall be paid by those who take less wine-vendors, &o., shall their wives. be paid by those who take their wives.

Wine-vendors, &c., mean such as depend on their Explanation. wives for support.

Debts due by those who live abroad, who take their wives, &c.

KATYAYANA says, debts due by those who live abroad for a long time, who are childless, who are to, shall be void of the senses, who are mad, and who are anchorets, shall be paid by those who take their wives and property, even when they are alive.

These persons shall pay debts in the same man-How they shall pay debts. ner as sons pay their paternal debts; but the difference is that they do not pay interest, since no provision has been made to that effect.

Who shall get the duce of and childless ditor.

NAREDA says that, on the death of a childless a dood Brahmin creditor, his dues shall be paid to his Brahmin cre- kinsmen, and on their default, to his relations.

Other Brahmins shall got them.

But if there be neither kinsmen nor relatives, his dues shall be given to Brahmins.

When they shall be thrown into the water. Water.

On their default, they shall be thrown into the

If the creditors be soldiers, &c., their dues shall be paid to the king.

If the creditors be soldiers, &c., their dues shall be paid to the king. For it is understood that all wealth, save that of Brahmins, devolves on the king. Therefore, what belongs to Brahmins shall not be received by the king.

MENU speaks of the means to be taken by a Monu on the means of reacreditor, if the debtor do not pay the debt on lising a debt. demand.

A creditor should be allowed to realise his debt A debt is to be realised by by whatever means he can do so. any means.

Dharma (mode of recovery consonant to moral Five means of realising a duty), vyavahara (suit in court), chhal (artful dobe. management), acharita (distress), and bal (legal force), are the five means whereby a debt may be realised. Each of these shall alternately be used on the failure of the other.

VRIHASPATI defines mode consonant to moral Mode 00nsonant to duty to be the mode of recovery "by the interposi- moral duty defined. tion of friends and kinsmen, by mild remonstrances, by importunate following," or by performing dharna before the house of the debtor.

If the debtor be a poor Brahmin, a debt shall A dobt shall be gradually be gradually realised from him according to his realised from a poor Brahincome. min.

KATYAYANA defines the mode of recovery by suit in court to be the mode of recovery by arresting in court defined. a debtor, openly dragging him before the public assembly, and confining him "until he pay what is due, according to the immemorial usage of the country."

Mode of recovery by suit

RULES FOR REALISING DEBTS.

Artful management defined. Artful management means the act of realising a debt by borrowing a thing of the debtor with an artful design, or withholding a thing deposited by him, or the like.

Distress defined. Distress signifies the realisation of the debt by confining the wife, son, or the cattle of the debtor, or preventing egress from, and ingress into, his house.

Legal force means the realisation of the debt by binding the debtor, carrying him to the house of the creditor, and beating him, or other means.

Domand Before adopting any of these means, it is necesshould at first sary to make a demand.

A debt from KATYANA says, if a king, master, or priest be a king, do., is to be realised the debtor, a debt is to be realised by mild exposby mild expostulation.

Debts from Debts from shareholders and friends are to be ac., to be realised by artful management. lised by artful management.

Debts from merchants, &c. to be realised by suit in court.

BHRIGU says, debts from merchants, agriculturists, and artisans are to be realised by suit in court, and those from wicked men by legal force.

How debts are to be realised from poor winevendors, &c.

debts be reafrom , &o. , &o. , be realised by suit in court. If the debtors be poor wine-vendors, &c., the creditor shall bring them to his house and force them to liquidate their debts by bodily labor.

If they be poor Brahmins, debts shall be gra-From poor Brahmins. dually realised from them.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, if they be poor and belong to low castes, they shall be forced to liquidate their debts by bodily labor.

But if they be poor Brahmins, debts shall be gradually realised from them with reference to to be gradually their income.

KATYAYANA speaks of the penalty for using legal force at the outset.

If the debtors be, in the beginning, forced to do any thing which is disadvantageous to them and advantageous to the creditors, the latter shall be freed from his fined the first sahasa (250 panas,) and the former shall be freed from their debts.

NAREDA says, if the debtors become poor owing to some unforeseen events, debts shall be gradually realised from them according to their income.

When the interest of a loan reaches its highest point and the debtor cannot liquidate it, he can, if he like, renew it on compound interest.

VRIHASPATI confirms this by saying that creditors shall realise money with interest on the expiration of the appointed time; or the debtors shall agree by writing to give compound interest.

Low caste debtors shall be forced to liquidate their debts by bodily labor.

Debts from poor Brahmins realised.

Penalty for using legal force at the outset.

When the creditor shall be fined, and the debtor debt.

Debts shall be gradually realised from persons becoming poor by some unforescen events.

When the debtor can renews loan on compound intorest.

Vrihaspati confirms this.

When interest, exceedprincipal, may be received.

VRIHASPATI says, when the interest has risen to ing twice the its highest point, and it has been added to the principal, interest exceeding twice the principal may be received.

When the principal comwith interest, compound interest may be oharged, &c.

When the principal comprises principal with prisesprincipal interest, compound interest may be charged on twice the principal and some other interest may be enjoyed; and the principal shall consist of the interest and principal.

When a debt of valuable arbeen doubled, debtors the shall be taken, **k**o.

He speaks on the subject of the absence of the ticles having debtors. When a debt of valuable articles having been doubled, the debtors have died or absconded, have died, &c., their movables their movables shall be taken and disposed of with the knowledge of witnesses. Their price being fixed, they shall be laid open to public inspection. The dues of the creditors being taken after the adjustment of accounts, the balance shall be given to the debtors.

Oreditors are not to blame, realised before kinsmen.

If money be realised in the abovementioned if debts be thus manner before the kinsmen of the debtors, no the debtors' blame can be attached to the creditors.

A creditor is not to be if he realise the debt by legal force.

The king should not punish a creditor, even if punished, even he realise the debt by legal force.

Vishnu confirms this.

VISHNU confirms this by saying that the king should not prevent a creditor from realising his dues by any of the aforesaid means.

YAGNYAVALKYA says the king cannot prevent a condition cannot be precreditor from realising his dues that have been vented from admitted by the debtors.

If such a debtor bring a suit against his creditor, the former shall be fined and shall pay the if he bring a debt.

A kryabadi debtor, who does not admit the claim debtor. of the creditor, cannot be forced to pay the debt by any of the aforesaid means and cannot be arrested.

VRIHASPATI confirms this by saying that a **V**rih**as**pati confirms this. kryabadi debtor cannot, in doubtful cases, be (sangdhirdhata), arrested.

He who causes the arrest of such a man be-Arrest of such a man comes liable to just punishment. punishable.

A kryabadi debtor means one who undertakes A krysbedi debtor defined. to pay what is legally due by him.

(sangdhirdhata) means cases What are doubtful cases. Doubtful cases where the nature of the coin lent, its number, &c., the amount of interest, and the payment or non-payment, are disputed.

MENU says, the king shall impose, on wicked ditors creditors and debtors, a fine of twice the amount debtore shall be fined. which any of them endeavors to gain by cheating.

This rule is applicable to the case of rich men.

realising his dues admitted by the debtors.

The debtor shall be fined, suit against his creditor.

A kryabadi

Wicked oreand

The rule spplicable to the rich.

Digitized by Google

Monu fixes a penalty for debtors who, at first, declindebt, afterto pay it.

MENU also enjoins that a person who, at first declining to pay a debt, afterwards promises to pay ing to pay it, shall be fined five per cent. But if his debt wards promise be proved by evidence, he shall be fined ten per This rule applies to the cases of men. cent.

A debtor who refused to pay his debt, &c., shall be punished.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, if the debt of a man who refused to pay it, be proved by evidence, he shall not only pay the debt but also an equal amount of fine.

A creditor bringing fined.

If a creditor bring a false suit, he shall pay a false suit to be fine of twice the amount claimed therein.

Where this rule is applicable.

This rule is for him who has failed in karanabad and pragnaobad (two sorts of reply).

Middle class It is for men of the middle classes. men.

A rich man wickedly withholding pay-ment of others' fined.

YAMA says, if a rich man wickedly withhold payment of the dues of others, the king shall dues shall be cause them to be paid by fining him twice the amount of the debt.

If a crofined.

VISIINU says, if a creditor, by instituting a suit, ditor prove his claim, the prove his claim, the debtor shall pay it, and a fine equal to a tenth of the same.

A twentieth On realising a debt, the creditor shall give the of the debt to be given king a twentieth part of the amount. to the king.

KATYAYANA says that a man, who is indebted to several parties, shall pay him first, from whom he got the first loan. If the king or a Brahmin be one of the creditors, he should be paid before others.

If all the bonds have been written in one day, the debts, payments, balances, and interest, shall be treated as be equal; otherwise, according to the order of time.

That creditor, who can prove that the debtor acquired money by means of his principal, must be poid beforeany paid before any other creditor, if the debtor have no means of liquidating all his debts.

YAGNYAVALKYA says that the bond shall be torn upon payment of the debt. Where no deed exists, upon payment let one be prepared by way of a release. But a debt which was contracted before witnesses must be paid also before witnesses.

G

A debtor shall first repay the first loan, or the king or Brahmin.

Bonds of the same date, to equally valid.

What creditor must be other.

The bond shall be torn of the debt, &c.

Digitized by Google

DEPOSITS.

Deposit defined by Nareda.

NAREDA defines deposit to be the entrusting of one's property with another person from confidence and without suspicion. The wise have included it in the category of subjects of dispute.

With whom articles may be deposited.

MENU says that articles shall be deposited with a person, who is "of high birth and of good morals," who is virtuous, who speaks truth, who has many kinsmen, and who is wealthy and respectable.

What ppanidhi.

YAGNYAVALKYA says that "a thing enclosed under is seal in a box or casket, which the owner delivers into the hands of another, without mentioning its kind, form, or quantity, is called upanidhi, and must be restored in the same condition."

sorts Two of deposits.

NAREDA says that "deposits are declared to be of two sorts, attested and unattested." They should be returned in the same condition in which they were delivered. Otherwise, the depositary shall be tried by ordeal.

He who consumes a demits a heinous dood.

VRIHASPATI says, he who consumes a deposit or poit, &c., com- from neglect spoils it, commits a deed as heinous as the murder of a son or friend by a man, or the damage done by a woman to her husband.

A depositary shall not de-

A depositary shall not destroy a deposit. For stroy a deposit. it is infamous to do so. He shall keep it with great care, and restore it on the first demand.

As long as the depositor is alive, the deposit shall not be restored to any but him.

VRIHASPATI confirms this, by saying that a depobe restored to the depositor in the the above. sit shall condition in which he left it, and not to his sons.

MENU says, if a depositary delivers a deposit to the sons of a depositor after his death, neither the herassed king nor the kinsmen of the deceased shall harass him.

NAREDA says, if a deposit be lost with the property of the depositary, the loss will be the deposi-Such will also be the case, if the deposit be tor's. lost by the act of God or of the king, "unless there was a fraudulent act on the part of the depositary."

But the depositary shall bear the loss, if the act of the king were occasioned by his fault, in which case the loss is attributable to him.

This is also the opinion of MENU :---If the deposit be stolen, "washed away by water, or consumed by fire," the depositary shall not make it good, unless he himself took a part of it.

If, a portion of a deposit being appropriated, the remainder be neglected, or kept with some other person, in the hope that he may not be required to restore it, the depositary must restore the whole deposit.

Deposit not to be restored to any but the depositor.

Authority of Vribaspati on

A depositary shall not be for dolivering . deposit to the sons of a deceased depositor.

If a deposit be lost with the property of the depositary, or by an act of God or the king, the loss will be the depositor's.

The depositary shall bear the loss, if the act of the king wore occasionod by his fault,

Menu's opinioa.

When the depositary is to the restore whole deposit.

DEPOSITS.

The depositary shall make good what is lost by his fault.

KATYAYANA says, the depositary shall make good what is lost by his fault. Further, he, by whose fault a deposit is lost or taken away, shall be compelled to make good the value of it with interest, unless it be lost by the act of God or of the king.

The depositary shall bear act of the king were occasion-ed by his fault.

But if the act of the king were occasioned by the loss, if the his fault, the depositary shall bear the loss.

The value of a destroyed article when to be made good rower.

KATYAYANA speaks of articles that are borrowed. He says that if a borrowed article, which is not by the bor-returned on demand, when there is no further occasion for it, or the time of its return has expired, be destroyed even by the act of God or the king. the value of it shall be made good by the borrower.

This rule is applicable to for deposits delivery, &o.

NAREDA says that this rule is applicable to "deposits for delivery and the like, bailments with an artist, sealed deposits, bailments in the form called nyasa, and mutual trusts."

In what manner judges shall whether tain was not OF person.

If a person deny that any article was left with accor- him, the judges shall ascertain the point in the any article was following manner. They shall cause something kept with to be lodged with him. If they get it back on demand, they must conclude that he is not dishonest; otherwise they must consider him such.

`This rule is applicable in loan for use.

This rule is applicable in the case of a loan for the case of a use (yachita.) It has not been mentioned in a proper place in the Ratnakara.

VRIHASPATI says, if the depositary spoil a deposit by keeping it separate from his own articles, or a deposit, do., through carelessness, or do not return it on demand, shall be made the value of it shall be made good with interest.

The meaning of the above is that, if a depositary take care of his own articles by keeping them in a particular place, and neglect the deposit, he must pay the value of it with interest.

If the deposit be not restored on demand, and then be taken by the king, the depositary shall ed on demand, make good the value of it.

NAREDA confirms this by saying that he, who does not return a deposit on demand, shall be punished point. by the king. If it be, in the mean time, spoiled, the value of it is to be paid.

If the depositary, without the permission of the depositor, use a deposit for gain, he shall be punished without perand compelled to surrender it with the gain derived. mission

VYASA says that, if a deposit be used, it shall be restored with interest. But if it be injured through carelessness, the value of it must be given. If it be fortuitously spoiled, a moderate price shall be given.

MENU says that he, who takes possession of others' property on false pretences, shall, with his accessaries. be tortured to death in a public place.

If the depositary spoil the value of it good with intorest.

Explanation.

If a deposit, not restorbe taken by the king, the de-positary shall make good its value.

Nareda's authority on the

If the deperfor gain, the depositary shall be punished.

If a deposit be used, it shall be restored with interest.

Those who take possession of others' pro-perty on false pretences, shall be tortured to doath.

Digitized by Google

DEPOSITS.

This rule also holds good in other cases of this This rule is applicable in other cases of description. the kind.

Vrihaspati's authority on the point.

VRIHASPATI confirms this by saying that, if a bailment for delivery (anvahita), a loan for use (yachita), bailments with an artist (shilpinasha,) &c., be taken, this rule obtains.

"Bailmonts with an artist" means gold, &c., given Explanation. to workmen to be manufactured into ornaments, &c.

Workmen punishable in the same manner.

If workmen take them on false pretences, they shall be liable to the aforesaid punishment.

If an article iniured. be workman value of it good by him.

If an article be left with a workman for repair in while it is with a specified time, and be injured after the expirafor repair. the tion of that time, by accident or otherwise, the value must be made of it must be paid by the workman.

Explanation.

The meaning of the above is that, if it be injured after the expiration of the fixed time, the artisan shall make good its value, and not otherwise.

He who does not return a deposit, &o., shall be punishod as thioves.

MENU says, he who does not return a deposit and he who demands something which he did not deposit, shall be punished as thieves, and fined in an amount commensurate with what they endeavour to gain by deceit.

this ' How Pu-Mateya -rans,

L

In the Matsya Purana, this sloka is read in the parrege is ren-dered in the following manner :-Instead of being equal to the amount attempted to be taken by deceit, the fine shall be twice that amount.

The last reading is applicable to mean and dishonest rich persons.

But the injunction of MENU is applicable to poor junction appliand honest persons.

KATYAYANA says that he, who uses, neglects, or unconsciously spoils any deposit, and so forth, shall himself pay for it. His sons, and others who were eponsible. not concerned in injuring it, shall not be responsible.

GAUTAMA confirms this by saying that "the nccessity of making good a deposit, a thing bailed the point. for delivery to a third person, a pledge on a thing borrowed or hired, and the like, if destroyed by the fault of the bailee, shall not fall upon any of his heirs, if they were free from blame; but it falls on the bailee, by whose fault the thing was destroyed."

"A thing bailed for delivery to a third person" means an article left with one in order to be given to another.

KATYAYANA defines upanidhi to be an article Upanidhi de-fined by Katywhich has been sold but not delivered, or which is ayana. left with another person on the owner's going to another country, a pledge, a bailment for delivery, (anvahita,) a loan for use, or money advanced to tradesmen for gain.

The deposit and restoration of articles, the care with which they should be kept, and the penalties, applicable. &c., for neglect, are dealt with according to the rules of deposit.

To whom the last reading is applicable.

Menu's ined to poor and honest persons.

He who spoils any deposit, shall alone be re-

> Gautama's on

Explanation.

The rules of deposit, where

Digitized by

SALE WITHOUT OWNERSHIP.

Sale or gift of articles by out title, inadmissible.

NAREDA says that, according to the rules of persons with Judicial Proceedings, the sale or gift of articles by persons, who have no title thereto, is inadmissible.

owners, prohibited.

Sale, gift, KATYAYANA says that the sale, gift, or pledge of or pledge by others than the articles by persons who are not the owners, are KATYAYANA says that the sale, gift, or pledge of prohibited.

He who sells the property of another, without permission, as a thief.

.

MENU says that he, who sells the property of another man without the consent of the owner, shall is to be treated not be admitted as a competent witness, but shall be treated as a thief, who pretends that he has committed no theft. If he be a near kinsman of the owner, he shall be fined 600 panas. But if he be neither kinsman, nor able to produce a written document transferring the proprietary right to him, he shall be punished like a thief.

Punishment for selling proowner.

If the property of any person be sold without perty without his knowledge, the vendor shall be fined 600 or against the panas; but if it be done against the will of the will of the owner, the vendor shall be punished like a thief, that is, his hands shall be cut off.

Vrihaspati on the meaadopted, when a thing honestly purchased.

VRISHAPATI speaks of the measures to be adopted sures to be by an honest man, when a person demands from one demands him a thing which he has purchased.

When the actual owner claims the purchased article, the purchaser may be freed from trouble purchased artiby producing the vendor.

When the owner claims cle, the purchaser may produce the vendor.

KATYAYANA says that a purchase shall be Purchases to publicly made, or the vendor produced. If the made, or the vendor reside at a distant place, a certain time duced. shall be allowed for every four miles.

If a person declare that he had purchased the article, and agree to produce the vendor, he shall, chase should first of all, be required to do so. The validity of vendor. the sale may be known from the vendor. Consequently, when he cannot be found, inquiries shall be instituted into the validity of the purchase, that is, whether it was publicly made or not.

VYASA speaks of the measures to be adopted According to Vyasa, when when the vendor is produced.

When the vendor is produced, the purchaser to be harassed. shall not be harassed. But a suit may be carried on between the owner and the vendor.

VRIHASPATI says, if the vendor, on being pro-If the vendor lose the duced, lose the suit, he shall satisfy the purchaser, suit, he shall entiely the purpay a fine, and return the disputed article to its chaser, &c. actual owner.

If the purchase have been fraudulently made, the purchaser shall, in addition, be punished.

pleading purthe

person

A

the vendor is produced, the purchaser not

Д

SALE WITHOUT OWNERSHIP.

Purchasor under suspicious circumstanore, equally guilty with the vendor.

NAREDA says that he, who purchases a thing from a slave who received no order from his master to dispose of it, or from a wicked man, privately, at a very inadequate price, or at an unseasonable hour,. is equally guilty with him who sells others' articles.

Such a purproduce the vendor.

Such a purchaser is guilty, even when he prochaser is guil-ty even if he duces the vendor.

Purchasor who conceals his vendor, punishable.

NAREDA says that the purchaser shall not keep the vendor concealed, for he may be relieved by producing him. Otherwise, he is equally guilty with the vendor, in other words, shall be punished like him.

Punishment of the purchaser, who cannot produce the vendor.

NAREDA also says that, if the purchaser cannot produce the vendor, or give a satisfactory account of the purchase, he shall pay money to the actual proprietor, and suffer a pecuniary penalty in proportion to the claims set forth in the suit.

Case where the vendor cannot be be produced, but seems to be fair.

MENU says that, when the vendor cannot be produced, but the purchase seems to have been pubthe purchase licly and consequently fairly made, the purchaser shall not be liable to a fine, but shall pay the actual proprietor.

Explanation.

Where the purchase has been publicly made, and the claim of the actual proprietor is proved, and the vendor cannot be produced on account of his having gone to a distant place, the king shall not punish the purchaser, but shall cause him to pay the actual proprietor.

According to KATYAYANA, the real proprietor shall recover his property, if those, who can identify porty, if it be it, say that he did not make a gift of, or forsake, or did not dissell it.

VRIHASPATI speaks of what is to be done to a man, who, having publicly purchased an article, tially guilty, cannot produce the vendor, because his place of not proper eviresidence cannot be ascertained. In the absence of proper evidence, the king shall pronounce the purchaser partially guilty, taking his character into consideration.

When a person purchases any thing, in a place surrounded by the shops of tradesmen, and with the knowledge of the public officials, and the vendor cannot be found in consequence of his ing the purliving in an unknown place, or of his death, the actual proprietor shall establish his title by proof. and take possession of it on giving the purchaser half the value.

In such a case both the actual proprietor and the purchaser lose half cach, according to the rules the purchaser of judicial proceedings.

The purchase of an article without knowledge of its owner, and its neglect, are the causes of the articles. injury of articles.

Where the actual proprietor cannot prove his title, he, who has publicly purchased the property, licity purchase shall get it, and the proprietor shall be punished the properaccording to law.

Owner to recover his preproved that he pose of it.

A purchaser dence, but the purchase was publicly made.

Case in which the proprietor may take poesession of an article, by givvalue.

The actual lose half in such a case.

Causes of the injury of

When he who has puband the proprietor be punished.

Digitized by

SALE WITHOUT OWNERSHIP.

Katyayana's KATYAYANA confirms this :- If the proprietor authority. cannot prove his title to the property by witnesses, he shall be punished like a thief, to prevent his bringing such a suit again.

Certain pur-Certain purchases, even from the actual pronot prietor, are not valid.

A fraudulent VRIHASPATI speaks on the subject. He says, if purchase equivalent to theft. the purchase be made before others, by giving the vendor a reasonable price, it is valid; but a fraudulent purchase makes a man guilty of theft.

Fraudulent purchase defined.

By fraudulent purchase is meant the purchase of articles within a room, without the precincts of a village, at night, in solitary places, from wicked men, or at very inadequate prices.

Fraudulent valid sale.

The rules as to the sale of property by one who ject to the has no right thereto are applicable to fraudulent purchases.

VISHNU confirms this by saying that, if a man Vishnu's authority. purchase a thing privately at a low price or in a solitary place, he shall be punished like a thief.

Ponalty for not giving king, of recovery stolen property.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, if a man, whose property has notice to the been stolen or who misses it, give no notice of its of recovery to the king, he shall be fined 96 panas.

The reason of the penalty.

This penalty is imposed on him for his attempt to defraud the king of his gain from the recovery of missing articles.

ohases,

valid.
CONCERNS AMONG PARTNERS.

YAGNYAVALKYA says that those tradesmen, who Joint traders jointly carry on business for gain, shall share the profit and loss profit and loss according to their shares in the their shares in stock, or the conditions of their agreement.

The meaning of the above is that, in the absence of an agreement, the shareholders shall receive profit or bear loss according to their respective shares in the stock. Where an agreement exists. the business shall be carried on agreeably to its conditions.

VRIHASPATI says, if the stock or the profits be If the stock, diminished owing to the act of God or of the king, nished, owing such a loss must be borne by all the shareholders God or of the according to their shares. He makes special men- loss shall be tion of the following :- That shareholder, who the shareholdcauses a loss to the firm by acting against the wishes or without the assent of the others, shall alone bear The partner who, by his own exertions, saves it. the common stock from the act of God or of the king, shall be allowed a tenth part of it, and the remainder shall be divided among all according to their shares in the stock.

NAREDA says, he who, by his own exertions, pre- He who, by serves the goods of the partnership from the act tions, of God, from robbers, from the king, from fire, stock, shall get and so forth, shall get a tenth part of them.

according to the stock.

Explanation.

&c., be dimito the act of

BOTTOS the a tenth part of

CONCERNS AMONG PARTNERS.

According to KATYAYANA, he who preserves goods According to Katyayana. from robbers, water, or fire, is entitled to a tenth part of them. This rule is applicable to all sorts of property.

Some say a tenth part is even due, when the arbelongs to an individual.

Some say that a tenth part is receivable, even when the article preserved belongs to an individual. ticle preserved But this cannot be the case, inasmuch as this rule occurs in the case of joint trade. This opinion is maintained in the Ratnakara and by others.

How joint traders shall Dess.

Joint traders should carry on business without carry on busi- deceiving each other. KATYAYANA confirms the above, by saying that joint traders shall honestly purchase and sell goods, whether in the presence of each other or not.

Katyayana's authority.

oleared

ordeal.

A sharer, VRIHASPATI says, if a shareholder be suspected of suspected of fraud, may be fraud, he may be cleared by ordeal. b٧

This rule is applicable in every case.

Explanation. Ordeal here means evidence. For it is probable that evidence may be obtained from customers, and so forth.

A fraudulent partner may be expelled on returning his stock.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, a fraudulent partner may be expelled on returning him his stock. If the business cannot be transacted on account of his expulsion, another partner may be admitted.

The meaning of the above is that, if the remain-Explanation. ing shareholders be unable to manage the business, a new partner should be admitted.

NAREDA says that, on the death of one of the partners, his business shall be undertaken by his heir. On default of one, some other competent man or all by his son. the shareholders shall transact it.

The meaning of the above is that, on the death of a partner, his heir shall get a tenth part of the profits, if he preserve the stock. On his default, he who may preserve it shall get it. If there be no such person, those shareholders who may preserve it, shall get a tenth part of the profits.

The king NAREDA says, if a merchant, from a foreign counshall keep the try, die, the king shall preserve his goods till his goods of decessed forheirs appear. eign merchant

The king is VRIHASPATI says, if a partner die for want of the heir of a proper care, the officers, appointed by the king, pariner who dies for want shall render his goods to the king. of proper care,

When a person appears, describing himself as the The heir to the decesed heir to the deceased, and proves that he is so, he shall, on proof, get the stock. shall get the goods.

NAREDA says, if the deceased have no heir, his relatives and kinsmen shall get his property.

On failure of direct berrs, the relatives and kinsmen get his property.

On their default, it shall be carefully kept for ten What shall be done if no ycars. relatives appear.

The business of a deceased partner shall he undertaken

Explanation.

CONCERNS AMONG PARTNERS.

What the king shall receive from the property of a Sudra, &c.

VRIHASPATI says, that the king shall receive a sixth part from the property of a Sudra, a ninth from that of a Vaisya, a tenth from that of a Kshatrya, and a twentieth from that of a Brahmin.

When the king may take the whole property.

But after three years have elapsed, "if no owner of the goods appear, let the king take the whole; but the wealth of a Brahmin he must bestow on Brahmins."

The king may take the persons except Brahmins.

BAUDHAYANA says, that the king shall take posproperty of all session of the property of all persons, except Brahmins who have no heirs, after keeping it for one year.

Cause of the difference in time.

This difference in time is owing to the different degrees of distance from which the heirs are to come.

When the king may appropriate the property another,

NAREDA says that, when there is no probability of the appearance of any heir of the deceased of owner, immediately or hereafter, the king shall appropriate the property to his own use, after keeping it for ten years. Such conduct of the king does not make him a sinner.

An acting pricet shall rolated share of

If any of the priests, engaged in a worship, be coive the stipu- unable to perform his duty, another shall be the specific fee. appointed to act for him, who shall receive the stipulated share of the specific fee.

CONCERNS AMONG PARTNERS.

VRIHASPATI says, it is a general rule that, if one If one partof the partners be in distress, and consequently fit for business, his kinsunfit for business, his kinsmen or partners shall men, &c., shall act for him. act for him.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, if a partner be dishonest, he should be expelled by returning him merely his be expelled by stock. If his work cannot be managed by the remaining shareholders, a new partner should be admitted.

This rule is applicable in the case of the priests engaged in performing a sacrifice, agriculturists, the case of laborers, &c., who jointly carry on business.

MENU says, if a priest be forced by sickness to A priest forced abandon his work, his partners shall allow him his abandon his share of the sacrificial fee according to his work.

When If he abandon his work before the sacrificial fee may have his be given, he may have his full share, provided he full share. caused the work to be finished by another.

The meaning of the above is that if, during the Explanation. sacrifico called madhyahnik, &c., one of the priests abandon his work from sickness, when the sacrificial fee had not been given, as it should have been, he may have his share of it, and he shall cause the remaining work to be finished by his sons, &c.

A dishonest partner should returning nim merely hie stock.

priests engaged in performing a mcrifice, do.

This rule is applicable in

work, shall be allowed to tako his shere of the secrificial fee.

he



What the scveral different prieste shall have.

Of the priests engaged in a sacrifice, those who are the chief shall have half the fee. The second set shall have half, the third a third part, and the fourth a quarter of the first share.

The sacrifice called jyotishstoms should be completed by a hundred offerings. It is mentioned in the Vedas that the sacrifice called *jyotishstoma* should be completed by a hundred offerings. Consequently, provision has been made for a hundred cows for this sacrifice. It is here necessary to determine the manner in which they should be distributed amongst the priests.

Free of the chief priosts. The chief priests, namely, the hota, or reader of the Rigveda, the adhariya, or reader of the Yajurveda, the Brahma, or superintending priest, and the udgata, or chanter of the Shyamaveda, shall have half the number of cows minus two, that is fortyeight, for the sake of distribution among all. Consequently the first four shall have forty-eight second set. Free of the second set. The second set, namely, the maitrabaruna, prastota, brahmanachchhangsi, and pratiprastota shall have half of the first share, that is, 24 cows.

For of the The third set, namely, the achbaca, neshta, third set. agnidhra, and protihota, shall have a third part of the first share, that is, 16 cows.

Free of the fourth set. The fourth set, namely, the gravana, unneta, pota, and subrahmanya, shall have a fourth part of the first share, or 12 cows.

These classes are described in the Vedas. in adharju grihapatima," &c., of the Vedas.

Digitized by Google

Is every priest to receive the fee awarded for Are priests to receive the the performance of his duty, or are all the priests for awarded to them resto divide the whole fee among themselves? It pectively or diis a matter of doubt whether the priests shall wide the whole receive the fee awarded for the performance of their respective duties, such as two pieces of gold at the time of bathing for the reader of the Yajurveda, and so forth, or shall divide the whole fee among themselves.

To clear this doubt, MENU says : "Let the reader Distribution of the Yajurveda take the car, and the Brahma, or ing to Menu. superintending priest, the swift horse; or, on another occasion, let the reader of the Rigveda take the horse, and the chanter of the Shyamaveda receive the carriage, in which the purchased materials of the sacrifice had been brought."

The meaning of the above is that in the adhan Explanation. ceremonics of certain Vedic Brahmins, the reader of the Yajurveda gets the car, the superintending priest the swift horse, and the reader of the Rigveda the common horse.

Therefore, the conclusion is that every priest shall Conclusion. have the fee assigned to his duty.

SANKIIA and LIKHITA say that, on default of one of one of of the appointed priests, another shall be employed the appointed in his place, who shall receive a portion of the fee shall be emaccording to his work, and the balance shall be place. received by the person before engaged.



of fees accord-

CONCERNS AMONG PARTNERS.

The sacrificer should wait of the priest, who goes to a distant country, after being engaged.

If a priest, who is first engaged, go to a distant till the returns country on account of urgent affairs, the sacrificer should wait till he returns, and should not, in his absence, perform the sacrifice. But if he hear a rumour of his death, he can have it performed by another priest, who shall have the fee. But if the first priest come back, something should be given to him.

A priest who goes to a disat the time of the sacrifice, although forsacrificer, and recommonded him, to be fined 100panas each.

If a priest repair to a distant land at the time of tant country the sacrifice, when he is forbidden by the sacrificer, he shall be fined 100 panas. The chief priest, who bidden by the recommended so wicked a man, shall also be fined the person who 100 panas.

If a man, who is sick, &o. be engaged as a pricet, he shall be gratifled with presents, &c.

A priest wil-

If a man, who is sick, wicked, mad, or in any other way, disqualified, be engaged as a priest, he shall be gratified with presents, and another appointed with his permission.*

If a priest wilfully reject a blameless sacrificer, fully roject-ing a blame-he shall be fined 200 panas.

A sacrificer willingly forless priest, to be fined.

to be fined.

If a sacrificer willingly forsake a blameless priest. sakinga blame- he shall be fined 200 panas.

A vicious or ignorant priest or vicious or miserly sacrificer.

If a priest be vicious or ignorant, he may properly be discarded. If the sacrificer be vicious and miserly, he may properly be discarded by the priest.

· Provided he be competent to express a wish on the point.

MENU says if a sacrificer forsake a competent priest, or the latter discard an innocent sacrificer, each of them shall be fined 100 panas.

The rule as to the fine of 200 panas is applicable, Where rule as to the where either the priest or the sacrificer willingly fine of 200 discards or forsakes the other, or does so by reason cable. of his wealth.

NAREDA speaks of the three kinds of priests, namely, the hereditary priest, one who is appointed by the party himself, and one who voluntarily performs the sacerdotal functions from choice.

The priest, "who discards a sacrificer, though he be not a grievous offender, nor otherwise faulty, and the sacrificer who discards a priest, though guilty of no grievous offence, shall each be fined."

"This is the law for hereditary priests, and for those who are engaged by the party himself; but there is no offence in discarding a priest, who officiates of his own accord."

VRIHASPATI says that sales and purchases shall be Sale and purconducted according to local rules.

What has been jointly given, shall also be jointly received. He who does not demand the return of to be jointly his loan, forfeits interest. This rule of loans has been already mentioned, and, on this account, is briefly touched upon here.

A priest reecting a sacrificer, though not faulty, and a sacrificer forsaking a pricet though guilty of no grievous offence, shall each be fined.

Three kinds

of pricets.

This law applice in the case of hereditary pricets and of those engaged by the party himself.

chase shall be conducted according to local rules.

Any thing received.

Ao vording to Menu.

the



Hear the rules for the guidance of the agricul-Rules for the guidance of agriculturists. turists.

> "Prudent men conduct cultivation, in partnership with those who are equally provided with beasts of burden, labourers, seed, land, and the implements of husbandry."

He, who causes a loss fy all oultivators.

"He, who, through his deficiency in cattle and in the joint seed, causes a loss in the joint cultivation, shall cultivation, shall indomni- indomnify all the cultivators."

Explanation.

The meaning is that the loss, which is sustained on account of a land that becomes waste, owing to the deficient supply made by a cultivator, shall fall to his share.

Artisan defined.

The sages define an artisan (silpi) to be a person who can manufacture articles of gold and other metals, thread, wood, stone, and leather.

Goldsmiths, muneration ao- work. oording to their work.

What young apprentices

When goldsmiths, and so forth work jointly, together, shall they shall share the remuneration according to their

KATYAYANA specially speaks of these :---If four and able work artisans work together, namely, a young apprentice, men are to re-. a more experienced learner, a good artist, and an instructor, they shall receive, in the order, in which they are mentioned, one, two, three, and four shares, of the pay divided into ten parts.

The superdouble share.

VRIHASPATI says, the superintendent of the buildintendent of the builders of ers of a house or temple, or of those who make entitled to a articles of leather, is entitled to a double share.

"This has been ordained by wise legislators for a Share of singers, &c. band of musicians : let him who marks the time skilfully (take) take a share and a half, and let the singers have equal shares."

"If, in time of war, any property be brought from a hostile territory by pillagers, under the authority from a hostile territory of their lord, they shall give a sixth part of it to the pillagers, shall be divided. king, and divide the rest among themselves in proper proportions.

The chief of the pillagers shall have four shares ; Shares of the chief pilhe who is very valiant, three ; he who is superior in lager, do. strength, two; and the rest one each.

The chief of the pillagers means one who exerts Chief pillager defined. mind and body.

KATYAYANA soys, pillagors shall divide the pro- According to Katyayana. perty brought from a foreign country, according to the fixed rule respecting their shares, and give a tenth part to the king.

If the king countenance the pillagers, he shall The king, if have a sixth part. But if he be in a distant place name from which he cannot support them, he shall receive have a sixth part. a tenth part.

If any of the pillagers be seized, the sum paid by him as ransom shall be made good by all.

These rules hold good with regard to merchants, Where these rules agriculturists, pillagers, artisans, and others, whose good. shares have not been defined.

he countethe

The ransom of such as are captured, to be made good by all.

hold

How property, brought by

Substraction of what has been given, dofined. NAREDA defines it to be the recovery of an article which was not given in due form. It is one of the heads of contests.

Four kinds of gifts. In law there are four kinds of gifts, namely, what may not be given, what may be given, valid gifts, and invalid gifts.

Of what may and may not be given.

There are eight kinds of things that may not be given ; what may be given is of one kind ; valid gifts are of seven, and invalid gifts of sixteen sorts.

When a gift is said to be not given in due form. A gift is said to be not given in due form, when it is composed of things which should not be given, when it is imprudently made, when it is made to a wrong party, when it is made without the assent of the parents of the donor, or when the donor is aged.

According to Vrihaspati, what things may not be given.

VRIHASPATI says, joint property, a son, a wife, a pledge, one's whole wealth, a deposit, an article borrowed for use, and one that has been promised to another, are the eight kinds of things that may not be given.

Interpretation. Here joint property means what belongs to several persons.

What sorts of gift are void. Of these eight kinds, the gift of joint property, a son, and a wife, is void, for none has any right over them according to common sense. The gift of a son and a wife against their will, that of the whole

Digitized by Google

wealth of a person who has a son, and that of an article promised to another, are void according to the rules ordained by the Shastras.

No one has any power over a pledge, a deposit, or a borrowed article.

Even if a person have any power to make a gift of his son, wife, whole wealth, and what has been promised to others, the exercise of it has been prohibited by an injunction in the Shastras.

According to the author of Smritisara, the gift of the whole wealth of a man is valid, if he be the wealth of . sole owner of it. But such a man commits wrong cording to the by doing a prohibited act.

NAREDA says that an article bailed for delivery, a thing lent for use, a pledge, joint property, a deposit, a son, a wife, the whole wealth of a man who has a son, and that which has been promised to another, cannot, according to the sages, be given away even by a person who is oppressed with a grievous calamity. Things which may not be given are therefore of eight kinds, the son and the wife being taken as one.

A son and a wife cannot be given by a man without their assent, nor the whole of his property without that of his hoirs, even in time of distress.

KATYAYANA says a person's son and wife can be According to Katyayana. given with their assent. They cannot be sold or given without their assent.

Pledge, deposit. and borrowed article cannot be given away.

Gift of son. &c., has been prohibited in the Shastras.

The gift of the whole man is, acauthor of Smritisara, valid.

W hat can not be given away, socording to Nareda.

A son and a wife cannot be given without their secont.



J

estate of a man can be sold in time of digtress only.

The whole . The whole of his estate should be kept by him. But it may be given or sold in time of distress. Otherwise it can never be sold or given. "This has been settled in codes of law."

A preson's son and wife orgiven, if they object.

If the sale and gift of a person's son and wife be cannot be sold objected to by them, they must be kept by him. But they can be made over to others with their assent.

The whole of a man's be given or the assont of his heirs.

Others assert that the whole of a man's estate cetate cannot cannot be given or sold even with the assent of his sold, even with heirs, for the very existence of the whole estate is a bar to its sale or gift.

Both the can parents

•

VASISHTHA says that "a son is formed of seminal sell, give, or fluids and of blood proceeding from his father and desert the son. mother as an effect from its cause ;" consequently both the parents have a right to sell, give, or desert him.

An only son should neither taken.

But there is a slight difference in the case of an be given nor only son. VASISITIIA adds, that an only son should neither be given nor taken, "since he must remain to raise up a progeny for the obsequies of ancestors." A woman has no power to give or take a son but with the assent of her husband.

Why a woman cannot adopt a son even with the husband.

An only son should not be given, even with his assent, to guard against the extinction of the family. secont of her A woman has no power to adopt a son, even with the assent of her husband, for she cannot perform the rites of adoption.

The common saying that a woman has no power to take or give a son, but with the assent of her with her husband's assent, husband, shows that, as she can give a son with the so she can adopt one with assent of her husband, so she has power to adopt his consent, one with his assent. Consequently it might be argued that she has power to perform the rites of adoption.

This argument is reasonable. She has a right to do so in association with her husband, but not alone, on with her since in such a case the rule, which empowers her to take a son with her husband but not to perform the rites of adoption, will be infringed.

VRIHASPATI says, what remains after defraying What a man the expenses of the maintenance of his family, a other. man may give to others.

He who acts contrary to this rule practises such To act contrary to this a virtue as converts the honey which he tastes into into in wrong. poison.

KATTAYANA states what may and what may not What a person can or canbe given. A person may give what remains after not give. maintaining his family, and he may give property excepting his dwelling-house, but he cannot give his whole estate.

Ho who exercises charity by putting his family to inconvenience, commits a sin; whereas he who by putting his helps the poor, out of what remains after maintain- family to ining his family, performs a good deed.

By the violation of the above rule, a person not only fails to practise virtue but commits sin.

He who exerciace charity commits sin.

A woman can adopt a

husband.

75

As a woman can give a son

But such charity cannot be reclaimed.

Such charity is admissible, since it is exercised in a legal manner. Consequently, any thing given in this way, cannot be taken back.

A gift of the Therefore a gift of the goods of others is invalid. goods of others is invalid.

Such a rule also holds good with regard to · immovable property.

It is mentioned in the Smritisara that such a rule also holds good with regard to immovable property.

A gift of joint property requires the assent of all the partners.

A gift of joint property requires the assent of all the partners, but that of personal property does not require such assent.

Vribaspati's authority.

4

VRIHASPATI confirms this by saying that a gift made out of the seven virtuous means of acquiring property, house and land, whether ancestral or selfacquired, is valid.

Self-acquired property can be given by its owner Belf-acquired property can be dispos-ed of, at the at his pleasure.

How a pledge may be transforred.

option of its owner.

> A pledge can be given (transferred) according to the rules of mortgage. The whole of the ancestral property, or what is received in time of marriage, cannot be given.

Seven virtuous means of acquiring property.

MENU says that "succession, occupancy, or donation, and purchase or exchange, conquest, lending at interest, husbandry or commerce, and acceptance

of presents from respectable men," are the seven virtuous means of acquiring property.

Succession means what is inherited.

Occupancy or Donation means waif.

Purchase means what is bought.

Conquest means what is acquired by war.

Lending at Interest means a loan for interest.

Husbandry or Commerce means tillage or trade.

Acceptance of presents from respectable men means the receipt of gifts made by virtuous men.

Besides these, there are several other means of Other means acquiring property. An article, obtained by any property. means, may be disposed of according to the choice of the owner.

What belongs to many may be given with their assent.

Joint erty may be given

acquiting

Joint ancestral immovable property may be given with the assent of all the heirs.

Joint ances tral immovable property how giveu.

When a gift

It is said that a gift of saudaic, ancestral proof soudaio anperty, and what has been gained by strength, is central proper ty is valid. valid, when it is made by a man with the assent of his wife, kinsmen, and master respectively.

Saudaic means what is received in time of marrifined. age for the use of the bride.

Saudaie de-



Interpretations.

Consent of the wife is not giving clothes, ko.

If a man wish to make a gift of it, it is proper. necessary for that he should obtain the consent of his wife, which, however, is not necessary, when he makes a gift of the clothes, &c., which he received for his own use.

> If her assent in the latter case be necessary, the meaning of the law becomes obscure.

Joint snces. movable, can immovable. be given with the assent of all the heirs.

The assent of all the heirs is required for a gift tral property, whether mov- of joint ancestral property, whether movable or

What gifts require the asking.

The assent of the king is required for a gift of sont of the elephants and other valuables obtained by war, for it is understood that they belong to him. But it is not required, when clothes, &c., are given, for soldiers generally get them.

What gift requires the asso t of a conquered king.

Others say that the assent of a conquered king is required for a gift of horses, &c., which were given by him to his soldiers, and conquered and returned by the victor.

What is meant by a pledge being of mortgage.

By the saying that a pledge shall be given by the rules of mortgage, is meant that it should be given according to the rules given in the following manner :--- "Such an article has been pledged to me (pledgee). I transfer it to you. You may deliver it to its owner on receiving the principal, interest, &c."

Kinsmen, whether joint or separate, have equal A single power over immovable property. Consequently, one not dispose of of them is not competent to sell, give, or pledge it. property.

According to this passage, where the whole pro- Partners canperty has been divided but it is yet unknown what or plodge that portion will fall to whose share, separate partners has been dividhave no power to give, sell, or pledge that property, distributed because it belongs to all.

When the whole property is actually divided, the individual action of the shareholders is valid.

Others say that here shareholders signify sons. Consequently, sons have no power over immovable sons. property, even when it is divided during the lifetime of their father. They are, therefore, competent to make a gift of the aforesaid seven means of income.

A gift of an article which belongs to the donor, Gift not be recalled. cannot be recalled.

HARITA says that, if a person do not give what he had promised, or if he take back what he has non who does given, he becomes liable to different kinds of tor- he promised, ments and becomes a bird or the like in the next Ło. world.

If a promise be not performed, it continues as a debt both in this and the next world.

immovable

not give, sell, property which

When an individual ohareholder may not independently.

Here shareholders means

Gift not to

The punishment of a pernot give what

A promise not performed is a debt both in this and the next world.



. He who does not give a fined.

KATYAYANA says, he who does not give a priest priset what he what he promised of his own accord, shall be forced promised of by the king to pay it as a debt and fined 250 cord, shall be panas.

It is said in the Matsya Purana that a person He who does not give what he has promis- who does not give what he has promised, shall be ed, shall be fined a mohar. fined a mohar.

GAUTAMA specially says, a gift, even if it were Gifts should not be made to wicked per- promised, should not be made to wicked persons.

Wicked persons defined.

sons.

L

¢'

4

r

Here wicked persons means such as are declared by the law incapable of receiving gifts from their want of religious instruction.

Digitized by Google

VALID GIFTS.

VRIHASPATI says, "Things once delivered on the what things cannot be refollowing accounts cannot be resumed, such as wages sumed. for the pleasure of hearing poets or musicians and the like, the price of goods sold, a nuptial gift to a bride or her family, an acknowledgment to a benefactor, a present to a worthy man, from natural affection or from friendship."

These eight valid gifts are, properly speaking, only Boven kinds of gilts. seven, since what is given out of friendship is included in the other gifts.

ĸ



INVALID GIFTS.

What sort not given.

NAREDA says. "What has been given by men of gifts shall be considered agitated with fear, anger, lust, grief, or the pain of an incurable disease, or as a bribe, or in jest, or by mistake, or through any fraudulent practice, by a minor, an idiot, a person not his own master, a diseased man, one insane or intoxicated, an outcast, or in consideration of work unperformed, must be considered as ungiven."

Katyayana on the subject.

KATYAYANA says, what has been given by mistake in jest, by a person not his own master, or agitated with lust, anger, or the pain of an incurable disease, by an hermaphrodite or an idiot, must be considered as ungiven.

· A bribe is by no means to be given.

" If a bribe be promised for any purpose, it shall, by no means, be given, although the consideration be performed.

Bribes to be restored by force.

"But if it had at first been actually given, it shall be restored by forcible means; and a fine of eleven times as much is ordained by the son of GARGA and by the son of MENU."

A gift made by a diseased man from virtuous motives, is valid.

If a diseased man make a gift with virtuous motives, it shall be considered as valid. For KATYAYANA says, what a person, whether he is healthy or not, has given, or promised to give, for INVALID GIFTS.

virtuous deeds, shall be paid even by his sons after his death.

KATYAYANA defines utcocha (bribe) to be any Bribe defined. thing "received for giving information of a thief or a robber, of a man violating the rules of his class, or of an adulterer, for producing a man of depraved manners ready to commit thefts or other crimes, or for procuring a man to give false testimony." The giver shall not be fined, but an arbitrator or intermediate person, receiving a bribe, shall be held guilty."

What is given to persons for arresting robbers, resting roband to witnesses for inducing them to speak the bors, or speaking the truth, truth, may be taken back, even when they perform may be taken the required act.

A gift of a thing made under the influence of lust or anger, has been touched upon under "Debt." under the in-fluence of lust

VRIHASPATI says, "What is given by a person in Gifts made by a man unwrath or excessive joy, or through inadvertence, der the influor during disease, minority or madness, or under to, are accordthe impulse of terror, or by one intoxicated or pati, void. extremely old, or by an outcast, or an idiot, or by a man afflicted with grief or with pain, or what is given in sport; all this is declared ungiven, or void." But what is given by these persons with virtuous motives, is valid. " If any thing be given given for a consideration unperformed, or to a bad man tive is valid. mistaken for a good one, or for any illegal act, the owner may take it back."

back. A gift made

or anger.

Gifts for ar-

ing to Vrihas-

What is from virtuous mo-

INVALID GIFTS.

Monu's authority on the above.

MENU confirms this, by saying that what a person has promised for a virtuous action, can be taken back, if it be not performed.

A donee ishable.

If such a gift be made, and it be returned by the gift out of donee out of pride or avarice, the king shall fine rice, is pun- him one mohar.

He who recoives what is given, or gives given, should be punished.

NAREDA says, "He who foolishly receives what is deemed un- deemed ungiven, and he who gives what may not what cannot be be legally aliened, should be punished by the king, who knows the law."



NON-PAYMENT OF WAGES OR HIRE.

VRIHASPATI says, what may not be given, &c., Rules for servants. having been mentioned, the rules for servants will now be touched upon.

The breach of a contract for service is a head of Breach of contract for Breach of dispute. service, a head of dispute.

The disputes that arise between a master and his Three kinds of servania. servant for wages will be mentioned in course. Servants are of three kinds, according to their respective amounts of wages.

NAREDA speaks of the different kinds of servants. Nareda on the same sub-The learned have spoken of five kinds of "persons ject. bound to obedience :" four are servants or labourers. and the remaining one is a slave. There are again fifteen kinds of slaves.

NAREDA says, "A pupil, an apprentice, a hired servant, and, fourthly, a commissioned servant, perslavcs. form work ; slaves are those born of a female slave in the house, or the like."

The wise have said that all these owe a certain All these owe degree of dependence.

VRIHASPATI speaks of the four kinds of servants. Servants accepting service These four accept service for science, human know- for science, ac. ledge, love, or pay.

Difference between ser-

a certain degree of dependence.

NON-PAYMENT OF WAGES OR HIRE.

Science defined.

Science signifies a knowledge of the three Vedus, for the acquisition of which a pupil serves his preceptor, according to the rules laid down in the Shastras.

How long a pupil should obey his preceptor.

According to NAREDA, a pupil should obey his preceptor, as long as he cannot acquire science. He should equally obey his preceptor's wife and son.

What are called human sciences.

VRIHASPATI describes an apprentice :--- " Arts consisting of work in gold, husbandry, and the like, and the art of dancing and the rest, are called human sciences; let him who studies these, perform work in his teacher's house."

He who wishes to acquire his own art should reside near an instructor, fixing a certain period of apprenticeship.

NAREDA says, " Let him who wishes to acquire his own art, with the assent of his kinsmen, reside near an instructor, fixing a certain period of appren-Let the teacher instruct him, keeping ticeship. him in his own house, and not employ him in other work, but treat him as a son."

A pupil is not allowed to instructor.

A pupil shall not be allowed to desort a good desert a good instructor. If he do so, he shall be compelled by forcible means to reside near him, and is liable to " stripes and confinement."

How long a pupil must reside with his preceptor.

A pupil must reside with his preceptor during the fixed time, even if he acquire the art before the expiration of it.

How long the instructor may take the profit of the work of his ticeship. pupil.

The instructor shall take the profit of the work of his pupil, as long as the latter is in his appren-

Having acquired human knowledge within the when and in what menfixed time, the pupil shall offer to the teacher "the ner the pupil may leave his best reward in his power," and depart with his permission.

VRIHASPATI says, that he who commits adultery A lover of another's fowith the female slave of a person is called (burro- mole shall labor for babrita) lover of another's female slave. Such a her master. man shall labor for the master of the female slave. in the same manner as other slaves do.

The servant for pay is declared to be of many Servant for sorts; another is the servant for a share of the profits.

These shall work and get wages according to contract.

These shall work and get wagos according to con-

Husbandmen and herdsmen have servants of two kinds. They get a share of the grain and the milk men have serof cows. Those who work for a share of the profits kinds. have been included among servants, who work Therefore there are four kinds of serupon wages. vants or labourers.

Husbandmen and herdsvants of two

Three kinds of labourers.

NAREDA says there are three kinds of labourers (bhritaka) and refers to others. The hire of their labour should be fixed according to "their strength and to the benefit derived from their exertions." A soldier is the highest of these ; a servant employed in husbandry is middlemost; a carrier of burdens is the lowest.

A commissioned servant.

"He who shall be commissioned for affairs, or for the superintendence of the family, should be considered as a commissioned servant; and he is also called a family servant in some instances."

According to Vrihaspati. such servaut is included in the class paid servants

VRIHASPATI has included the abovementioned servant in the class of servants who work upon But NAREDA has considered him different of wages. for a slight distinction.

Four kinds of servants of servants perform impure work.

VRIHASPATI says that the four kinds of servants perform pure perform pure work. The fifteen kinds of servants fifteen kinds perform impure work.

Pure and impure work.

Work is said to be of two sorts, pure and impure. What is done by the servant is pure, and what is done by the slave, impure.

What is to be considered impure work.

"Cleaning the house, the gateway, the necessary, and the road, removing the dirt and rubbish, and all other impurities, attending the master at his pleasure, and rubbing his limbs, are to be considered as impure work; and all other work as pure."

Fifteen kinds of alaves declared by the law.

" One born of a female slave in the house of her master, one bought, one received by donation, one inherited from ancestors, one maintained in a famine, one pledged by a *former* master, one relieved from great debt, one made captive in war, a slave won in a stake, one who has offered himself in this form, 'I am thine,' an apostate from religious mendicity, a slave for a stipulated time, one maintained in

Digitized by Google

consideration of service, a slave for the sake of his wife, and one self-sold, are fifteen kinds of slaves declared by the law."

NAREDA says that an apostate from religious men-An apostate from religious dicity shall be the slave of the king, and cannot be mendicity shall be the slave cmancipated, for there is no penance for him. of the king, do.

KATYAYANA says, " where men of the three twiceborn classes forsake religious mendicity, let the born classes, king banish a man of the sacerdotal class, and religious mendicity, reduce to slavery a man of the military or com- punished. mercial class."

DAKSHA says, "if a man, after assuming religious mendicity, abide not by his duty, let the king roligious mencause him to be lacerated by the feet of dogs, and ished. immediately banish him."

NAREDA speaks of those slaves who may and who " Of those may not may not be emancipated from slavery. slaves, the first four (one born in the house, one bought, one received, and one inherited) are not of right released from slavery : unless they be emancipated by the indulgence of their masters, their servitudo is horeditary."

By slaves are meant these four. Others are Interpretation. called slaves, because they resemble these four in their dependence.

Slaves who may and who mancipated.

How men of the three twicewho renounce

How a man. vho renounces dicity, is pun-

L

NON-PAYMENT OF WAGES OR HIRE.

In a following text to the effect that the wife of a slave shall also be the slave of the master of the bridegroom, one of these slaves is referred to.

The vilest of slaves is he who, being independent. sells himself.

"That low man, who being independent, sells himself, is the vilest of slaves; he also cannot be released from slavery," but by the indulgence of his master.

The speech of Hurrischunder Markandes Purana, about the natural Budra, is. merely indicative of his vileness.

The speech of Hurrischunder in Markandea in Purana to the effect that, "a Sudra, though emancipated by his master, is not released from a state of servitude of a servitude, for, of a state which is natural to him, by whom can he be divested ?" is merely indicative of the vileness of a Sudra. For if their bondage be natural, how can the slokas with regard to their purchase stand ?

second A person cannot acquire absclute dominion over the slave, who, having given himself in this form, "I am thine" goes to him.

"Over the slave, who, having given himself in this form, ' I am thine,' goes to another, the second master does not acquire absolute dominion; the former owner may at pleasure reclaim him." .

The king shall liborate those who are stolen and sold by thieves, &c.

"They who are stolen and sold by thieves, and they who are enslaved by force, should be liberated by the king; their slavery is not admitted."

A slave who rescues hin master from i nminent danger of his life, to be emancipated and rewarded.

"Among those whoever rescues his master from imminent danger of his life, shall be released from slavery, and shall receive the share of a son."

"One maintained in a famine is released from When one maintained in servitude, on giving a pair of oxen; for, what was a famine is relessed consumed in a famine, is not discharged by labour sorvitude. alone."

"One pledged is also released, when his master A pledged slave is roloneredeems him by discharging the debt; but, if the ed by master's creditor take him in payment of his demand, he charging his dobt becomes a purchased slave."

A debtor is relieved from bondage by the payment of the debt with interest.

From the statement that a debtor may be forced to labor, it is clear that a slave for debt has been already mentioned.

He who becomes a slave for a fixed time for liquidating his debt, is emancipated on the expiration of the time.

"One who offered himself in this form, 'I am thine,' one made captive in war, and a slave won in a stake, are emancipated on giving a substitute equally capable of labour."

"One maintained in consideration of service is immediately released on relinquishing his subsistence, and a slave for the sake of his bride is cman- be released. cipated by divorcing his wife."

KATYAYANA says, "if a man approach his own female slave, and she bear him a son, she must, in man may be consideration of her progeny, be enfranchised with

When he who becomes a slave for a fixed time for liquidating his debt, may be emancipated.

Emancination of other kinds of slaves.

When one maintained in consideration of pervice may

When a fcmale slave of a emancipated.

from

his

dit

When a debtor is released

from bondage.

NON-PAYMENT OF WAGES OR HIRE.

her child." In the Prakasha, Parijata, and Ratnakara, it is said that if the man, who approaches his own female slave, and has a son by her, have no other son, she must be enfranchised with her child. Otherwise, her emancipation cannot be secured.

Nareda on the form of omancipating a alave.

NAREDA says that "a benevolent man, who desires to emancipate his own slave," should "take a vessel of water from off the shoulder of the slave. and instantly break it. Sprinkling the head of the slave with water from a vessel containing rice and flowers, and thrice calling him free, the master should dismiss him with his face towards the east."

Privileges of one emaucipatvery.

Thenceforward let the slave be called "one cheed from ele- rished by his master's favour :" the food prepared by him may be eaten, and gifts from him may be accepted ; " and he is respected by worthy men."

A wife, slave, and son, have no property.

These three persons, a wife, a slave, and a son, have no property. Any thing, which they may acquire, belongs to the person to whom they themselves belong.

When sons divide may cetelo.

DEVALA says that sons may, on the death of their their father's father, divide his estate among themselves, for they have no claim upon it during his life-time, if he be blameless, and MENU has declared that women, during the life-time of their husbands, and slaves during that of their masters have no rights.

Brahmins may tako things from Sudras.

Brahmins may, without apprehension, take things from Sudras, for these have claim over nothing, since their property belongs to their master.

KATYAYANA says that a man has dominion over The slave's estate subject the estate of his slave. But he has no right to the to the domigoods given out of kindness to the slave by him or mester. obtained by the slave by the sale of his freedom.

The wife of a slave shall also be the slave of The wife of a slave is his master, for her husband being her master, she the slave of his master. must be the slave of her master's master.

The wife of a slave, even when she is the slave of another, must also be the slave of hor husband's of another. master. Consequently, a female slave requires the permission of her own master for her marriage. because it is the cause of her emancipation from bondage to her own master. If she marry without the permission of her own master, her marriage cannot be valid, and she cannot be relieved from servitude to him.

nion of his

Ryen when

93

PERSONS LIABLE TO SLAVERY.

Slavery is not legal in the inverse order of the classes.

NAREDA says that, " in the inverse order of the classes, slavery is not legal, excepting in the case of one who forsakes his duty; in this respect, the condition of a slave is held similar to that of a wife."

A member of a superior be the slave of class.

A member of any superior class cannot be the class cannot slave of one of any inferior class. But he who forone of inferior sakes his duty, may be.

A Brahmin can never be a slavo.

KATYAYANA says that a Brahmin can never be a slave. Slavery should be limited to the three inferior classes.

A Brahmin should never even of his equal.

A Brahmin should never be the slave even be the slave of his equal. "A gentle and learned man may employ in labour one inferior to himself in those qualities."

A Brahmin versed in the not cause an inferior of his own class to perform impure work.

A Brahmin versed in the Vedas should not cause Vodas should an inferior of his own class to perform impure work, "for the glory of a king is obliterated by the slavery of a Brahmin." VRIUASPATI has declared that "the law permits the servitude of men of the military, commercial, and servile classes, to one of an equal class, on some accounts, but on no account let a man compel a Brahmin to perform scrvile acts."

The meaning of the above is that a learned man may cause an ignorant man of his class to labor, an and that a very learned man may make his inferiors class to labor, work for him. But they should never engage them in impure work, such as removing filth, and so forth.

MENU says that poor soldiers and merchants should be maintained by the Brahmins, if they come for employment. But they shall perform their own dutics.

The king shall impose a fine of 600 panas on that Brahmin who improperly compels men of his own class to do the work of a Sudra.

A Sudra, whether he is purchased or not, shall A Sudra do all kinds of work. For God has made him a kinds of work. slave.

A Sudra, even when he is emancipated by his master, cannot be free; for bondage being natural to him, who can deliver him from it?

VISHNU says, he who easlaves a Brahmin shall be fined 1000 panas.

KATYAYANA says, the king shall punish a man, who scizes a Brahmin woman or sells her, or who "enslaves a woman of family, impelled by lust, or đ٥. causes her to be approached by another." The bondage of a Brahmin woman or a woman of family is invalid.

A learned man may cause ignorant man of his

Poor soldiers and merchants should be maintained by the Brehmins, if they come for employment.

A Brahmin compelling his equals to do the work of a Sudra, to be fined.

shall do all

A Sudra can never be free.

He who enslavce a Brahmin, is to be fined.

The king shall punish a man, who seizes a Brahmin woman,

He treats as a of an infant be fined.

who He who "treats as a slave the nurse of an infant elave the nurse child, or a free woman, or the wife of his dependchild, &c., shall ent," shall be fined 250 panas.

He who at-

۰.

He who attempts to sell "an obedient female an obedient slave, who resists the sale, and he is not in distress, but able to subsist, shall pay a fine of 200 panas.


NAREDA says that a man shall pay wages to his Wages servant, in proportion to work done, at the beginto contract. ning, middle, or end of the work, according to contract.

Where the amount of wages is not fixed, the servant of a merchant, a herdsman, and the servant of a husbandman, shall respectively get a tenth herdsman, &c., part of the profits of goods sold, of the milk, and is not fixed. of the grain.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, that a servant shall be paid according to the work performed by him.

VRIHASPATI says, that "the man who guides the ploughshare" shall receive a third or a fifth part of the crop.

The meaning of the above is that the ploughman who gets food and clothes shall receive a fifth part, and he who does not get them, a third part of the crop.

NAREDA's provision of a tenth part of the produce as wages for the servants of husbandmen, is applicable in the case of all save the ploughman.

APASTAMBA says that, if a ploughman forsake his work, and the crop be thereby destroyed, he shall be beaten with a rod. If a herdsman forsake his work, stroying he shall be beaten with a rod and his own animals inhable. shall be seized.

of servants to be paid according

What wages are due to the servant of merchant, when the same

servant shall be paid according ta the work performed by him.

The man who guides the ploughshare shall receive a third or a fifth part of the erop.

What ploughman shall get fifth and what ploughman a third part of the orop.

Where Nareda's rule as to wages applicable.

A ploughman foreaking his work, and thereby de. the

What wages the servants of shall receive, has been settlod.

VRIDHA MENU says that, when nothing has been merchant settled, the servants of a merchant shall receive when nothing such wages as will be recommended by those who understand commercial affairs, and know what articles are procurable in what season and in what country.

He, who, receiving wages, does not perform his work. do so, is punishable.

VRIHASPATI says that he, who, having received his wages, does not perform his work, when he is able when he can to do so, shall be fined twice the amount of his pay, and shall return the wages to his master.

Yagnyavalkya on the point.

YAGNYAVALKYA says that he, who, having received his wages, forsakes his work, shall be fined twice the amount of his pay; and he who forsakes the work he has undertaken without taking wages. shall be fined the amount of his wages, and his implements, &c., should be kept by the other servants.

He who does not perform a work contracted for, shall and then forced to do it.

NAREDA says that he, who does not perform a work contracted for, shall be first paid, and then be first paid, forced to do it.

VRIDHA MENU says that, if a servant do not work, A servant, who does not work, is to be he shall be fined 200 panas. fined.

This rule is applicable where the servant, having Where the rule holds commenced the work, does not finish it. good.

A servant. who does not work from wantonness, is punishable.

MENU says that a servant, who does not perform his work, not from inability to do so but from wantonness, shall be fined eight krishnalas of gold and shall not receive his wages.

If work, engaged for, be not performed by a servant, whether he is in health or not, wages shall not be given to him, even if but a small portion of the work enhis work remain to be done.

If a servant, having recovered from sickness, finish his work even after a long time, wages shall be paid to him.

NAREDA says that wages shall not be paid to that servant, who abandons his work, before the that servant, expiration of the appointed time. But if he do so in consequence of the fault of his master, he shall get wages for the work which he has already performed.

According to VISHNU, if a servant neglect his work before the expiration of the appointed time, he shall forfeit the whole of his wages and pay a fine of 100 panas. If his master dismiss him, without any reasonable cause, before the expiration of the fixed time, the whole of his wages shall be given him, and a fine of 100 panas shall be paid by the master.

VRIDHA MENU says that a servant shall make good the loss which he has caused by his carelessness. But if he had caused it with a view to do coused by his carelesences. wrong, he shall pay twice its value.

But if any thing be stolen, burned, or drowned, its value is not to be made good by the servant, stolen, or dethat is, what has not been lost on account of his not to be made fault, shall not be made good by him.

Wages shall not be given to a servant, unless he perform gaged for.

Wages to be paid, if a sick servant finish his work, even after a long time.

Wages shall not be paid to who abandons his work before the 61piration of the appointed time.

Vishnu on the point.

Abridat zvz fico daviavi

servani shell make good the lose

The value of any thing stroyed, i. good by him.

WAGES AND HIRE.

The master is responsible for offences, committed, by bis order, by his servant.

VRIHASPATI says, that if a servant steal or do any improper act, pursuant to the order of his master, the latter shall be responsible for it.

He, who does not impart instruction.suall return the wages him.

It is mentioned in the Matsya Purana that he. who, having received wages, does not impart a paid knowledge of letters, nor teach the arts, shall be forced by a good king to return the wages.

The remuneration to be servant of a merchant, who dismissed him after he had performed half the journey.

VRIDHA MENU says, that if a merchant dismiss a given to the servant whom he engaged to sell his goods in the course of a certain journey, he must pay full wages to the servant for the part of the way which they travelled, and half of the wages for the part which they did not travel over.

If the goods be soized or way, what vant is to receive.

He who does not pay wages to his servants is punishable.

A man on a journey, who does not take care of a wearied or sick servant, is punishable.

KATYAYANA says, if the goods be seized or stolen stolen on the on the way, wages shall be given to the servant for wages the ser- the part of the way which he travelled over.

> VRIHASPATI says, that a man, who does not pay wages to his servants even when work has been done, shall be forced by the king to pay, and severely punished.

> KATYAYANA says, that if a man on a journey leave a tired or sick servant and do not take care of him. (by keeping him) in a village for three days, he shall be fined 250 panas.

> >

OF PROSTITUTES.

NAREDA says, if a prostitute forsake her paramour after taking an advance, she shall be fined twice paramour, af the sum she may have taken. But if the paramour advance, refuse to receive her, he shall merely lose the money he advanced. If, however, the prostitute do not attend when sent for, because she is unwell, afraid, tired, or employed in the service of the king, she is not to blame.

It is said in the Matsya Purana that a prostitute, who, having received money from one man, goes to another and does not return it, shall be going to ancompelled to do the latter.

If a man unnaturally abuse a prostitute's person, or cause her to be approached by many, he shall be ing a prosticompelled to pay eight times the amount of the money promised and a fine of an equal amount.

He who brings a prostitute for a man other than the one for whom she was engaged, shall be fined for a masha of gold.

If a man do not pay a prostitute, he shall be compelled to pay her twice the amount she ought to a pro-titute in have received, and a fine of an equal amount to the king.

A prostitute. forsaking her tor taking 871 punishable.

A prostitute, receiving money from one man and other, shall be compelled to return it.

A man un-&c., shall be fined.

A man bringing a prostitute 'a man, other than the one for whom alie Was ongaged, shall be fined.

A man who does not pay punishable.



OF PROSTITUTES.

What a prostitute approached by several men is to receive.

If several men approach a prostitute, each of them shall pay her twice the amount which she would have received, had only one of them approached her, and a fine of an equal amount to the king.

The principal harlot shall determine disputes arising among the frequenters of her house.

NAREDA says, that if "a dispute arise among the lascivious frequenters of her house, in respect of matters occurring there, the wise have declared that it shall be determined by the principal harlot."

~~~~~~

.



# OF RENT AND HIRE.

HE, who lives in a house built on a tenanted land, shall, at the time of removal, take away his straw, bricks, and so forth. But if he do not pay rent, he cannot take away the straw, and so forth, without the permission of the proprietor of the land.

KATYAYANA says, if a person, having hired a house, tank, bazar, and so forth, do not give up possession thereof, he shall pay the rent until he give up posses do so.

Tank in the abovementioned sentence means tank. one that has not been devoted to charitable purposes.

NAREDA says, he who having hired elephants, horses, cows, asses, camels, and so forth, does not return them, after he ceases to require them, shall them. pay for them as long as he keeps them.

VRIDHA MENU says that he, who, having hired a The hire of arriage, to carriage for the purpose of going to any place, does be paid, even if it have not not pay the hire, shall be compelled to pay it even been used. if he do not use the carriage.

By carriage is here meant also a boat or any Interpretation. other conveyance.

He, who quite land on which he had built a house, may, on paying the rent. take away his materials.

The tenant of houses and landsshall pay rent, until he sion thereof.

Definition of

אצעינט נטון בטב וואדעא

A hirer of certain animals shall pay for them as long as he keeps

Digitized by Google

Things yielding rent revert to the owner on the expiration of appointed time. the appointed time.

If any article be injured or broken owing to a the be injured, and unavoidan unavoidable cause the hirer shall repair it after the fixed time. hirer shall repair it.

If it be not returned on the expiration of the time, and if it be injured even by the of time, the lines is to be made good.

Digitized by Google

104

#### OF DISPUTES BETWEEN MASTER AND SERVANT.

MENU says that a cowherd, who does not get What a cowfood and clothes, may take the milk of the best of not get food ten cows with the permission of their owner.

This rule is applicable in the case of persons who tend cows that have calves.

NAREDA speaks of the tending of cows in general. He who tends 100 cows shall annually have a calf three years old, and he who tends 200 cows, shall get a cow with a calf. Both of these herdsmen shall have every eighth day the milk of all the cows.

VRIMASPATI says, cowherds shall get the milk every eighth day.

NAREDA says that cowherds shall, at day break, take the cows to pasture, and every evening return them to their owner, when they have eaten grass and drunk water.

YAGNYAVALKYA says that a cowherd, receiving wages, shall pay the price of a cow that may have been lost or stolen through his negligence.

MENU says, cowherds are to blame for any injury done to the cows in the day. But the blame falls for injury done on their owner, if they be injured during the night day. in his house. But if they be kept out of the house at night, the herdsmen are to blame.

N

herd who does and clothes is to reocive.

Where this rule is applicable.

Nareda on the remuneration of persons tending oows.

Cowherds shall got the milk every eighth der.

Putics of cowherds.

A hired cowherd to pay for a cow lost or stolen through neglect.

Cowherds are to blause to cows in the



A cowherd is not to blame, if cows be taken by robbers.

MENU and NAREDA say that a cowherd cannot be blamed, if the cows be forcibly taken by robbers, and if he give notice to the owner, in a proper place and season.

The cowherd is not to blame, if any of the cattle be seized, &c., during his captivity.

According to VAS, the cowherd "is not chargeable, if he be made captive, if the village be overpowered, or if the district be thrown into confusion, and any of the cattle be seized or destroyed."

Cowherds shall defend cows from insects, do.

VRIHASPATI says cowherds shall try their utmost to defend cows from insects and reptiles, robbers and tigers, and protect them from falling into pits They should call out for help, when and caves. any accident happens, or inform the owner of it.

Cowherds shall make good the loss sustained by their not defeading the cows from insects, &c.

If cows be taken away by robbers, &o., through the the herdsman, he shall pay the value of them.

The cowherds shall make good the loss sustained by the owner owing to their not defending the cows from insects and reptiles, calling out for help. or informing the owner of any accident, and shall pay a fine to the king.

MENU says if the cows be taken away by robbers. destroyed by insects and reptiles or dogs, or die by carclessness of falling into caves or pits, or stray owing to the carelessness of the herdsman, he shall pay the value of them.

Cowherds. through whose have strayed, &c., shall pay the price of them.

The meaning of the above is that the cowherds neglect cows shall pay the price of cows that have strayed or have been killed owing to their want of proper care.



YAGNYAVALKYA says that, if cows die owing to the negligence of their keeper, he shall pay their price and a fine of thirteen panas and a half.

VISHNU says if cows stray, when they are taken without the permission of their owner, their keeper shall be fined twenty-five kahanas.

It is said in the Brahma Purana that the king shall torture that cowherd to death, who, having taken wages, comes to the interior of the village, shall be torturleaving the cows in a desolate forest.

If a cow die, of some disease, in the stall of her owner, he shall be fined and forced to pay wages to her keeper.

NAREDA says, the rules prescribed for cowherds are applicable in the case of all other herdsmen.

On the death of a cow, its keeper becomes free from every responsibility, if he carry its horns, tail, or the like, to its owner. The death of the animal requires proof.

If cows dia through the neglect of their is keeper, he punishable.

If cows stray, when they are taken without the permission of their owner, their keeper shall be flood.

Cowherds, who leave cows in a desolate forest. ed to death,

If a cow die, through dis-**6636**, in the stall, the owner shall be fined, åo.

The rules prescribed for cowherds 879 applicable in the case of other herde men.

On the death of a cow, her keeper becomes free, if he carry the horns or tail to the own-**67**.



# NON-PERFORMANCE OF AGREEMENTS.

Villagers and others to frame certain rules for public purposes.

VRIHASPATI says that the villagers, workmen, Brahmins, &c, shall frame certain rules for redressing public grievances and performing good deeds.

The oppression of the king and robbery can be put down by a body of men.

The oppression of the king and robbery, being common dangers, can be put down by a body of men, but not by an individual.

In public movements, business commence on

In such public movements, mutual confidence being first established by wealth, agreement, or mutual con- the attestation of witnesses, business shall be fidence being commenced.

Some persons to be auvillagore counsellors.

Two, three, or five persons shall be appointed by pointed by the the villagers as their advisers. These shall be pure, versed in the Vedas, polite, prudent, the offspring of noble families, and competent to do every description of work, and their advice shall direct the villagers.

Their advice to be attended \$2.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, all should listen to the advice of these men. He who will disobey it shall be fined 250 panas.

He who disregards advice, &c., is punishable.

KATYAYANA prescribes a penalty of 250 panas for him, who disregards reasonable advice, interrupts speakers, and talks unreasonably.

Digitized by Google

According to NAREDA, the king shall keep the of libersone infidels, citizens, mcrchants, soldiers, and the like, in should be kept order, both in a place difficult of access and in a frequented spot. All classes of persons should be kept in order.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, that every person shall perform a duty, ordained for the good of all, if it be not against his own duty, as the favor of the king for the good of all, if it be should be monthly secured.

The orders of the king shall also be obeyed, as the performance of any work appointed by the king be obeyed. for public welfare.

KATYATANA says, he who insolently disobeys the orders of the king, shall be punished.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, that he who takes the property of the public, and does not obey the orders of the king, shall be deprived of his property, and driven out of the country.

KATYAYANA says, it is the opinion of Burigu that a highway robber, a violator of the rules of the community, and a waster of public wealth, shall be to doub. put to death with the permission of the king.

VRIHASPATI says, he who having power to obey obrys the orders of the king, does not obey them, shall be deprived of all his property and banished.

He, who excites disputes and disobeys the rules He who excites disputes, of the community, shall be fined six nishkas, each of the fined, shall be which is equal to four gold mohars.

in order.

Rvery one is bound to perform duty, ordained not against his own duty.

The king's orders also to

A person insolently disobeying the king's orders, is to be punished.

He who takes public prop<del>er</del>ty do., is punishable.

Highway robbers and disorderly persons to be put

He who disthe king's orders to be punished.

#### NON-PERFORMANCE OF AGREEMENTS. 110

According to MENU adds silver weighing 320 ratis to the above-Mann. mentioned fine.

The word nishka signifies four gold mohars. Nishka de-The fined. reason of this explanation is to prevent its being understood for 150 or 5 mohars, to which it is equal according to some sages.

He who wounds the feelings of others, excites who the of quarrels, commits violent deeds, and acts maliciothers, &c., to ously towards all and the king, shall be soon be soon babanished.

He who discontinues esting in the same line or pot with another, without any fult of the latter, shall be punished.

He

wounds

feelings

nished.

Tradesmen. who conspire to deprive the king of his dues, to be punished.

He, who, heing engaged in public business, reaps any benefit, shall forfeit it.

Gain derived from any public business belongs to the community.

A public servant shall pay the debt, incurred on the for his own benefit.

KATYAYANA says, he, who eats in the same line or pot with another and discontinues doing so without any fault of the latter, shall be punished.

VRIHASPATI says, the tradesmen who conspire to abscond and deprive the king of his dues, shall be compelled to pay eight times that amount.

YAGNYAVALKYA says that he, who, being engaged in a public business, reaps any private benefit therefrom, shall pay it for the public welfare. If he object to do so, he shall be forced to pay eleven times the amount.

VRIHASPATI says that gain, derived from any public business, shall belong to the community.

KATYAYANA says, a public servant shall pay the debt, which he has incurred on the public account public account for his own benefit.

Why it is to be paid by The meaning of the above is that, since the debt has not been incurred for the public welfare, it is him. to be paid by him who has contracted it.

A man, who is subsequently admitted into a A joint business, with the consent of all the share-holders, shall participate in the receipts as well as ceipts as well the debts of the business.

au beequent, partner as the debts of the joint buainess.

"He who remains" in the firm "is a sharer in all matters relating to provisions, partible stock, gifts and duty; but he who forsakes it, is entitled to no share."

He who remains in the firm is a sharer in all matters relating to provisions, to.



# **RESCISSION OF SALE AND PURCHASE.**

He who does not deliver immovable things shall pay the Ło.

NAREDA says, he who does not deliver the things he has sold, shall pay the loss suffered, if they be loss suffered, immovable, as the loss of crops, &c. But if cows. hulls, or other animals that are capable of motion. be sold and not delivered, the loss of milk, labor, and the like, sustained through their non-delivery, shall be paid by him.

' Who shall make good the a fall in the price.

If the price of a thing become gradually reduced, lose owing to the loss owing to the reduced price shall be made good by the vendor.

The rule spplicable in the sons, who reside where the salo takes place.

This rule is applicable in the case of persons who case of per- reside where the sale takes place. But if a thing were purchased to be conveyed to another place. the profit, which the purchaser might have gained, shall be made good by the vendor.

He who taking the price does not deliver an article to its purchaser, shall be punishable.

VISHNU says that he who, taking the price, does not deliver an article to its purchaser, shall be forced by the king to do so, with interest, and to pay a fine of 100 panas.

Where this rule is applicable.

This rule is applicable, where the full price has been received.

A person is not to blame for detaining articles Goods may be detained till the price for the price. be paid.



NAREDA says that the aforesaid rules are applicable, where the price is paid. Where it is not paid, the purchaser is not to blame, if there be some arrangement.

NAREDA says, if an article, which has been sold but not delivered, be destroyed, burnt, or stolen, the loss shall be borne by the vendor.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, if a purchaser do not get articles on demand, and they be destroyed by the act of God or of the king, the vendor shall bear they be the loss. But if they be not taken on delivery, any loss owing to this, must be sustained by the purchaser.

MENU says, he who repents, after selling or purchasing an article, may take or give it back within ten days, after which he cannot do so.

If any one demand or return it after ten days, the king shall fine him 600 panas.

The king shall, by the abovementioned rule, keep him, who repents after doing a thing, in the path of duty.

KATYAYANA says that he, who, taking possession of a purchased article, returns it, and he who, selling it, does not give delivery of it, when it is in a proper state, shall respectively get the price and selling it, does the article, on giving a tenth part of the former. when it is in a

These rules are applicable where the price is paid.

If an artiole, sold but not delivered, be destroyed, &c., the loss shall be borne by the vendor.

If a purchuser do not get articles on demand, . and destroyed, the vendor shall bear the loss.

He who repents selling purches. 70 ing an .... ticle, may take or give it back within ten days,

Any one demanding 07 returning it after ten days shall be fined.

The king shall thus keep him, who reafter penta doing a thing, in the path of duty.

He, who, taking D08erssion ola purchased article, returns it, and he, who, not deliver it,



proper state, shall respectively get the price and the article, on giving a tenth mer.

Where the article was not taken possession of, and evidence and agreement exist, a tenth part of the price is not to be given by the purchaser, if he part of the for- return it within ten days. After that period, it cannot be returned.

A bad article, clandestinely sold, may be returned even after ten days.

A bad article, clandestinely sold, may be returned, even after ten days, by proving its blemish.

VRIHASPATI says, that the foolish man who sells

a bad article, without letting the purchaser know

He who sells a bad article, without letting the purchaser its blemish, shall pay double its price; and a fine know its blemish, shall pay double its equal to it. price, &c.

by a drunkard, do, shall be returned by the purchaser and taken back by the vendor.

He who delivers an article to a person, it to another, who has not been engaged by the who has not purchaser, shall pay twice the price and an equal been engaged by its purchaser, is pun- amount of fine. ishable.

Who is subject to the abovementionéd flue,

is imposed on such as purchase or sell articles in a place other than that in which the settlement of price was made.

The Ratnakara says, the abovementioned fine

When vendor may sell articles to a person other oha ser.

If a purchaser do not take the delivery of purchased articles, the vendor is not to blame, if he than the pure sell them to some other person.

The article which is sold by a drunkard, a mad Articles sold

man, a dependent or stupid person, or at an inadequate price through fear, shall be returned by the purchaser and taken back by the vendor.

He who, selling an article to a person, delivers

YAGNYAVALKYA says, if a person, purchasing an article, do not receive it, the vendor is competent put to put it up for re-sale. Any loss in this shall be and good by the purchaser.

If a person, having taken earnest money from an intending purchaser, sell an article to another, he shall pay the first customer double the earnest money.

NAREDA says, merchants deal in all kinds of articles. They gain profit in proportion to the amount of the price. Therefore, he, who understands business, shall sell articles at a fair price, considering the place where, and the season when, they are sold.

This way of dealing is profitable.

Ten days' time, allowed for returning or taking Boots can be returned, back articles, when they are sold, is applicable to do, within ten roots.

This is confirmed by VYASA and NAREDA.

Hides, wood, bricks, thread, rice, wine, liquids, v cloth, gold, silver, and other metals, shall be tried the the moment they are purchased.

Animals that give milk shall be tried within E three days from the date of purchase.

Beasts of burden shall be tried within five days.

Pearls, diamonds, jewels, and corals shall be tried and within seven days.

When a vendor may put up an artiole for re-sale.

What a person, who does not get an article on giving earnest money is to get from the intending customer,

Morchants gain profit in proportion to the price.

What articles shall be tried the moment they are purchased.

How long animals that give milk shall be tried.

And how long breats of burden.

And jewels and valuables,

Men shall be tried within fifteen days, and How long menand women shall be tried. females within a month.

All kinds of roots shall be tried within ten days. Roots shall be tried within ten days.

and Iron and all kinds of good cloths shall be tried Tron cloths in in a day. day.

How long roots, iron,&c , shall be tried.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, roots, iron, beasts of burden, jewels, and so forth, women, animals that give milk, and men, shall be tried within ten, one, five, seven, eight, three, and fifteen days respectively.

Vrihaspati confirms the above.

VRIHASPATI is also of this opinion.

be returned within the appointed time.

Vendor to take back articles and retarn the price to the purchaser.

Articles invariably to be returned within the prescribed time.

▲ bad article, purchased by mistake, be retō turned within the appointed time.

Nareda on cases where an article is purposely spoiled.

NAREDA speaks of cases in which the purchaser purposely spoils an article.

A cloth, become dirty by use, cannot be returned.

A cloth, become dirty by use, cannot be returned by a man, even if it had been purchased in a damaged state.

•

If the articles prove, within the specified time, to

be of bad quality, the vendor shall take them back and return the price to the purchaser.

Even if the articles were bad at the time of sale, they shall be returned within the prescribed time.

If a bad article be purchased by mistake, it shall

The different times, above adverted to, are applicable to cases in which the articles are purchased ed hold good without trial.

YAGNYAVALKYA confirms this.

If experienced merchants repent after purchasing articles, they shall be fined a sixth part of their report price.

According to NAREDA, an experienced merchant shall not repent after purchasing an article. knows what articles may yield profit and what may cause loss.

The purchaser of an article shall try its qualities He is not competent to return it, article cannot and blemishes. if he had purchased it after trial.

VRIHASPATI says, a man, before purchasing a thing, shall look to it himself and show it to others. He cannot return it to the vendor, if he take it after proper trial.

NAREDA specially speaks of the advisableness of returning purchased articles even before the time assigned for trial.

He who, purchasing an article, thinks that he has been cheated, shall return it to the vendor in the state in which he took it on the day of purchase.

The different times mentionwhere the articles are purchased without trial.

The confirmed.

Experienced merchante, who after purchasing articles, are punishable.

The son why they He should not.

> The Durchaser of an return it, if he purchased it after trial.

**V**rihespati on the above.

Purchased articles returnable even before the time assigned trial. for

What a person should do if he think be has been chested in the purchase of 8.0 article



If he return it on the second day from the date What a person shall forof purchase, he shall forfeit a thirtieth part of feit if he return an article the price; if, on the third day, he shall forfeit a on the second day from the date of pur-fifteenth part of it. After that it cannot be ohase, &o. returned.

Where this This rule is applicable where an article is taken holds after three days' trial.

The forfeit to be paid on a good animal that milk.

KATYAYANA says, he, who returns a good animal the return of that gives milk, within the specified time, shall pay gives a tenth part of its price.

Where this This rule is applicable where the purchaser does holds good. not receive an animal which he did not take possession of.

Explanation.

The aforesaid rule is applicable where the animals have not come under the control of the purchaser.

Where the following rules

The following rules are applicable, where they are applicable. have come under their control. Consequently there is no inconsistency.

The forfeit to be paid for returning thing after takofiL

BHRIGU says, he who repents and returns a thing after taking possession of it, shall give a sixth part . ing possession of its price to the vendor.

What article The article, sold by the vendor by concealing its may he returned even after a blemish, may be returned even after a long long time. time.

rale

good.

rule

MENU confirms this, by saying that, if a good Menu's auarticle be mixed with one of inferior quality, if it above. be licked by dogs, &c., if it be less in weight, if it be not weighed in the presence of the purchaser, or if it be covered with another, it cannot be sold.

The meaning of the above is that articles, Ar having the abovementioned blemishes, may be blemishes may returned at any time.

Articles havortain be returned at any time.

אמיינטן ביים ווני המאומאו

### CONTESTS REGARDING BOUNDARIES.

When contests regarding boundaries shall be settled.

MENU says, if the boundary of two villages cause a contest, it shall be settled in the month of Joista, when the landmarks may be distinctly traced out.

Certain trees to be planted on the boundaries as landmarks.

Vatas, pipulas, palasas, salmalis, salas, or talas trees, that yield milky sap, "clustering shrubs, venus of different sorts, sami trees, and creepers, or saras, and clumps of cubjacas," should be planted on the boundaries, "and mounds of earth" raised "on them, so that the landmarks may not easily perish."

"Lakes and wells, pools and streams, ought also

to be made on the common limits, and temples

Other means of denoting the common limits

Certain articles should be placed under ground in the coutre of the boundaries to guard against disputes.

How the king is to decide contests regarding boundaries. aries.

The boundary lines of villages denoted.

dedicated to the gods." To guard against contests that often arise on account of the boundaries, let stones, bones, tails of cows, ashes, bricks, dried cow-dung, charcoal, bran, and other articles that are not destroyed by time be placed under ground in the centre of the bound-

The king shall decide the contests regarding boundaries by the abovementioned marks, by previous possession, or by the course of a stream.

VRIHASPATI says that a tank, well, pond, large tree, garden, temple, mound, channel, the course of a river, stones, reeds, or clustering shrubs shall form the boundary lines of villages.

Digitized by Google

Should any doubt arise, notwithstanding the abovementioned marks, it is to be removed by the doubte arise, depositions of witnesses.

In default of these, four men, who reside on the four sides of the two villages, shall decide the con- four sides of test in the presence of the king.

Should there be no such men, mcn who live in forests, such as hunters, fowlers, milkmen, fisher- persons of the men, "diggers for roots," snake catchers, and glean- hood. ers, shall be examined. Their declarations shall direct the king how to decide the contest.

VRIHASPATI says that the witnesses, "putting earth on their heads, wearing chaplets of red flowers, be sworn. and clad in red mantles," shall "be sworn by the reward of all their several good actions, to give correct evidence concerning the metes and bounds."

The king shall fix the boundaries according to the statements of the aforementioned men and write down their names.

NAREDA says that no man, however trustworthy or experienced he may be, should alone fix the boundaries. This duty, being a responsible one, ought to be performed by many.

If a person wish alone to fix them, he should do so by fasting, putting earth on his head, wearing a chaplet of red flowers, and clad in a red mantle.

Here fasting is an addition.

Witnesses to be heard, if notwithstanding such marks.

121

Or neighbours from the the two villages.

Or certain descriptions of neighbour-

How the witnesses shall

How the king is to fix the bound arica.

No men should alone fix the boundarice.

Except according to a prescribed orm.

P

Who ia VRIHASPATI says, that a person, who is respected competent to by both the parties to the dispute, is competent to decide a dispute in default of witnesses, decide it alone in the absence of witnesses and Ła. landmarks.

KATYAYANA says that, according to the sages, a Wicked men not to be witking shall not make wicked men witnesses. 106906.

The king Leaving aside wicked men, the king shall, in shall, in coujunction with conjunction with the moula, decide contests on mouls, decide contests boundaries.

Veracious Witnesses secure God's blessing by making true witnesses are blessed. statements regarding the boundaries.

False wit-If they utter falsehood, they shall be fined 200 nesses to be fined. panas each.

If the in-If the inhabitants on the four sides of the villages utter untruth, they shall be fined 500 panas each falsoby the king. they

Boundaries KATYAYANA says that the boundaries of villages, villages, fielde, ac, to fields, or houses, shall be fixed by the declarations be fixed. of those who dwell around them.

Moule defined.

Pundits define moula to be persons who have changed their habitations. They are called moula, because they were the aborigines.

When the NAREDA says that, in the absence of witnesses king should fix the boun- and landmarks, the king shall fix the boundaries. daries.

habitants on the four sides of the villages utter hood. shall be fined.

of

the on boundaries.

122

VRIHASTATI says, that the possession of a piece of land, yielded by a river or given by a king to a village, on taking it from another, cannot be dis- the king canputed.

The land, left by a river, is acquired by those who reside on the bank, which it forsakes, and the ly belong. land given by the king belongs to him who gets it. If this be not admitted, then men cannot gain by the act of God or of the king.

Ruin, prosperity, and life depend on the act of The real. The resson God and of the king. Therefore what is thereby done, cannot be overruled.

Where a canal has been dug, as the boundarymark of two villages, the disjunction and junction of of land by the encroachment or recess thereof boundary cannot cause any dispute. If any dispute arise, the cannot cause parties, who raise it, shall be punished.

In such cases fortune and misfortune shall be Points to be considered. considered.

Who shall get the land left by the river ?

A river increases on one side and decreases on diluvion the other. This cannot be helped. evitable.

The land, forsaken by a river owing to its taking a different course, falls to the share of him who is the owner of the land adjacent to the bank. When a land is divided into two or more parts by the violent course of a river, it shall belong to the former owner.

The possession luvion and land given by not be diaputed.

To whom such rightful-

The encroachment or recess canal dug the 85 of villages, two any dispute.

אלאבטן באש האיז האי המאוטאו

Alluvion and in-

Proposition.

Who quires land, forsaken by a river, owing to its changing a course.

A gift of land made by the king, by taking it Gift by the king of cor-tain land is from its proprietor through anger or avarice, or illogal. under a pretext, is illegal.

If the king take a piece of land from a person When the king's gift of land is valid. and give it to a superior, his present shall be valid.

The meaning of the above is that the boundaries, Explanation. fixed by the king through anger, shall be invalid. In all other cases they shall be valid.

Contests the about gardens, &c., decided.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, that contests about the boundaries of boundaries of gardens, temples, villages, ponds, shall also be groves, houses, and water-courses, shall also be decided according to the foregoing rules.

How the centre of the boundaries of determined.

MENU says, that the centre of the boundaries of lands, wells, ponds, gardens, and houses, shall be ands, wells, determined by the people dwelling around them.

When a person cannot be deprived of the use of a house, Ła.

VRIHASPATI says, that a person cannot be deprived of the use of a house, pond, market, or any such property, in whatever manner it may have been acquired, provided he has been using it from the day of his residence near it.

Windows, &c., cannot be forcibly removed.

Windows, water-courses, verandahs, bungalows, cornices, cannot be forcibly removed. The foundations of houses, water-courses, and thoroughfares, and windows, cannot be blocked up.

Blocking up water-courses,

He who blocks up water-courses and dwellingac., punishable. houses shall be punished.

No one shall be at liberty to open a window in a place, after he has resided there for a long time.

Windows and water-courses cannot be made by a person, on the side of another's house.

VRIHASPATI says, that a privy, fire place, or hole, cannot be made, nor can the leavings of boiled rice, &c., be thrown by a person near the house of enother. another.

KATYAYANA says that a privy, fire-place, hole, or water-course may be made, or an oil-machine, &c., placed, by a person leaving free two cubits of land from the house of another.

VRIHASPATI says that (sangsaran) a passage uninterruptedly used, by men as well as animals, cannot be blocked up.

KATYAYANA says that, if a number of trees grow on the common boundary of two villages, and their flowers and fruits fall in both, they shall be enjoyed by the inhabitants of both of them.

If the branches of a tree, growing on the land of one person, fall on that of another, the latter shall take them.

MENU says that he, who, not being in distress, throws filthy substances into public thoroughfares, shall pay a fine of 2 kahanas or 32 panas and shall be fined. remove them without delay.

person ▲ cannot open a window in a place, after residing there for a long time.

Windows, åo., cannot be made on the side of another's house.

A privy, &c., cannot be made, &c., near the house of

A privy, &c., may be made, at two cubits distance from another's house.

pe uninterruptedly used by men and animals, cannot be blocked up.

Who shall enjoy the flowers and fruits of trees on the common boundary of two villages.

Who shall tako the branches of a tree, growing on the land of one and falling on that of another.

He, who wantonly throws filth into thoroughfares, Infirm persons defiling a thoroughfare, to be warned.

If a distressed or old man, a pregnant woman, or a boy, defile a thoroughfare, they shall be warned and shall clean the place.

A person, throwing filth intostreets and public places, shall be fined.

VISHNU says, if a person throw bones, sweepings, or other impure things, into the public streets, or by the sides of gardens or water-courses, he shall be fined 100 panas and compelled to remove them

The penalty of 2 kahanas, mentioned by  $M_{ENU}$ , is applicable in the case of a person who partially defiles a thoroughfare, &c. There is therefore no inconsistency.

He who defiles ponds, and public places, shall be fined.

KATTATANA says, that he who defiles ponds, gardens, and *ghats* with impure things, shall be compelled to cleanse them and fined 250 panas.

This penalty is to be imposed on such as are often guilty.

He who seizes upon lands, by intimidating their owners, shall be fined. This penalty is for such as often defile ponds, &c.

MENU says he who takes gardens, houses, ponds, or land, by intimidating their owners, shall be fined 500 panas. But if they be taken under the impression that they belong to him, he shall be fined 200 panas.

He who does not observe boundaries, and destroys landmarks, shall be fined.

VRIDDHA MENU says that, he who does not observe the boundaries, and cuts down trees planted for distinguishing the boundaries of two villages, shall be fined 200 panas.

He who forcibly takes possession of lands or seizes lands or destroys trees planted on the boundaries of two villages, shall be fined 100? panas.

stroys trees. that form landmarks, shall be fined. He who de-

He

SANKIIA SAYS, he who destroys landmarks stroys landshall be fined 108 panas. But he who takes land marks, shall be fined. more than he actually owns, shall be fined 1008 panas.

He who takes the water of a field shall be fined 108 panas.

NAREDA says that, if a man erect a bridge on the ground of another, he should not be obstructed, for the bridge benefits many and the owner of the other, should not be obground sustains a comparatively slight loss.

It is desirable that the public good be promoted even at the expense of the owner of the land.

YAGNYAVALKYA says that, if a mound be raised on a land without the knowledge of its owner and it increase the crop of the fields of others, the builder owing to the of the mound shall not obtain profit therefrom, but the owner of the land. If he neglect it, the king the knowledge shall take it.

He who takes the water of a field shall be fined.

Why a person erecting a bridge on the ground of anstructed.

The public good should be promoted ovon at the expense of the owner of the land.

Who shall obtain the profit of the increase of crops, raising of a mound on a land without of its owner.

who

upon

de

# OF CULTIVATED AND UNCULTIVATED FIELDS.

Who shall get the procultivated by tor.

NAREDA says, if the proprietor of a field be poor, duce of a field die, or go to a distant country, and if the field be enother person uninterruptedly cultivated by another person, the of its proprio- latter shall get its produce.

How the proprietor may field.

If the proprietor return when the field has been, got, back the or is being, cultivated, he shall get it back by paying the expenses incurred.

What the proprietor should get if unable to pay the expenses.

KATYAYANA says, if the proprietor have no means of paying the expenses, the cultivator shall receive the produce minus an eighth part of it, which shall be given to the proprietor.

When the field should be

After eight years' occupation of this description, given back to the field shall be given back to the proprietor of it.

How long an eighth part may be taken prietor.

An eighth part of the produce shall be taken by of the produce the proprietor, and the remainder by the cultivator, by the pro- continually for eight years, after which the proprietor shall get back the field without paying any costs.

This rule is applicable to khila, a field that can-Where this rule is apnot be cultivated without great difficulty. plicable.

A field that is not cultivated for one year is Ardha khila and khila decalled ardha khila, and that which has lain unculti- fund. vated for three years, is called khila.

Ground uncultivated for five years is the same Ground uncultivated for as a desert. five vears is a desert.

VRIHASPATI says, that leased ground shall be cultivated by the lessee in proper seasons. If he pay fail to do so, he shall pay the proprietor as much as ground, if he the latter would have received, had there been a tivate is at the tolerable crop.

What lessee should to the proprietor of fail to oulproper seasons.

Q

## OF RENT OF LAND.

He who does not cu'tivate &c., shall pay stipulated quantity produce, &c.

According to VYASA, he who does not cultivate leased land, land taken by him on lease, nor cause it to be culits owner the tivated, shall pay its owner the stipulated quantity or of produce and an equal amount of fine to the king.

What the hirer of a land that lies uncultivated for to its owner.

The owner of land that lies uncultivated for years, shall receive the value of a tenth portion of its proyears is to give duce from him who hires it.

What the The owner of cultivated land shall receive an owner of culeighth part of its produce from its cultivator. tivated land is to receive.

What the owner of verv fertile is to receive.

The owner of very fertile land shall receive a lands sixth part of its produce.

The gain of The gain of the owner shall be fixed according to the owner shall the nature of the land.

bo fixed according to the nature of the land.

Where the abovementionod rules are applicable.

What the cultivation of land that resembles a desert and yields a tolerable crop ahall receive.

What the owner of khila or ardha khila land shall roceive.

The abovementioned rules are, according to **VRIHASPATI**, applicable to lands of tolerable fertility.

Therefore, if land that nearly resembles a desert in quality, yield a tolerable crop, its cultivator shall give the owner of it a tenth part of its produce.

The owner of khila land shall get an eighth part of its produce, and that of ardha khila, a sixth part.

If the lessees do not cultivate the leased lands, the king shall cause them to pay the value of the do not cultiaforesaid parts of the produce thereof to their pro- lands. prietors.

MENU speaks of the disposal of the crops of fields cultivated without the permission of their owner.

He, who, having no fields, sows seeds in those of others, does not get the produce.

The produce of seeds thrown from one field into another by a storm or a deluge, is enjoyed by the proprietor of the field.

He who sells bad seeds, destroys those that have been sown, or forcibly takes possession of land, shall be mutilated.

The liability of lessees, who vate lessed

The crops of folds oultivatod without the permission of their owners.

He, who sows seeds in the fields of others, does not get the produce.

Who is to have the produce of seeds thrown into a field by storm.

Punishment of certain agricultural offonces.

Digitized by Google

### OF THE PRESERVATION OF GRAIN.

What gnantity of land is to be kept for pasturage.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, that land measuring 100 dhanus or 400 cubits (a dhanu being equal to 4 cubits) shall be kept for pasturage between the village and the fields according to the pleasure of the villagers, to the quantity of land, or to the order of the king. If the village be very large, the pasturage shall extend to 200 dhanus or 800 cubits. In a town it shall measure 400 dhanus or 1600 cubits.

MERU says, that land, measuring 100 dhanus or Menu on the point. "three casts of a large stick," shall be left on every side of a village. In a town, the said quantity of land shall be left.

If cattle damage grain in unfenced fields near When herdsmen are not to pasture land, the king shall not fine the herdsmen.

> VISHNU says, if cattle feed for a short time on grain in a field that lies unenclosed with a hedge at the extremity of pasture land, or near a thoroughfare, the herdsman is not to blame. But if they feed upon it for a long time, the herdsman shall incur blame, for the damage may have been intentionally done.

Yagnyaval-YAGNYAVALKYA confirms this, by saying that, if kya's aucattle consume grain produced in fields situate near thority. a highway or within the limits of pasture land, and



bo fined for damage done by cattle to grain.

Vishnu on the point.
if the loss be caused without any such intention on the part of the herdsmen, they are not to blame. But if the cattle be purposely allowed to graze there, they shall be punished like thieves.

According to MENU, if cattle eat up the grain in a distant field, the herdsman shall be fined 25 qandas.

In all cases the owner of the land shall get his due share of the produce.

SANKHA and LIKHITA say, that fines shall be be imposed acimposed according to the species of the cattle. The cording to the species of the herdsmen who let loose cattle by night shall be cattle. But if they are let loose during fined 5 mashas. the day, the herdsmen shall be fined 3 mashas.

But if they be let loose for a short time, the herdsmen shall be fined one masha. They cannot to the negloct, kc. be fined, if the cattle be let loose near the village.

The masha referred to above is made of silver, what sort for VASHYAKARA says that a golden masha may be mout. used for the payment of fines, and so forth, but a . fine for damage done to grain by cattle shall be the silver masha, which, according to MENU, is equal to two kristalas.

It is understood that cattle shall graze night and Cattle shall grazo night day at pleasure. and day at pleasure.

The KATYAYANA says, if a cow eat up grain, the cow-00W herd to be herd shall pay a fine of four panas. fined if a cow eat up grain.

Fines to be in proportion

in a distant field, the hordsmen shall be fined.

The owner of the land to

get his share of the produce.

Fince shall

If calle cat up the grain

Digitized by Google

The owner of a buffalo eat up grain, its owner shall be fined eating up grain, eight panas.

The owner If a goat, sheep, or calf eat up grain, the owner of a goat, &c., eating up of each of them shall be fined four panas. fined 4 panas.

Gautama on the punishment of the be fined five, that of a camel six, that of a buffalo ownersofcattle that eat up or horse ton, and that of a sheep or goat two mashas. grain.

Sankha and SANKHA and LIKHITA say, that the owners of all Likhita on the point. Calves eating up grain shall be fined one masha.

Nareda on According to NAREDA, a herdsman, who lets his cattle loose and these feed on the grain of others, shall be fined twice the value of the grain consumed.

How the herdsman whose cattle foed on grain all night, is to be fined.

If cattle feed on grain during the whole night, the herdsman shall be fined four times the amount, of the grain consumed.

When herdsmen are to be punished like thieves.

Herdsmen, who tend cattle in the fields in the
presence of their owners, and suffer them to eat
up grain, shall be punished like thickes.

If cattle entirely destroy grain, how the owners and herdsman are to be punished.

According to NAREDA, if cattle entirely destroy grain, their owners shall pay its price to the loser, the herdsman shall be flogged, and the owner shall pay a fine to the king.

The owner of grain that is consumed, to receive its value.

owner According to VISHNU, the owner of grain that is that consumed shall receive its value in all cases.



If cattle, when tended or not, eat up grain, their If cattle est up grain, their owner shall pay its value and shall be fined. owner how to be punished.

NAREDA says that he, who demands compensation for the consumption of grain, shall be paid that quantity of grain which, in the opinion of the neigh- hes been conbouring farmers, might have grown there.

The owner of a cow, eating up grain, shall pay the landlord the value of the plants or the grain. The husbandman shall be paid in grain. This penalty is to be imposed for damage done by cows.

It is not proper to take the value of grain eaten value of grain up by cows, for the receipt of it makes a man a cows, is improper. sinner.

USHANA confirms this by saying, that the gods and the ancestors of the man who takes the value above. of what is consumed by cows, do not accept his offerings.

Whon herds-Herdsmen are responsible for any loss caused by men are rethe cattle, when these are under their charge. ponsible for loss caused by Otherwise their owners are so. catilo.

VISHNU speaks partly on the same subject. The Vishnu 01 the point, owners of cattle that are not tended, and the herdsmen of those that are tended, shall pay a fine for any loss caused by them.

What compensation ie due to a person whose grain aumed.

The owner of a cow, consuming grain, shall pay the landlord the value of the plants or the grain.

Receipt of eaton up by

Ushana's authority on the

## OF THE PRESERVATION OF GRAIN.

When herdemen or proprietors cattle eating up grain are not punishable.

NAREDA specially says if cattle eat up grain, when of their herdsmen or proprietors are confined by the king's officers, killed by thunderbolts, snake-bites, tigers, and so forth, or die by falling from trees, or are afflicted with any disease, they cannot be punished.

What animals cating up grain shall be set free.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, if bulls or other animals that are consecrated to the gods, or those which have brought forth young ones ten days before, or have suddenly come, or are astray, eat up grain, they shall be set free, for they are impelled by God or the king.

MENU says, a cow that has brought forth a calf Menu on the only ten days before, or is about to bring forth one, a bull or any other animal that has been consccrated to the gods, whether these are tended or not, cannot be punished.

Why elephants and horses are not to be punished.

point.

What persons and cattle punished.

USHANA says, that elephants and horses shall not be punished, since they assist the king in the protection of his subjects.

Blind or lame men and bulls marked with some shall not be religious symbols, shall not be punished. Nor should cows that have suddenly come, or have recently brought forth young ones, or (byabhicharini) that are running fast, consecrated cows in general, and cows brought for sacrifice, be punished.

Where the penalty or dained by Gautama. holds good.

The penalty of ten mashas, ordained by GAUTAMA, as to the owners of horses and buffalos, holds good in the case of those of merchants, and others. There is consequently no inconsistency.

Blind and lame men, above referred to, mean Blind and lame defined. such as are quite helpless.

Obisharini is In the Parijata, obisharini, which signifies used in the Parijata inlustful, is used instead of byabhicharini. stend of bya-

According to SANKHA, young animals, calves, elephants, and horses, cannot be prevented from &c., cannot be eating up grain.

KATYAYANA says, if the owners of large, middlesized, and little animals, complain against one who male of various pursues them, the pursuer shall be fined.

According to VRIHASPATI, if the animals are Animals treedriven or punished, when they enter a garden house, cultivated pasture land, house, fold, and so forth, they may be places, may be confined or punished without subjecting the person punished, to any penalty.

Young animais, calves, prevented from eating up grain.

bhioharini.

The The pursizes, is to be fined.

passing on or inhabitated confined or

137

# ABUSE.

A buse fined.

NAREDA defines abuse (bakparushya\*) to be the dereviling of a person's country, nation, race, and so forth, in vehement and abusive terms.

This is its general meaning, and the Mitakshara This meaning is confirmconfirms it. The meaning given to it by others is ed in the Mitakabara. incorrect, for abuse does not injure the person reviled.

Three kinds It is of three kinds, namely, nishtur, aslila, and of abuse. The punishment of persons guilty of these tibra. shall be light or heavy according to the nature of the abuse.

Pundits define nishtur bakparushya to be insulting Definition. language, aslila, language that should not be uttered, and tibra, language that deeply wounds the feelings of others.

Two kinds According to VRIHASPATI, parushya is of two of parusha. kinds, namely, abuse (bakparushya) and assault (dandaparushya).

Rach of these Each of these is subdivided into three kinds and is aubdivided three has as many kinds of punishment. kinds. &o.

of Abuse the first kind defined.

into

Abuse of the first kind means the use of false and abusive language, attacking the country, circumstances, race, and so forth of persons, and declaring them to be vicious.

<sup>•</sup>This word is generally rendered slander, as in the passage, translated by Sir W. Jones, in the Introduction to this work.

The tenor of the whole of this Section proves that the proper rendering is abuse.

Pundits say, that abuse of the second kind means Abuse the second the unjust abuse of persons, by speaking in bad kind defined. terms of their mothers, sisters, and other relations, and declaring them to be vicious.

Abuse of the third kind means the use of language Abuse of the third kind docharging a man with eating and drinking forbidden fined. articles and committing some heinous crime; or in short, of language that wounds the feelings of others. It is understood to imply great vice on the part of the person abused.

If two persons of the same class abuse each other, both of them shall be equally punished. If a member of an inferior class abuse one of a superior abusing each class, he shall receive double the punishment fixed be punished. for the offence. If the member of a superior class abuse one of an inferior class, he shall receive double the fixed punishment.

According to YAGNYAVALKYA, language used in attacking mean or stupid persons, makes the abuser liable to half the punishment.

If a person abuse the wife of another or a respectable man, he shall be doubly punished.

According to KATYAYANA and USHANA, he who repents for abusing any one, promises not to do so ing any one do. again, and declares that his offence arose from inad- only half the vertence, carelessness, jocularity, or fun, shall receive only half the punishment.

How two persons of the same or difforent classes. other, should

The abuse of mean or stupid persons is liable to half the punishment.

Punishment for abuse of a man's wife or a respectable man.

He who reshall receive punishment.

139

Digitized by Google

#### ABUSE.

How persons of the same class and qualifications are to be punished.

VRIHASPATI says that, if persons of the same class ali- and qualifications abuse each other, they shall be fined  $13\frac{1}{2}$  panas.

Vishnu on the punishment for abuse.

VISHNU, speaking of the punishment for abuse, says that half the prescribed punishment shall be inflicted on a member of a superior class who abuses one of an inferior class, and that double that punishment shall be inflicted when a member of an inferior class abuses one of a superior class.

How a man abusing his equal is to be twelve panas. punished.

How a mon When a man abuses his inferior or those who abusing an inferior, or a are blind or lame, or otherwise disabled, he shall be person disabled, is to be fined six panas. punished.

Punishment for abuse imputing gross iniquity.

 YAGNYAVALKYA says, if a person abuse another
by stating that he has committed fornication with his mother or sister, the king shall fine him twenty-five panas.

Menu on the punishment for abuse. MENU says, "a soldier abusing a priest shall be fined a hundred *panas*; a merchant so offending, a hundred and fifty or two hundred; but for such an offence, a mechanic or servile man shall be whipped."

Punishment of a priest for abusing a soldier, merchant, or Sudra.

"A priest shall be fined fifty *panas*, if he abuse a soldier; twenty-five if he abuse a merchant; and twelve if he abuse a man of the servile class."

Digitized by Google

If a priest and soldier exchange abusive words, the former shall be fined 250, and the latter 500 are to be pun-

panas.

How a pricet and a soldier ished, if they exchange abusive words.

The above rule also holds good when a merchant Where this rule holds and a mechanic exchange abusive words. This rule good. is applicable in the case of gross abuse.

ABUSE.

According to VRIIIASPATI, if a mechanic give The tongue of a mechanic religious instruction to a person, utter words of the to be out off, for certain of-Vedas, or grossly abuse a Brahmin, his tongue shall fonces. be cut off.

If a mechanic grossly abuse any member of the The reason of the puntwice-born classes, his tongue shall be cut off, since ishment. he is of mean descent.

Red-hot iron, of the length of ten fingers, shall be thrust into the mouth of that mechanic, who abuses any member of the twice-born classes by name.

Punishment of the mechanic who abuses member any of the twiceborn classes by name.

Hot oil shall be dropped by the king into the ears and mouth of that mechanic, who through pride gives religious instruction to the twice-born classes.

In the Kalpataru, gross abuse is defined to be the use of words indicative of the vices of the abused.

Punishment of the 200chanic, who through pride gives religious instruction to the twice-born classes.

Gross abuse defined.



Punishment of a Sudra saulting any member of the twice-born olassos,

GAUTAMA says. "if a Sudra abuse or assault any abusing or as member of the twice-born classes, he shall bo deprived of the organ with which he offends. In other words, his tongue shall be cut off if he abuse; his hands or any other limb shall be maimed, if he assault.

Panishment ot a mechanio the words of the Veda.

If a mechanic hear words of the Veda, his ear for hearing shall be sealed with lead.

If he utter words of the Veda, his tongue shall Uttering them. be cut off.

If he learn words of the Veda, his body shall be Or learning them. injured.

Punis ment for false aspersions.

MENU says that he, who proudly throws false aspersions on the learning, nativity, race, profession, or body of another, shall be fined 200 panas.

**Explanation**. The meaning of the above is that if a man insolently call another ignorant, or say that he was born in a bad place, or is not a Brahmin, or has not done any good deed, or has been circumcised, he shall be fined 200 panas.

VYASA says, that a man shall be fined 250 panas Vrasa on the punishment for degrees of if he abuse another by calling him vicious; 500, if abuse. he call him very vicious; 1000, if most vicious.

Digitized by Google

# ABUSE.

NAREDA says, "he, who declares the king to be negligent of his duties, shall have his tongue cut off and shall be deprived of all his property."

According to YAGNYAVALKYA, he who maligns the king or discloses his counsels shall be driven out of ishment of ofthe country, having had his tongue cut off.

USHANA says, penalties for crimes, for which no provision has been made, by ancient legislators, on account of their diversity, shall be fixed after con- which has not sideration.

Abuse has been treated of in the NITI CHINTAMANI. tamani.

Punishment of one who declares the king to be negligent of his duties.

Yagnyavalkya on the punfonces against the king.

Ushana on penalties for crimes, punisliment for been fixed.

Ref rence to the Niti Chin-



Vrihaspeti on the punish-mont of a man guilty of the first kind of assault.

VRIHASPATI says, that a man shall be fined one masha, if he be guilty of the first kind of assault, which consists in throwing dust and other things on, or lifting a hand or foot against another.

On whom inflicted.

The abovementioned punishment is, according to such punishment is to be the *Pundits*, inflicted on men who assault persons of their own class.

He · who punished.

A man shall be fined two or three mashas, if he ta, on the throw dust and other things on the wife of another, wife of another ac, shall be or on a respectable man, or lift his hands against or kick any such persons.

According to YAGNYAVALKYA, he who throws dust Yagnyavalkya on the point. and other things on others and defiles their bodies, But if he kick, or spit shall be fined ten panas. or throw impure things on another, he shall be fined double.

Explanation.

This punishment is inflicted when persons of the same class are thus illtreated. When superiors or the wives of others are thus used, double the punishment shall be inflicted; and when inferiors are thus offended, half the punishment shall be suffi-If this ill-treatment be inadvertently caused cient. by a drunkard or insane person, and such like, no punishment shall be inflicted.

KATYAYANA says, if a man urine or vomit on the lower members of the body of a person of the same files the lower OF class or soil them with filth, he shall be fined forty panas; but if the middle members be thus soiled, he shall be fined sixty panas.

He who urines on or defiles the head of a man, Of one whodefiles the head shall be fined eighty panas. of a man.

YAGNYAVALKYA says that the person who pulls the Of one who pulls the hands, feet, or cloth of an equal, shall be fined ten limbe or dress of an equal, panas.

The person who, having bound an equal with a Of one who having bound piece of cloth and cruelly dragged him, applies his and an equal, apfeet to his body, shall be fined 100 panas. plice his to his body.

KATYAYANA says that, if any one lift his hand Of one who lifts his hand against his equal, he shall be fined twelve panas. egainst beats an equal. But if he beat him, he shall be fined 24 panas.

Where this This rule is applicable in the case of such memrule holds bers of the twice-born classes as are equal. good.

VRIHASPATI says, if a person throw stones and Punishment of one who bits of wood at his equal, he shall be fined 250 throws stones and sticks at panas. his equal.

If a person lift his hands against his equal, he shall be fined ten panas. But if he attempt to kick him, he shall be fined twenty panas.

Of one who lifts his hands against or attempts to kick an equal,



Punis hment of one who demiddle members of a person of the same class.

dragged

feot

or

145

3 8

Punishment of one who lifts his hands or feet against others, or otherwise 88saults thom.

VISHNU says that he, who raises his hands against others, shall be fined ten panas. He who raises his feet against others shall be fined twenty panas. He who uses pieces of wood, shall be fined 250 panas. He who uses offensive weapons, shall be fined 1000 panas.

Explanation. This last rule is applicable where an inferior behaves in the abovementioned manner towards his superiors.

**Punishments** for several kinds of mutual assaults.

YAGNYAVALKYA says that, if two persons lift their hands against each other, they shall be fined ten panas; but if they raise their feet, they shall be sentenced to a fine of twenty panas. If they use offensive weapons, they shall be fined 500 panas.

The rule applice to Brahmins.

This rule is applicable where the parties are Brahmins.

punishment of essaults with hands, the clubs, or feet.

MENU says that, if a person angrily beat others with hands or clubs, his hands shall be cut off. But his feet shall be cut off, if he spurn others.

Punishment of an inferior who desires to sit with his superiors on the same bedstead.

If an inferior desire to sit with his superiors on the same bedstead, he shall be banished after having his buttocks stamped, or shall be deprived of them.

If an inferior spit on his superior, the king shall Of an inforior epitting on his superior. cut off his lips.

Of dofiling But if he urine on his superior, his organ shall his porson. be cut off by the king.

Menu on the

4

146

147

If he insult his superior by breaking wind on any Of breaking wind on any member of the body, his anus shall be cut off member of his body. by the king.

If any person lay hold of the feet, hair, beard, Of seising metain or testicle of his superior with his hands, the king bers of hie body. shall cut off his hands.

The abovementioned penalties are for the mis-These penalties inflicted conduct of Sudras towards Brahmins. on Sudras.

VRINASPATI says, that they, who use weapons Punishment for esseulte against cach other through anger, shall be fined with weapons. 500 panas.

Legislators say that if, in an affray, two persons wound each other, they shall be beaten in proportion to the severity of the wound inflicted.

If any one throw stones and sticks at others, he shall be fined two mashas.

Legislators say that, if blood be shed in an affray. the person so offending shall be fined four mashas.

VISHNU says that, if a person be severely wounded For BATOTO wounding withwithout bloodshed, the person so offending shall be out bloodshed.

fined 32 panas.

But if blood flow out of the wound, the person ing inflicting the wound shall be fined 64 panas. bloodahed.

For woundwith



In one of mutualwound. ing the punishment is to be in proportion to the wounds.

Punishment for throwing stones, and sticks at others.

The fine for shedding blood in an affray.

For a wound MENU says that, if the skin be torn off with whereby the skin is torn off blood, the person who causes the wound shall be with blood. fined 100 panas.

And if the But if the flesh be visible, the person who causes flesh be visible. the wound shall be fined 24 mohars.

Punishment He who breaks another's bones shall be banished. for breaking the bones.

Vrihaspati on VRIHASPATI says, that the person who tears the tearing the skin and the flesh. skin shall be fined 250 panas; but he who tears the flesh shall be fined 500 panas.

The man who breaks bones shall be fined 1000 On breaking the bones. panas.

He who kills another with offensive Capital punweapons ishment for with shall be capitally punished. killing weepons.

The flues for breaking\_ or tain members.

If a person break the teeth, feet, ears, noses, or Cutting off cor- hands of others, he shall be fined 500 panas. But if he entirely cut off any of them he shall be fined 1000 panas.

Punishment for elitting or violentlybreak. cortain ing members.

KATYAYANA says that, according to BHRIGU, he who divides the car, nose, lip, feet, eyes, tongue, male organ, or hands, shall be fined 1000 panas.

He who violently breaks any one of them shall be fined 500 panas.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, that he who breaks the Yagnyavalkya hands, feet, or teeth of another, cuts his nose or ear, breaks open a *sore*, or beats one till the man is halfdead, shall be fined 500 panas.

VISHNU says that he, who wounds one of the eyes, Vishnu on the shoulders, the arms, or the thigh of another, the punishshall be fined 1000 panas.

But if he wound both the eyes, the king shall For woundconfine him for life and shall wound both his eyes.

YAGNYAVALKYA says that he, who wounds both the Yagnyavalkya eyes or obeys the orders of the king's enemy, or a ishment for Sudra who maintains himself by putting on the forces. sacred thread, shall be fined 800 panas.

The abovementioned punishment is for slightly The punisoment is for slight hure to the eyes.

The different kinds of penalties for the same Explanation. offence are for the different degrees of wounds.

MENU confirms this, by saying that they, who wantonly beat men or animals with cruelty, shall be m punished, considering the extent of their suffering.

But if a person unconsciously injure a man or animal, he is not to blame.

NAREDA says that a Sudra's offence is atoned, when the member with which he beats a Brahmin such is lopped off. He who beats even a guilty king,

Menu on the punishment for cruelty to men or animals.

149

Unconscious injury to man or animal is excepted.

How the offence of a Sudra may be atoned for,



shall be impaled and burnt alive, since such a man is worse than a murderer of a hundred Brahmins.

Even a vorv wicked Brahmin is not to be put to death.

This punishment is for all except Brahmins. For the execution of even a very wicked Brahmin is prohibited.

The member of a Sudra, with which he strikes one of the twice-born classes, to be cut off.

MENU says, that that member of a Sudra's body, with which he may strike any of the twice-born classes, shall be cut off.

The hand or feet of a peranother, to be out off.

If a person strike another with his hand, foot, or son striking stick, his hand or foot shall be cut off.

If a person wish to sit with his superior on the ing to sit with same bed, he shall be banished after his buttocks the same bed. have been stamped with a hot iron, or one of his hips shall be cut off.

> If a person spit on a superior through pride, the spitking shall cut off his lips. If he urine on him, his organ shall be cut off.

If he break wind on any member of his body, his For breaking wind on arms shall be cut off. him.

Committing If he take hold of his hair, feet, beard, neck, or any other outrage on him. testicle, his hands shall be cut off.

To whom these penaltics apply.

The abovementioned penaltics are applicable to Sudras, when they misbeliave towards any member of the twice-born classes.

Digitized by Google

The punishment for wisha superior on

For ting or making urine on

auperior.

AFASTAMBA says, that a Sudra, who interrupts members of the twice-born classes in conversation, viour, walks together with or goes before them, or sits or lics on the same bed, shall be punished.

KATYAYANA says, that the penaltics inflicted on Sudras for slandering Brahmins and vice versa are on Sudras for likewise applicable in cases of assault.

VRIHASPATI says, that he who wounds others in an affray shall pay the cost of their cure. plunders any article shall render its value.

YAGNYAVALKYA says that, if several persons wound an individual, each of them shall receive double the prescribed punishment. If they plunder any articles in an affray they shall not only return them but shall pay a fine of double their amount.

MENU says that, if the wife, son, servant, pupil, or uterine brother, do any wrong, the person injured ish his shall lash the back, but not the head, with a rope, or a slip of bamboo. The person who acts contrary wrong. to this rule shall be as guilty as a thief.

YAMA says that, when a wife, son, slave, male or female, or pupil, do any wrong, the person offended shall lash with a rope or a piece of split bamboo, the lower parts of their body, but never the head. Ile who acts contrary to this rule shall be punished.

Certain acts misbohacommittod by a Sudra towards a superior, to be punished.

The penaltics inflicted abusing Brahmins, and vice versa, are also applicable in ciics of assoult.

The compensation due He who is a person wounded Of plundered.

> Severer punishment due when several persons wound or plunder an individual.

How a person may punwife. and certain other persons, acting for

Yama on the point.



How a pupil shall be checked.

NAREDA says that, if a pupil do any wrong, he shall be checked by words, by any other means save punishment, or with a thin rope or split bamboo.

He shall never be severely punished. Nor shall he be punished on his head or breast.

Gautama on the point.

GAUTAMA says that a pupil shall be checked without being beaten.

If he be punished in any other way, the king shall punish the instructor.

APASTAMBA says, if a pupil do any wrong, he shall Apastamba on the point, be reprimanded a hundred times. If he cannot be and the means to be taken if thus checked, his instructor shall threaten him with the pupil cannot be checkpunishment, throw water on him in winter, or ed by reprideprive him of food, according to his strength, until he be corrected.

NAREDA says that, if a father punish his son for A father. punishing his for any wicked deed, he shall not be liable to punishwicked deed, shall not be ment.

A person shall not be his servant on-

punished.

mand.

When the owners of dogs

When a servant engages himself in an affray and responsible, if beats others, his master shall not be liable to gage in an af- punishment.

YAGNYAVALKYA says that, if the owners of dogs do shall be fined, not prevent the animals, when they can, from attacking others, they shall be fined 250 panas. If the attacked person request the owners to take away the dogs, and they do not, they shall be fined 500 panas.

oannot

KATYAYANA says that, if the marks of assault can-How a dispute is to be determined, if not be perceived, the dispute shall be determined marks of asby proof, and, in default thereof, by oath. ault be perceived.

ASSAULT.

NAREDA says, that the aggressor in a dispute shall If the aggrieved party certainly be deemed guilty. rcturn the abuse or beating, he also shall be guilty. But the former shall be severely punished.

KATYAYANA says that he, who wounds others with a sword, or such other weapon, even if he had been others first wounded, shall be punished.

VRIHASPATI says that he, who abuses the person who abused him, returns a beating, kills a murderer, or checks him by censure or flogging, is not to ished by the blame.

If Sudras, and the like, be checked by harsh measures by any member of a superior class who was abused by them, the king shall not punish him.

NAREDA says that if a shvapaka, an eunuch, a chandala, a prostitute, a fowler, a groom of elephants, any member of the twice-born class who has not been invested with the sacred thread after the expiration of the proper time, or a slave, insult the king, instructors, or superior men, or violate rules fixed by the king, each of them shall be immediately punished according to his guilt.

т

A difference to be made between the agressor in . dispute, and the aggrieved if he retaliate.

Derson wounding with swords shall be punished, even if he be not the aggressor.

Aggressors and guilty persons may be lawfully punsufferer ~ others.

**∆**ny member of A 811perior class cherking Sudras shall not be punished.

Persons of inferior grades insulting persons of the twice-born classes, or the king, or superiors, are to be immediately punished.





They who punish such persons shall not be deemed guilty.

• Legislators say, that they who punish the aforcsaid persons shall not be deemed guilty.

Such persons need not be brought before the king, but shall be punished by the superiors whom they insult.

Any of the aforesaid persons need not be brought before the king when they insult their superiors, who shall themselves punish them. For these are the dregs of society, and their property is also like rejected articles. They shall be punished by the king in their persons, but not fined.

Ugra defin- The son born of a Sudra mother and ksha:rya ed. father is called ugra.

Kahota defined. The son born of a kshatrya mother and ugra father is called kshota.

Shyapaka The son born of a kshota mother by a ugra father is called shyapaka.

Chandala defined. The son born of a Brahmin mother and Sudra father is called chandala.

Who shall be punished in person and not fined. KATYAYANA says, he who should not be touched, a cunning person, a slave, a very vicious person, he who is born of a mother of a superior class and a father of an inferior class or a *chandala*, shall be punished in person, but not fined, when they are guilty.

How a person, killing certain animals, who kills a goat, horse, or camel, shall be cut off. shall be punished.

110 who sells uncatable flesh, or kills domestic animals, shall be fined one hundred panas, and shall have to pay the price of the animals killed.

They who castrate animals and kill wild animals Punishment for castrating shall be fined fifty panas. enimale and killing wild ones

They who kill birds and fishes shall be fined ten For kil!ing birds and panas. fishes.

This punishment is for those who kill them not Explanation for food.

MENU says, that they who maliciously lop off Persons maliciously loptrees belonging to others, shall be fined according ping off other men's troca to the nature of the loss done. shall be fined.

YAGNYAVALKYA says that he, who cuts off the on the branches of large and useful trees, such as vat, pipula, by which a man supports himself, shall be fined twenty panas, he who cuts their trunks shall be fined forty panas, and he who cuts their roots shall be thom. fined eighty panas.

Double of the abovementioned punishment shall also be inflicted on those who cut the branches, trunks, or roots of trees the base of which is covered with stones, which are in sepulchres, near places of sale, or celebrated holy places.

Half of the aforesaid punishment shall be inflict-And when but half. ed on those who cut the branches, trunks, and

Yagnyavalkya punishment of porsons ting off cutthe branches of trees and otherwise injuring

Doublo the punishmont when to be inflicted.

Gouden and Frant Bond DV

Solling uncatable ficsh, or killing domesenimals, tio to be punished.

155

roots of creeping plants of different kinds in the abovementioned places.

Vishnu on the punishdown trees.

VISHNU says that he, who cuts down trees that mont of por- yield fruits, shall be fined 1000 panas. A fine of sons who cut fruit 500 panas shall be inflicted on those who cut down trees that bear flowers; and that of ten panas on those who cut down creeping plants and the like.

Fine for He who cuts straw and such produce, shall be outting straw, fined fifty panas. &o.

The aforesaid offending parties shall also pay the The price of the articles destroyed to price of the articles destroyed. be paid.

And the second s



MENU says, that the king, on satisfying himself How the king للعطء by inquiries as to their guilt, shall punish thieves punish thieves. according to the value of the articles stolen.

VRIHASPATI says, that the king, ascertaining Vriheeneti on the point. through his officers the guilt of thieves, by their associating with known thieves, and by having in their possession instruments for breaking into houses or stolen articles, and causing the articles to be returned to their owners, shall punish the thieves according to law.

MENU says, that the king shall very diligently The shall diligentrestrain thieves. For the suppression of theft increases his fame and power.

That king who bestows exemption from fear What the king "shall always be honored," since he performs, as it by suppressing were, a perpetual sacrifice, giving exemption from fear as a constant sacrificial present.

The king, who takes revenue without protecting furfeits by not his subjects, weakens his dominions and loses a doing so seat in heaven.

There are two kinds of thioves, namely, (prakasha) Two kinds of thieres. known and (aprakasha) unknown.

Known (prakasha) thieves are tradesmon, and Known and unknown. the like, unknown (aprakasha) thieves are housebreakers, and the like.

king

gaine

# PUNISHMENT OF KNOWN THIEVES.

VYASA speaks of thieves of the first sort. He says Vyasa on known thieves. that tradesmen steal by giving a smaller quantity of things in weight and measure, by putting down in books a greater quantity than is actually given. by raising the prices of articles by their own men when customers come to purchase them, and by the fluctuation of prices. There are some tradesmen who mix articles of the same description but of a lower price with those of a higher price.

YAGNYAVALKYA says that he, who steals an eighth The fine for giving an or giving an part in weight or measure, shall be fined two weight or meahundred panas. sure.

- Explanation. Or in the preceding sentence signifies that, by whatsoever means the theft is committed, the thief shall be fined two hundred panas.
- He who steals a ninth part shall be fined two For a ninth part. hundred minus one-eighth panas.
- He who steals a seventh part shall be fined two And for a seventh part. hundred minus one-eighth panas.

KATYAYANA says, that he who steals (more than For giving less than an an eighth part) in weighing or measuring articles, eighth or one thing for anby giving one thing for another, and so forth, shall be fined 250 panas.

> This provision does not, therefore, contradict the rule of YAGNYAVALKYA.

other.

MENU says that he, who sells adulterated articles Fino at a price equal to that of good ones, shall be fined crated goods. 250 panas where he shall cheat one-seventh part, or 500 panas where he shall cheat one-fifth part, and so forth.

He who sells counterfeit seeds, forcibly takes Certain orimes punpossession of the field sown by another with seed. ishable by mutilation. or violates the rules of the place, nationality, race, learning, or the king, shall be disfigured, or in other words his nose or ears shall be cut off.

VRIHASPATI says that he, who sells articles by concealing their defects, such as are adulterated or ing articles that have been polished up, shall pay the purchaser their defects. double the price given by him, and shall pay a fine equal to it.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, that he who sells adulterated medicines, oils, and the like, salt, articles ated cincs. cnitting a sweet scent, rice, goor, and the like, shall be fined sixteen panas.

The punishment fixed by VRIHASPATI shall be inflicted on such as cheat in selling valuable articles; and those that cheat in articles of moderate price shall be liable to the punishment prescribed by YAGNYAVALKYA. Therefore there is no inconsistency.

YAGNYAVALEYA says that he, who falsely states the weight or measure of articles for sale, sells the weight or articles out of the market with bad motives or articles trades fraudulently, shall be fined eight times the be fined. value of the things sold.

felsely stating measure oĺ salo, &c., shall

Digitized by Google

The punishmont for sellby concealing

For one who solls adultormedi-

Explanation.

for selling adult-

159

The punish VISHNU says that he, who attempts to sell things tempting to out of the market from bad motives, shall be fined sell things furtively.

Explanation. This fine is imposed on one who repeatedly does this.

The punishment fixed by YAGNYAVALKYA shall be inflicted on one who occasionally does this. Therefore there is no inconsistency.

How the SANKHA says that the trader, who often sells often uses false articles by false weight or measure, shall be punishweight, &co., is tobe punished. ed in person or his limbs shall be cut off.

How a person who often does so, is to be punished. The head of him who often acts in the abovementioned manner shall be shaved, and the ear or any other limb of him who always does this shall be cut off.

Where these two kinds of punishmentare held to apply.

Others say, that the aforesaid two kinds of punishment shall be inflicted according to the value of the articles sold.

The punishment for proparing and use counterfeit weights, in using counterfeit weights, shall be fined 1000 panas. &c.

Minh-Proand use counterfeit weights, measures, licenses, and coin,

And practising impositions with regard to coins.

The abovementioned fine shall also be imposed on those who examine coins, and so forth, and, from bad motives, call good coins bad and vice versa.

VISHNU says that he, who sells counterfeit The ishment pearls and so forth, by describing them as true, selling counshall be fined 1000 panas. åo.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, that the merchants, who For selling articles at high conspire to sell articles at such prices as press prices, to idol makers, heavily on those who prepare idols and so forth, or varying and raise or lower the prices of things settled by the the fixed. ruler, shall be fined 1000 panas.

The same fine shall be imposed on those who conspire to purchase articles at reduced prices or sell them at advanced rates from wicked motives.

MENU says that they, who sell elephants, horses, &c., worthy of the king's use, or, through avarice, deal in articles, the trade in which is prohibited by the king, without his permission, shall be fined at the price obtained by them.

MENU says, that the king shall, by way of be paid to king customs, take one-twentieth part of the value of on the value of goods. goods, fixed by those who understand mercantile business and know the prices of goods.

VISUNU says, that one-tenth of the prices of Vishnu on the duties payof able on home country goods and one-twentieth of those and imported foreign ones shall be taken by the king. produce.

The meaning of the above is, that one-tenth of Explanation. the profit of goods purchased and sold in the country, and one-twentieth of that of goods purchased from a foreign land and sold in the country, shall be taken by the king.

U

And for conspiring to purchase articles at low rates, and sell them at high ones.

Persons selling elephants, or dealing in prohibited articles, shall be fined,

The duty to

Digitized by Google

for

terfoit poarls,

161 pun-

ko.,

prices

Gautama on the duty on articles of commerce, &c.

GAUTAMA says, that the tax on articles of commerce shall be one-twentieth of their prices, but that on roots. flowers, medicines, rice, and the like, honey, flesh, straw, and wood sold in the country by purchase from a foreign one, shall be one-sixth of the profit.

What goods shall not be taxed.

VASISHTHA says that legislators quote MENU on the subject of taxes.

The proceeds of goods, the price of which falls short of one kahana, of trades and of the sale of children, fees of ambassadors, what is gained by begging, the profit of articles, a portion of which has been stolen away, of any thing sold by learned Brahmins, or things brought for performing sacrifices (yogas,) shall not be taxed.

How physiproper treatment of persons of various grades.

VISHNU says, that those physicians, who treat cians shall be the stand of the motives, shall be fined 1000 panas. But if they treat men of the middle classes in the abovementioned manner, they shall be fined 500 panas. A fine of 250 panas shall be imposed on them, when they cause the ill-treatment of insignificant persons.

∀уана on prostitutes and other inciters to crimes.

VYASA says, that prostitutes, rogues, and artisans entice persons to commit wicked deeds, of which they are perfectly ignorant, against their will. They deceive those who place confidence in them.

Vrihaspati on punishment of culpable officers of court.

VRIHASPATI says, that the officers of court, who act unlawfully or live by bribery, and they who betray confidence, shall be expelled from the country.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, that bribe-takers shall be deprived of their whole property and be banished.

If washermen put on the clothes of others, they For washermen, who put shall be fined three panas. But if they sell, hire on others' clothes, &c. out, mortgage, or lend them, they shall be fined ten panas.

VRIEASPATE says that they, who pretend to be For protond ... od hermits. hermits by taking staves (in their hands) or putting on skins, and maliciously injure others, shall be confined by the officers of police.

MENU says that the goldsmith, the most wicked of all dealers, who does any wrong, shall be man-wrong. gled to pieces with razors by the king.

Legislators say that the abovementioned punishment is imposed, when he repeatedly does wrong.

YAGNYAVALKYA says that he, who deals in articles ment for dealalleged to be made of gold and adulterated flesh, ing in articles alleged to be shall be fined 1000 panas and deprived of the three made of gold and flesh unfit members of his body: in other words, he who for food. publicly deals in gilt articles describing them as golden and sells dog's flesh for that of deer, shall be fined 1000 panas and deprived of his nose, teeth, and hands.

IIc, who sells bad articles by describing them as good, shall be fined eight times their price; in ing bed artiother words, when a bad article is made showy in ing them at appearance and sold at a very high price, the person so doing shall be fined eight times the excess of the (proper) price.

Tho punish\_ ment for puffhigh prices.

A goldsmith doing 8117 to be mangled to

Explanation.

The punish-

pieces.

The punishment for bribo-takers.

Persons selling or mortgaging boxes, &c., with false descriptions, to be punished.

They, who sell or mortgage boxes, and the like, other than those shown at first, or counterfeit musk, and the like, shall be punished according to law.

The punishment for selling articles at high prices.

He, who sells an article worth less than one pana at a high price, shall be fined fifty panas. But when the price of such an article is one or two panas, the vendor shall be fined one hundred or two hundred panas respectively. In like manner the punishment shall be heavier according to the nature of the crime.

A person selling or depositing a box of things of little value, as containing valuables, &c., to be punished.

He, who sells or deposits a box or chest full of things of little value, stating that it contains valuables, or counterfeit musk, and the like, for pure articles, shall suffer legal punishment.

The punishment for deceiving others by depositing or selling articles at high prices, &c.

He, who deceives others by depositing or selling an article worth half a *pana* at a higher price, or by stating it to be vory valuable, shall be fined fifty *panas*.

The punishment shall be one hundred times greater than the price.

But where its price is one pana, the depositor or vendor shall be fined one hundred panas. In this manner the punishment shall be one hundred times greater than the price.

Selling things of little value by describing them as very valuable, to be punished.

VRTHASPATI says, that they, who sell things of little value by describing them as very valuable, or deceive women and boys, shall be punished in proportion to the amount they may have taken.

1

The king shall cause those who sell counterfeit Persons selling counterfeit gold, pearl, coral, and the like, to give the purchasers gold, and vathe price taken from them and to pay a fine of be punished. double the amount.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, that pure gold does not didoes not diminish, if it be kept in fire days and nights.

If silver weighing one hundred *palas* be put in a Silver is difire, there is a diminution of two *palas* at the per cent. utmost.

If one hundred *palas* of pure tin or lead be put in Pure tin or a fire, there is a diminution of eight *palas*.

If one hundred *palas* of copper be put in a fire, a Copper five diminution of five *palas* takes place.

If one hundred *palas* of iron be put in a fire, a Iron ten per diminution of ten *palas* takes place.

He, who says that a greater diminution has He who declarge the contaken place in putting any of the aforementioned tray shall be metals in the fire, shall be punished.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, that if 100 palas of coarse The quantity cotton or woollen thread be given, 110 palas of cloth prepared out of shall be prepared.

If the thread be somewhat coarse, the cloth shall and what quantity if the be 105 palas; but if it be fine, it shall extend thread be coarse to 103 palas.

Digitized by Google

# PUNISHMENT FOR UNKNOWN THIEVES.

MENU says, the king shall impale those who The punishment of housebreak into houses at night, by cutting off their breakers. hands.

Of thieves. VAS says, thieves acquire many kinds of treasure by house-breaking. The king shall cause their owners to receive them and then impale the thieves.

VRIHASPATI says, they who repeatedly steal shall Of those who repratedbe impaled: they who rob passengers shall be ly steal or rob passengers. executed and suspended on trees.

Of persons VRIHASPATI says, the king shall burn those who stealing males. steal males with fire made of straw, and so forth.

They who steal females shall be burnt by being Of those who steal fomales. dragged them over red hot iron or with fire made of sticks, and so forth.

On whom This punishment is for the stealing of men and this punish-ment is to be women descended from respectable families.

Menu's au-MENU confirms this by saying that they, who thority on the steal men or women in particular who are the descendants of respectable families and they who steal emeralds, shall be executed.

The punishment of him stoals who human 0000tures.

point.

VYASA says, he who steals human creatures shall be kept at the junction of four streets, after his hands and feet have been cut off.



| LARCENY.                                                                                                                                                                               | 167                                                                                             |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| This punishment is for the theft of persons of the middle classes.                                                                                                                     | What per-<br>sons are refer-<br>red to.                                                         |
| He who steals men shall be fined 1000 panas.                                                                                                                                           | The punish-<br>ment for steal-<br>ing men.                                                      |
| This punishment is for the theft of mean persons.                                                                                                                                      | What per-<br>sons are meaut.                                                                    |
| He who steals women shall forfeit all his pro-<br>perty.                                                                                                                               | A porson<br>stealing wo-<br>men to be<br>fined.                                                 |
| He who steals virgins shall be put to death.                                                                                                                                           | A person<br>stealing vir-<br>gins shall be<br>executed.                                         |
| The fine of the whole property is imposed, when<br>mean women are stolen.                                                                                                              | Mean wo-<br>men referred<br>to above.                                                           |
| MKNU says, half of the foot of that man shall be<br>cut off who pierces the noses of cows belonging to<br>Brahmins and steals beasts.                                                  | The punish-<br>ment for piero-<br>ing the noses of<br>cows belong-<br>ing to Brai-<br>mins, &c. |
| The king shall punish him who steals animals of great size, arms, and medicines, considering the time and necessity.                                                                   | For the<br>theft of ani-<br>mals of great<br>size, arms, and<br>medicines.                      |
| Animals of great size signify elephants, horses,<br>and so forth. Time means a time of war. Necessity<br>signifies the time when the animals are required for<br>riding, and so forth. | Explanation.                                                                                    |
| NAREDA says, they who steal animals of great<br>size, shall be fined 1000 panas, they who steal<br>animals of moderate size 500 panas, and they who                                    | Naroda on<br>the point.                                                                         |

steal little animals 250 panas.

こうところうち ショニ・セント こうつうつく

Digitized by Google

Vishnu's The laws prescribed by VISHNU in this matter laws on the matter to be shall be valid. valid.

The punish-VYASA says, the stealer of horses shall be killed, ment for theft of horses. his hands, feet, and waist being cut off.

The punishment for heinous thefts and assassination.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, they who steal prisoners, horses, and elephants, and suddenly murder persons, shall be impaled.

For those who break inåc.

They, who break into granaries, arsenals, and to granaries, temples, and steal horses, elephants, and cars, shall be killed without trial.

The punishment scribed Vishnu for å 0.

VISHNU says, they who steal cows, horses, by elephants, or camels, shall be deprived of a hand, stealing cows, foot, and so forth : they who steal goats, and the like, shall have a hand cut off.

Such horses and elephants are understood to be Explanation. of inferior kind, as belong to persons who do not know how to manage them or are stolen in time of peace.

The punishstealing for animals.

VYASA says, one foot of that man who steals ment preserib-ed by Vyana animals shall be cut off with a sharp weapon, such as a spade, &c.

Monu By persons for loosing anithem, or deand oattle,

MENU says, they who tie loose animals, or unloosing or un- loose chained ones, with the motive of stealing mals to steal them, and destroy slaves, horses, and cars, shall be stroying slaves punished like thieves.


MENU says, two fingers of those, who unloose And for ereona 11 22 chained animals for the purpose of stealing lossing anir mais to steal them, shall, in the first instance, be cut off. In the them once or oftener. second instance one hand and foot shall be cut off. In the third instance they shall be killed.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, a thumb and the finger just For pur-้นกะ next to it on the right side of that person, who loosing anin male to steal pursues or unlooses animals for the purpose of them. stealing them, shall be cut off.

VRIHASPATI says, those who steal rice measuring For steeling more than ten more than ten kumbhas shall be killed. kumbhas

rice

But those who steal less than the abovemen-A stealer of lose than the tioned quantity shall be forced to give compensaaforceaid quantity shall tion to the owners and fined eleven times the fined. quantity stolen.

According to the Ratnakara and others one Kuraba dekuraba is equal to twelve times the quantity of rice that can be held in the hollow of the hands of a full grown man. Four kurabas make one prastha, twenty prasthas one kumbha.

The legislators of Mithila call ten kumbhas a Khari how understood in khari, which is equal to the rice required for the Mithia support of a full-grown man.

Others say that, in measuring rice, each of the Several mass surve of rice. following measures, pala, kuraba, prastha, araka, and defined. *dron*, is inversely four times greater than the other.

T

Baha de Sixteen drons make one khari, twenty drons make one kumbha, ten kumbhas make one baha.

Explanation. The *kumbha*, as defined here, is the quantity above referred to.

The punishment for a If the crop be destroyed through the fault of the crop destroyed through the cultivator's share.

But it is If it have been done through the fault of his less, if destroy. ed through servant and without his knowledge, he shall be the fault of his servant. fined five times his share.

The owner of the land shall nevertheless take his share. In addition to the aforesaid penalty it is proper that the owner of the land shall take his proper share.

The punishment for stealing camphor, nuts, rice, &c.

NAREDA says that he, who steals any of the undermentioned, camphor and other aromatics, nuts and other spices, rice and other grain, shall be fined ten times its value.

The stealer of 100 palas of camphor, or precious metals, to be put to death.

MENU says, he who steals camphor, and other aromatics, or gold, silver, and other precious metals, weighing more than one hundred *palas*, shall be put to death.

Also the The same penalty is inflicted on one who steals than 100 pieces more than one hundred pieces of cloth. of cloth.

But if more than fifty palas of camphor, gold, The hands of the stealer and the like, and as many pieces of cloth, be stolen, of more than 50 palas the hands of the thief shall be cut off. camphor, &c., to be out off.

The stealer of If less than the abovementioned quantity and less than the abovemention number be stolen, the thief shall be fined eleven times the value of the articles stolen.

The owners of the stolen articles shall also be paid.

SANKHA says, he who steals gold and silver shall be either punished in person or any one eilver shall be of his limbs shall be cut off. person.

The meaning of the above is that if he, who steals a small quantity of gold and silver, be a respectable man, he shall be punished in person. But if he be of a mean caste, his ear shall be cut off.

VISHNU says, he who steals jewels of inferior The fine for quality shall be fined 1000 panas.

He who steals tools of husbandry, such as ploughs, spades, and the like, at the time of cultivation, shall husbandry at be fined 108 panas, and shall pay the value of the tivation stolen articles.

MENU says, he who steals a small quantity of prepared rice from a store-house or vegetables and fruits, shall be fined fifty panas if he be in any way of related to the owner, otherwise he shall be fined 10) panas.

stealing jowels of inferior quality.

He who steals tools of the time of oulaliall be fined.

Menu on the punishment of a stealer of a small quantity prepared rice.

Digitized by Google

ed quantity shall be fined.

The owners shall also be indemnified.

ł

..

.. 11

٩.

.... 1

• 1

1

The stealer of gold and punished in

Explanation.

of

The steeler of a small quantity mflower, &o.,

He who steals a small quantity of safflower, or paddy, unprepared rice from a store-house, a plant shall be fined. or tree, or common articles of these descriptions, shall be fined one masha of gold.

The stealer of a small quantity rice, milk, &c., how to be punished.

VYASA says that he, who steals a small quantity of of rice, milk, or articles made of milk, shall pay their owner the value thereof and a fine of double their value.

The punishment prescribfor the stealer of a small quantity of cortain eles.

MENU says, a fine of double the value of the ed by Menu following articles shall be imposed on him who steals a small quantity of them :--thread, cotton, arti- refuse of wines, cow-dung, goor, curd, milk, and the like, water, straw, and so forth, bamboo, bamboobaskets, salt, earthen utensils, earth, ashes, fish, birds, oil, ghee, flesh, honey, articles made of the skin, and other parts of beasts of all kinds, or other articles of this description, wines, boiled rice. and fruits of all kinds.

The abovementioned penalty is imposed, if a Explanation. small quantity of any of those articles be stolen.

To be varied He, who steals any of the aforesaid articles that if the things have been kept have been kept by their owners for use, shall be for use. fined one hundred panas.

He who steals sacred fire from another's house The stealer of sacred fire shall be fined one hundred panas. shall be fined.

The punishment prescribfor the theft ticles.

NAREDA says, he who steals any of the articles ed by Nareda mentioned below which are of moderate price shall of ourtain ar- be fined five times their value.

Wooden vessels, straw, and the like, earthen-ware, bamboo, bamboo baskets, strings, bones, hides, vegetables, ginger, esculent plants, flowers, fruits, articles made of milk, and the like, goor, salt, oil, sweetmeats, boiled rice, wine, and other things for consumption.

When this This punishment is imposed, when a large penalty is imquantity of the abovementioned articles is stolen. posed.

MENU says, he who steals a water-pot or a rope ment for stealing a waterfrom a well, or breaks a water distributing place, pot or a rope shall be fined one masha of gold, and shall make from a well, good the damage.

VISIINU says that he, who steals any other articles of which no mention is here made, shall pay a fine equal to their value.

NAREDA says, that the three kinds of penalties which the sages have fixed for robbery are also applicable in the case of theft.

The fine of 250, 500, or 1000 panas shall be imposed on a man according as he steals articles of to the quality inferior, ordinary, or superior quality.

This punishment is for the theft of articles which Explanation. have not been mentioned.

KATYAYANA says, the king shall cut off those How thieves are to be punlimbs of the thieves with which they injure others, nished according to Katyato prevent them from so doing again. yana.

&c., or destroying a watering place. How the stealer of une-

The punish-

numerated articles shall be punished.

ł

ì

ļ

1

1

The three kinds of penalties for robboryapplicable in i. case of theft.

Fine to be of articles.

person VRIHASPATI says, the hands of him who takes taking articles without perthe articles of others without their permission, mission shall whether they be wood, straw, flower, or fruit, shall be deprived of his hands. be cut off.

Explanation. This punishment is for such as take the articles of their superiors.

Brahmins can-GAUTAMA says, Brahmins cannot be punished in not be punished in person. person.

How a Brahmin thief is to be punished.

If Brahmins steal, they shall not be received into the company of pure Brahmins, and the theft shall be made known by making them ride on asses. They shall also be expelled the country and shall be branded like thieves.

How a Brahmin stealing from necessity is to be punished.

But if he have committed theft from necessity, a Brahmin shall atone for it, that is, he who, being unable to support himself, steals, shall have his hands cut off, but shall be required to return the articles to their owners to atone.

Murderer and other criminals not being Brahexecuted.

APASTAMBHA says, he who commits murder, steals gold, or forcibly takes possession of others' land, mins shall be shall be executed if he be not a Brahmin.

How a Brahmin murderer. &c., shall be punished.

If he be a Brahmin, his eyes shall be put out.

Explanation.

This provision is for mean Brahmins.

.

NAREDA says, a thief of the abovementioned Why a thief of the abovedescription shall not be fined but punished in person, mentioned description shall because his property is like filth owing to its being not be fined. acquired by foul means. This thief is understood to be not a Brahmin.

SANKHA says, if Brahmins, who perform (yogas) sacrifices, steal, their heads shall be shaved. But ment if Kshatryas and middle class Brahmins steal, they mine shall be made to ride on asses.

VISINU says, the king shall cause the stolen articles to be returned to their owners and punish pose of the the thieves according to law.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, the king shall cause thieves Yagnyavalkya on the above. to give back the stolen articles to their owners and then torture them to death. But if the thieves be Brahmins, they shall be branded on the forehead and driven out of the country on camel or ass-back.

This penalty is for Brahmins.

KATTATANA says, a Brahmin shall be arrested when the charge of theft is proved against him, ishmont of a whether he be found with the stolen goods or not, charged with thalt. deprived of all his property, and branded.

KAUSHIKA says, that he shall be kept in irons till the point. his death and shall receive a small allowance of rice; and should he be able to do any work, he shall do such work for the king.

Explanation.

Katyayana on the pun-Brahmin

Kaushika on

Sankha on the punishof su. perior Brahwho stoal, &o.

How

stolen articles

and punish the thieves.

the king shall dis.

.

l

•

; ; ; ;

2

The first-named penalty is for such Brahmins as Explanation. have wealth but do not perform the duties of Brahmins. The last-named one is for such as are poor and do not perform the duties of Brahmins.

How a vir-tuous Brahmin stealing is to be punished.

VRIHASPATI says, if a Brahmin, who performs his duties, steal, he shall be required to give back the stolen articles to their owner and atone for his crime ; if he do not make atonement, he shall be imprisoned for life.

MENU says, a Sudra who steals, knowing the Howa Suknowing the guilt of stealing, shall be punished eight times guilt of stealing, shall be greater than the prescribed punishment. punished.

How . If a Vaisya, who knows the criminality of stealwho Vaisya steals, know-ing, steal, he shall be punished sixteen times ing the guilt of stealing, greater. shall be punished.

A Kshatrya who steals under such circum-How . Kshatrya stealing under stances shall be punished thirty-two times more. such circumstances shall be punished.

How a common Brahmin ished for a similar offence.

A common Brahmin shall be punished sixty-four shall be pun- times more for a similar offence.

How a ta-A talented Brahmin shall be punished a hundred lented Brahmin shall be times more for a like offence. punished.

A very learned Brahmin shall be punished How a very learned Brahmin is to be one hundred and twenty-eight times more. punished.

## OF HARBOURING THIEVES.

MENU says, the Brahmin who takes any thing A Brahmin who take from thieves, even when it is for performing aught from thioves is .... sacerdotal duties or those of an instructor, shall guilty ... thief be guilty like a thief.

The king shall kill like thieves those who assist thieves with fire,\* boiled rice, house-breaking with fire, &o. instruments, and leather, or keep with them stolen like thieves. goods.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, he who assists such as are known to be thickes or murderers with rice, shelter, fire, water, instruction, house-breaking instruments, or travelling expenses, shall be fined 1000 panas.

NAREDA says, they who assist thieves with rice the point. or a place of shelter, or do not arrest them when they can do so, shall be punished like thieves.

They who invite or order men to steal, give them Excitors and shelter, or conceal their guilt, shall be punished like thieves. thioves.

KATYAYANA says, they who purchase stolen The same punishment goods, take them as gifts, or conceal them, knowing for receiving stolen goods. them to be such, shall be punished like thieves.

Those who assist thioves shall be killed

The punishment for harbouring thieves and murderers.

> Naroda on

harbourers of thieves to be punishod 85

<sup>•</sup> Fire here means fire which assists the thief in his act.

VISHNU says, they who give shelter to enormous Harbourers of great thieves to be executed. thieves shall be executed by the king.

But no punishment for assisting thieves for self-preservation.

But if such thieves, as cannot be checked by the king, be assisted for the sake of self-preservation, no penalty is to be imposed.

The punishment of those who, having the power, do

MENU says, that the king shall punish like thieves police officers or the inhabitants of the not check vil- frontiers of a country having the charge of its age affraysand other offences. police, when they neglect their duties. They who, having the power, do not check village-affrays or persons who destroy landmarks, and so forth, or corn, or take away from others stolen goods, shall be expelled the country with their property, whether they be in the service of Government or not.

\_\_\_\_\_



## SOME PARTICULARS REGARDING THEFT.

Ir a traveller, belonging to one of the twice-born classes, and having no passage money, take two be punished sugar-cance, roots, or the like, from the field of duce fields. another, he shall not be punished.

According to MENU says, he who takes fruits or roots of trees, Monu persons wood for making oblations to fire, and grass for taking fruite. &c., not to be cows, cannot be called a thief. called thieves.

The fruits in the abovementioned sentence mean Explanation. such as have not been taken by others; for the taking of rejected fruits does not, according to GAUTAMA, constitute theft.

APASTAMBHA says that the king shall appoint noble, good, and trustworthy persons to defend his ouitable persubjects both in town and country from wrong.

The subordinate officers should be equally quali-These shall defend the residents of a town fied. and places eight miles from it on each side, or be qualified. required to make good what shall be stolen. They shall also make good any thing stolen from a village and places within two miles from it.

KATYAYANA says, if the thief-catchers, officers of police, and defenders of the frontiers cannot appre- head the hend thieves, the king shall make them pay what value of the has been stolen.

The king shall appoint sons to protect his subicots.

The anb. ordinate officers should be equally

Officers, who fail to approto pay stolen goods,

Cortain travellers not to for taking profrom

The village KATYAYANA says that he, who is in charge of a headman to pay for what village, shall pay what may be stolen within the within the village. the village. Village.

The king shall make stolen in forests.

But if any thing be stolen in forests, the king good any thing shall make it good. If any thing be stolen in a place other than a forest, he who shelters thieves shall give it. The king shall make good any thing stolen in his kingdom. If after inquiry the king recover the stolen article, he shall take it.

Searching inquiries shall be made for stolen articles.

Searching inquiries shall be made for stolen articles. If they are not recovered, their price shall be paid by the king. If he neglect this he shall be guilty before God.

The whole stolen property to be recovered from him whom a part is found.

VRIDDHA MENU says, a person shall get the whole stolen property from him with whom a part has with been found, if, in the absence of all proof, he swear that all is with the thief.

Missing or stolen articles. officers, to be not claimed

YAGNYAVALKYA says, if collectors of customs recovered by and police officers, and so forth, recover any conflicated, if mislaid or stolen article, the owner shall have it within a year. if he demand it within a year. After that the king shall take it.

'Such arti-·cles shall be by the Govants.

MENU says, such mislaid or stolen article shall conrefully kept be carefully kept by the Government servants. vernment er. If it be stolen, the king shall cause such a thief to be killed by an elephant.

# **ROBBERY AND OTHER VIOLENCE.**

NAREDA says, (sahosa) robbery means what is Robbery defiaed. daringly done by those who are proud of their For sahosa means force; theft is one strongth. of its elements.

Robbery (sahosa) signifies oppression by means Difference between robof strength ; and theft, injury done to others under bery and theft. pretext.

The oppression practised by force with the knowledge of the oppressed is called (sahosa) robbery, and that done without his knowledge theft.

Robbery (sahosa) is divided by NAREDA into Three kinds three sorts, namely, robbery of the first class, of robbery. meaning injury done to others by spoiling their fruits, water, ground, and so forth ; robbery of the second class, or the spoiling of others' eatables. drink, domestic animals, and household articles; and robbery of the third class, or injury done to others by poison, weapons, rape, and murder.

MENU speaks of robbery of the first and second Menu classes.

on robbery of the first and second class

He who spoils the implements of husbandry. fruits, flowers, and bridges, shall be fined one hundred panas and upwards.

## ROBBERY AND OTHER VIOLENCE.

A fine of 200 panas and upwards shall be imposed on him who injures animals, clothes, eatable and drinkable things, and household furniture.

Menu on robbery of the third\_class. MENU speaks of robbery of the third class.

He who forcibly takes away women, men, cows, gold, gems, the treasures of gods and Brahmins, silken clothes and all sorts of good articles, shall at first be fined their price, then twice their price considering his character, and killed, on a repetition of the crime, to prevent him from committing it again.

Nareda on robbery of the first class.

NAREDA says, the fine for robbery of the first class shall be according to the nature of the crime, but it shall not be less than one hundred panas.

Ponaltics for robbery of the second third classes.

According to the legislators, the penalty for and robbery of the second class shall be 500 panas, and for that of the third class, execution, sale of the whole property, transportation, disgraceful branding. or the cutting off of the limbs with which injuries are committed.

The guilt of robbers of the oond classes is fines.

The guilt of those who commit robbery of the first and se- first and second classes, is atoned for by the fine stoned for by imposed on them, and they may be admitted into society.

A robber of the third class ished.

He who commits robbery of the third class is to be pun-shall be excommunicated even when he suffers an adequate penalty.

The punish-ment for ining oommon property.

In short, he who injures or takes common projuring or tak- perty shall be fined one hundred panas and upwards.

Ho who destroys landmarks, and the like, forcibly or stealthily, shall make compensation to the to, to make If the criminal belong to a low caste, he to the loser. loser. shall be fined twice the value of the articles spoiled, and so forth.

He whe commits robbery of the third class should not be admitted into society, even after he is adequately punished.

MENU says, he who spoils the goods of others, He knowingly or not, shall satisfy them and pay a fine spoils goods equal in value to the articles injured. others

YAGNYAVALKYA says, the injury of others' property is an act of robbery for which a fine double the value of the injured article shall be inflicted.

He, who having injured or stolen articles, declares that he has not done so, shall be fined four times confessing to the value of the articles in question.

If a man loosen the walls of the house of another, he shall be fined fifteen panas. He shall be fined ac, of the twenty panas if he loosen the fastenings. But if he divide a house or break it, a fine of forty panas shall be imposed on him, and he shall be required to repair them ; for according to MENU, he should satisfy the party endamaged.

He who injurcs hides or articles made thereof, For injuring wood, or earth, or fruits, roots, and flowers, shall hides, to. be fined five times their value.

A destroyer of landmarks, compensation

A robber of the third class is to be expelled from suciety.

who the of shall be punished.

He who injures others property shall be fined.

The punishhaving injured or stolen artides.

For loosenhouse of another.

Explanation. The penalty of not less than one hundred panas. prescribed by MENU, for destroying flowers, &c., regards such as are of superior quality.

The owner must in all cases be satisfied.

pun-The ishment for injuring crystaltine articles.

The owner

to be satisfied.

KATYAYANA says, he who injures a portion, a moiety, or the whole of articles made of crystalline substances, shall be fined 250 panas and satisfy the owner of them.

For throwing thorns, &c., into another's house to annoy him.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, if a man throw thorns and the like into another's house to annoy him, he shall be fined sixteen panas. But if he throw snakes, and the like, with the same motive, he shall be fined 500 panas.

For breaking the walls of houses, &c.

VISHNU says, he who breaks the walls of others' others' houses, and so forth, shall be fined 500 panas, and be required to repair them.

For annoying others by iou articles into their panas. houses.

He, who annoys others by throwing any noxious throwing nox- articles into their houses, shall be fined one hundred

Explanation.

The annoyance referred to in the abovementioned sentences must be understood to be very great.

The punishment for abusing one's superiors, and offences.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, it is a settled point that he who abuses or insults his superiors or beats his certain other brothers' wives, does not deliver over to proper parties the articles entrusted to him, breaks into houses locked up with padlocks, does not receive neighbours and kinsmen on occasions of marriage, and so forth, shall be fined fifty panas.

Hc, who has sexual intercourse with widows offences. without getting permission to beget sons; does not reply to calls for help by a man in difficulty, as for instance when he is being robbed; abuses men without cause ; being a Chandala, touches his superiors of other classes ; entertains Sudras and Sudra ascetics on the occasion of any poojah or ceremony, takes improper oaths, such as imply incestuous connection with a mother, and so forth; engages in doing things above his power through vanity; deprives trees of the power of producing fruits and common animals of that of producing young ones; swindles public property; or causes the abortion of maidservants, shall be fined one hundred panas.

The same fine shall be imposed on fathers and For foresksons, friends and brothers, wives and husbands, sous, de. instructors and pupils, if any of them leave the other, without some heinous cause of offence.

MENU says, mothers, fathers, wives, and sons Monu on the point. cannot be forsaken. The person who does so without heinous offence on their part shall be fined 600 panas.

Forsake here means not to support them. Explanation.

SANKHA says, if a person willingly forsake any of Sankha on the point. them, he shall be fined two hundred panas.

The penalty on this head prescribed by YAGNYA-Explanation. VALKYA is for ignorant persons.

The provision of MENU is applicable where an ignorant person forsakes another who is learned.

X

For certain

## ROBBERY AND OTHER VIOLENCE.

The penalty prescribed by SANKHA is applicable where ignorant persons willingly leave each other.

Two learned person saking caun "ther, to be persons for-

If two learned persons forsake each other, they each shall be punished according to the rule laid down by SANKHA.

If a person beat his mother, father, or instructor,

the king shall cause that limb with which he com-

The limb with which fathor, mother, or instructor is struck, to mitted the injury to be cut off. be cut off.

The punishment for defiling mins.

VISHNU says, if Brahmins be defiled by means of Brah. things that should not be eaten, the person doing so

shall be fined sixteen mohars.

For rendering a Brahby causing onions, &c., or to drink.

For so acting towards a Kshatrya Vaisya.

If a person make a Brahmin impure by causing min impure him to eat onions, and so forth, which destroy caste, him to take he shall be fined one hundred mohars. But if he cause him to drink, he shall be killed.

> If a Kshatrya be made impure in the aboveor mentioned manner, half of the aforesaid penalty is to be inflicted. If a Vaisya be thus injured, half of the last mentioned penalty is to be imposed.

Explanation.

Yagnyavalkya on the above.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, if a Brahmin be made impuro by things that should not be eaten, the person causing it shall be fined 1000 panas.

This provision applies to the case of good Brahmins.

The punishment for defiling Ksha-tryas, Vaisyas, or Sudras.

He who acts thus towards Kshatryas shall be fined 500 panas; and a fine of 250 panas shall be imposed on him who makes Vaisyas impure in this If Sudras be made so, the guilty person shall way. be fined 125 panas.

This provision regards inferior Brahmins, &c. Explanation.

Menu MENU says that he, who causes a Brahmin, Kshatrya, or Vaisya to eat or drink things that should not be eaten or drunk, shall be fined 1000, 500, or 250 panas respectively.

He who acts in the abovementioned manner towards a Sudra shall be fined fifty-four panas.

This provision regards very common Sudras. Misconduct towards others should be visited with the punishment fixed by YAGNYAVALKYA.

VISHNU says, if a Brahmin eat such things as destroy caste, such as onions, and so forth, he shall that be banished.

YAGNYAVALKYA says that a person, who charges the paramour of any of his female relatives with theft, shall be fined 500 panas. If he let him go on receiving a bribe, he shall be fined eight times the last mentioned fine. According to others the fine shall be eight times the bribe taken.

He who sells blankets with which dead bodies had been covered, declaring them to be good, illtreats superiors, and rides in the king's carriage, and so forth, without his permission, shall be fined 500 panas.

MENU says, in all cases of the performance of religious ceremonies for injuring innocent persons, the preparation of medicines by the ignorant, or the persons, or preperformance of a part of those ceremonies, a fine of cines without 200 panas has been fixed.

The punishment for charging the paramour of female relative with theft, &c.

ł

For selling blankets that covered dead bodics, illtreating superiors, and riding in thoking's carriage, &c.

For performing religious ceremonies to injure innocent being qualified.

on the point.

The punish-mont for defiling a Sadra.

Explanation.

A Brahmin eating things destroy carto, to be banished.

For mixing good with bad articles, injuring goms.

He who mixes good articles with bad ones, breaks or hard things, such as gems, and the like, or pierces, at the wrong places, gems, and the like, which require to be perforated, shall be fined 250 panas.

For Sudras who support following the

If Sudras support themselves by following themselves by the profession of Kshatryas, and so forth, they profession of shall be deprived of all their property and sentenced Kshatryas. to be banished.

For Sudras who do so by pretending to be Brahmins.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, if Sudras support themselves by putting on the appearance of Brahmins, they shall be fined 800 panas.

For breaking bridges, Ło.

MENU says, he who breaks bridges, flags, posts, or images of the gods, shall repair them and be fined  $500 \ panas.$ 

For cutting, stealing, or burning idols, or destroying temples.

KATYAYANA says, he who cuts, steals, or burns the images of the gods, or destroys temples, shall be fined 250 panas.

For selling uncatable artiing idols.

VISHNU says, he who sells articles that should not cles, or break- be eaten or sold, or breaks the images of the gods, shall be fined one hundred panas.

Explanation.

This difference in the penalty for the breaking of idols is with reference to their being good or bad, or the wealth of the offender.

Sankha on the punishment for injuring gardens and certain other offences.

says, he who injures gardens, idols, Sankiia wells, bridges, flags, landmarks, and so forth, or drinking-places of animals near wells, shall be compelled to restore them to their former state and fined 800 panas.

VISIINU says that they who break large bridges shall be killed.

They who break largo bridges to be killed.

The punish-SANKHA says, he who defiles tanks, ponds, or ment for deother water places, puts thorns, and so forth, filing tanks and cortain on thoroughfares, poisons liquids, and presents free other offences. women to slaves, shall be either put to death or deprived of his limbs.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, the woman who administers For a woman for poisoning poison, sets houses on fire, kills men, or breaks or committing certain other bridges, shall be thrown into water with a stone offences. about her, if she be not pregnant.

The woman who is particularly guilty, who kills her husband, parents, or child, shall be deprived of killing husband, her ears, hands, nose, and lips, and caused to be or child. destroyed by bulls.

The person who sets fields, houses, forests, villages or granaries on fire, or has criminal inter- house, &c., or course with the wife of the king, shall be burnt queen, with fire made of reeds.

MENU says, he who breaks the walls of towns, and so forth, blocks up the tanks surrounding them, walls of towns, or breaks the doors thereof, shall be put to imme- killed. diate death.

They who steal from the king's treasuries, mutiny, or instigate the king's enemies, shall be tressuries, or tortured to death.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, a Brahmin guilty of an offence deserving of capital punishment, shall be optial punishfined one hundred mohars.

For a woman guilty of parent,

He who sets fire to debauches the shall be burnt.

He who breaks the &c., shall be

Persons robbing the king's guilty of mutiny or sedition, shall be killed.

A Brahmin, deserving of ment, shall be fined,

How his If he commit an offence deserving of the ampupunishment is to be commut- tation of his limbs, he shall be fined fifty mohars. ed,

> If he commit an offence, the punishment of which is banishment, he shall be fined twenty-five mohars.

The punishment for extorting bribes from suitors.

They who extort bribes from suitors in courts of justice shall be banished and their whole property confiscated.

For Government officers injuring Causes of suitors.

If officers employed by Government injure the the causes of suitors, they shall be deprived of their property, the source of their pride.

How tho king shall treat honest officers.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, the king shall reward his honest and dis- honest officers and kill the dishonest by trying their character through thieves.

The punishment for bribetakors.

Persons who take bribes shall be deprived of their property and transported.

How learned men shall be induced to settle in the country.

Learned men shall be induced by gifts, regard, and veneration, to settle in the country.

The punishment for misconduct of Government officers.

He who, being a Government officer, arrests ono who has been proved to be innocent, allows the guilty to escape, or arrests or releases those whose innocence or guilt has not been ascertained, shall be fined 1000 panas.

For persons playing the abusing br him.

KATYAYANA says, they who play the king, king, robbing steal Government revenue, or abuse him, shall be put to death.



They, who dress like the king, dance or sing, For other offences touchneglecting Government duty, exact fines greater ing the king. than have been inflicted, or steal the king's property, shall be tortured to death.

VISHNU says that they who, not being of the royal For pretonders to family, desire to assume ruling power, shall be royalty. executed.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, that they, who write more or For neglect of duty of less than what is ordered by the king, or release public officers. persons who commit adultery or steal, shall be fined 1000 panas.

SANKHA says, they who act without authority, giving out that they are thus acting under Government thority, orders, disobey royal commands, or use false weights using and measures, shall be executed or deprived of measures. their limbs, according to the nature of their crime.

KATVAVANA speaks of insignificant original

| EXTERIAR Speaks of maighmeant chines.                                                                                                                                          | Insignificant<br>crimes.                                  |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| He who uses fabricated documents or scals shall<br>be fined 1000 panas.                                                                                                        | Using fab-<br>ricated docu-<br>monts or scale.            |
| MENU says, he who issues fabricated royal orders,<br>falsely accuses officers, serves the enemies of the<br>king, or kills boys, women, or Brahmins, shall bo<br>put to death. | Menu on<br>the punish-<br>ment for cer-<br>tain offences. |

VISHNU says, prakriti means king, master, friend, wealth, troops, kingdom, and fort. They &c., shall be put to death. who injure any of these shall be put to death.

For assumption of audiaobcdience, and falso

These seven being the elements of the State, he person corrupting any who corrupts any of these, shall be put to death. of the elements of State to be put to death.

The punishment for throwing offensive weaing abortion.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, he who throws offensive weapons to injure others or causes abortion shall be pons or caus- fined 1000 panas.

He who is guilty of killing a man or a woman For homicide. shall be fined 1000 or 250 panas.

The abortion above adverted to is of all women Explanation. except those of the Brahmin class.

The punishment for abortion, torminating fatally or otherwise.

If the sufferer die of the pain, the guilty person shall be fined 1000 panas; otherwise a fine of 250 panas shall be imposed.

Ushana on the punishment of persons causing abortion.

USHANA says, he who causes the abortion of women by forcing them to labour, shall be fined 250 But if it be caused by drugs, a fine of panas. 500 panas shall be imposed; but if it be owing to beating, a fine of 1000 panas.

VRIHASPATI says, there are five kinds of violent Vrihaspati on murder and acts, of which the principal is murder. Murderers murderers. shall not be punished by fine. They shall be executed.

> Murderers and assassing shall be tortured to death by the king.

KATYAYANA also says that murderers shall be Katyayana on murderers. punished with death.

▲

The king, who has the interest of his subjects at heart, shall not release such as are the terror of all, even for the sake of gaining friends or riches.

The king, who, for the sake of riches or through duty to out fear, does not destroy wicked men, degrades his of the wicked. government and loses it.

lle, who kills others by closely binding them, by Robber defire, poison, or weapons, through anger, and so footh, is called (sahosa) robber.

BAUDHAYANA says, if *Kshatryas* and the like kill Brahmins, they shall be executed and their whole by property shall be confiscated.

If they take away the lives of men equal or  $\mathbf{F}_{o}$  inferior to them, they shall be fined in proportion to derection their wealth, and physically punished according to their strength.

If Brahmins murder Kshatryas, Vaisyas, or Sudras, they shall pay a fine of a thousand cows in and a bull, a hundred cows and a bull, or ten cows of and a bull respectively.

In the murder of Sudras is included that of Expla women who are not menstruating, and the killing of cows, except those which have brought forth calves, and of bulls.

Those who kill women and cows of the kinds mentioned shall perform the penance called Chandrayana.

The punishmont of Kahatryns, &c., for the murder of Brahmins.

For the murder of mon equal or inferior to them.

For Brahmins murdering porsons of other classes.

Explanation.

x

The punish-The penalty for the murder of women who ard ment for the of menstruating is the same as that of Kshatryas. murder monstruating women.

For killing certain creatures should not be killed.

The punishment inflicted on the murderer of that Sudras is also inflicted on him who kills a goose, jackdaw, peacock, red goose, crane, crow, owl, frog, weasel, shrew, and other creatures that should not be killed.

When 80he who inflicts the fatal blow, to be deemed the murderer.

VRIHASPATI says, where several persons beat a veral persons beat a man, man through anger, he, among them who inflicts the death-blow, shall be deemed the murderer and punished as such.

The guilt of those who assist the murderer.

Those who assist him shall be guilty in part.

Trials for murder how to be conducted.

The trial of a murderer shall be conducted by ascertaining the following points : the severity of the wound, the part where it has been inflicted and whether a wound in such a part can be fatal, the strength of the murdered person, single or repeated wounds.

Explanation.

The meaning is that the punishment of murder shall be imposed on him who has inflicted a fatal He who first inflicts the wound or assists wound. the murderer shall receive punishment half of that inflicted on the latter.

How a wound is known to be fatal.

The fatal nature of the wound shall be determined by its severity, by its infliction on a tender part of the body, the strength of the murdered person, or repeated cuts.

NAREDA says, that the abovementioned punishment is applicable in the case of all men except may be capi-tally punished. Brahmins without distinction of class. For Brahmins cannot be put to death, but their heads may be shaved, they may be banished, branded on the forehead, and caused to ride on asses.

YAMA speaks of robbery and theft.

Brahmins shall never be punished in person. They shall be confined in secure places, where they punished is shall be fed, or, being bound with ropes, shall be They shall labor for a month or made to labor. fifteen days. The king shall cause them to do things unworthy of their position according to their offence.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, they who instigate others to commit violent deeds, shall receive punishment stigating the double that of the latter. But they who encourage violent deeds. men to act in the aforesaid manner, by entertaining them with hopes of defraying all the expenses of lawsuits, shall be punished four times more.

KATYAYANA says, he who instigates or assists one who is ready to do a violent deed, gives him advice concerning it, shelters him, helps him with weapons, rice, or advice at the time of detection, orders him to administer poison, does not help one who is in terror of a robber or causes him to be helped, oppresses others in the guise of a Government servant, accuses the sufferer, or approves of the deed of the said wicked man, or does not,

All mon ex-

Yoma 01 robberv and

Brahmins shall nover be person.

The punishment for in-

Katyayana on the point.

## ROBBERY AND OTHER VIOLENCE.

when he is able, check him, and is guilty of any of these thirteen violent deeds, shall be fined in proportion to his wealth.

The repentant criminal denies his guilt to severer punishment.

NAREDA says he, who, committing some violent liable to half deed, craves pardon from the king or acknowledges the penalty his guilt, shall be liable to half the penalty. If he do not confess his guilt or support himself by violent deeds, he shall be severely punished even for a very common offence. If he, being guilty, declare that he is not so and that he will, on conviction, receive punishment, he shall, if convicted, be liable not only to the punishment for his offence but also to that which he agreed to receive.



# INQUIRY AFTER MURDERERS.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, that inquiries shall be made Inquiries of the sons, friends, or prostitute of the murdered, dever to be or prostitutes as to whether he had any quarrel and made in corso forth with any body; and of the residents of the place where the murder has taken place, as to whether he, influenced by avarice, accompanied any body.

after the mur-

How

the

VRIHASPATI says, where the corpse is found but murderer is to the murderer cannot be discovered, the king shall be discovered, when the trace him out from the enmity that the murdered corpor found. person might have had with any one.

Government officers shall also make inquiries regarding him of the people of the place where the murder took place, and from the friends and kinsmen of the deceased, by friendly means, rewards, threats, and torture.

Wicked persons may be known from bad com- How wicked persons may be known. pany, weapons, or stolen property.

The means of finding out thieves and murderers have been mentioned.

Ho who has been arrested on suspicion and does not confess his guilt shall be released on oath.

Suppoted persons, who do not confess, to be released on oath.

This provision is applicable in all cases.

VYASA says, the murderer being convicted the The muraccomplices to king shall torture him and his accomplices to death. derer and his be tortured to death.

VRIMASPATI says, the innocent shall be released The innocent to be released on oath, the on oath ; the guilty shall be executed. guilty exocut-

The fame and virtue of the king increase by the The renown of the king will thereby abovementioned mode of release of the virtuous and punishment of the vicious.

\_\_\_\_\_

Digitized by Google

increaso.

VYASA says, that there are three sorts of adul- Three sorts of adultorous terous acts. Ile has also thus defined them. An acts. adultorous act of the first class consists in speaking with the wife of another in a private place or forest, and at an unscasonable time, ogling at her, smiling at her, sending pimps to her, or touching her ornaments or clothes.

NAREDA says, there are three kinds of adulterous acts; namely, sitting, speaking, and amusing one's self with others' wives in solitary places and at unseasonable hours.

The meeting of a man and a woman at the juncof a man and tion of two rivers, at a ghaut, in a garden or forest, woman at certain places, deemed an adis also called a kind of adulterous act.

An adulterous act signifies the means by which the object of the attachment of the said man and ous act dowoman may be known.

The means are such, as conversation, and the like, as do not indicate any thing but lust.

The means of determining iŁ.

It may therefore appear from their words and movements that they are influenced by lust.

Naroda on the subject.

The meeting

ultorous act.

An adulter-

<sup>•</sup> The word sangrahan has been rendered adultery by Sir William Jones and others ; but, like the Arabic zine, it seems properly to denote illicit commerce. The word has accordingly been rendered variously here, according as the passages required.

When such meeting is not to be deemed an adulterous act.

Conversation and the like between a man and woman in solitary places through ignorance, simplicity, or pressure of business, without bad motives, cannot be called an adulterous act.

Who may converse with others' wives and where.

The following passage of MENU bears the same signification. Beggars, encomiasts, priests, or artisans, shall be allowed to converse with others' wives at the houses of the latter.

An adulterous act of the fined.

An adulterous act of the first class signifies the first class de- means whereby it may be known that the minds of both the man and the woman have been attached to each other.

An adulterous act of the scoond class defined.

VRIHASPATI says that, according to lawgivers, an adulterous act of the second class means the sending of fragrant articles, garlands, fruits, wine, victuals, or cloths, or conversation in solitary places, and the like.

VTASA the point.

VYASA defines it to be the sending of fragrant on things, garlands, incense, ornaments, or cloths, or seduction by victuals and drinking materials.

Conversation in an adulterous act of the second Explanation. class is understood to be closer than that in the first. Presents of the aforesaid articles is attended with expense, therefore an adulterous act of the second class appears to be viler than that of the third class.

An adulterous act of the third class defined.

VRIHASPATI says, that legislators define an adulterous act of the third class to be a man and woman sitting on the same bed, dallying with, and kissing or embracing each other.

Z

ADULTERY.

VYASA defines it to be their sitting on the same Further explainod. bed in a place apart, and handling the hair of each other.

It also means the exchange of benefits, sports, touching of clothes or ornaments, or sitting on the same bed.

The touching of the breasts of the wife of another by a man by mutual consent, and their silence when they unbecomingly touch each other's persons may also be called an adulterous act of the third class.

Instead of exchange of benefits the word upachar, version. which means the sending of betel, and the like, to each other, occurs in some books.

NAREDA says, if a man declare from pride, ignorance, or the hope of glory, that he has embraced a certain woman, that is also a sign of an adulterous sign of an adact.

A man's holding the feet and clothes of prostitutes, and asking them to stop in streets, may be called an adulterous act.

The word " prostitutes" is here mentioned by Explanation. way of illustration.

The abovementioned conduct of a man towards any other woman than his own wife is called an any other woadulterous act. Adulterous acts are of nine sorts : wife, is called first, exchange of benefits ; second, sudden meeting act. in solitary places; third, taking hold of the neck, of adulterous hair, and so forth; fourth, of the ear; fifth, of sota.

What may also be called an adulterous aot of the third. dess.

Different

Boast of having embraced a certain woman, also a ulterous act.

The holding of the foot and clothes of prostitutes, &c. may be called an adulterous act.

Such duct towards m in than one's an adulterous

the nose; sixth, of the hands, and the like; seventh, loitering in the same place; eighth, sitting on the same bed; and ninth, taking food from the same pot.

Vrihaspati on the punishment for adultery.

VRIHASPATI says, a fine of 250, 500, or 1000 panas shall be imposed on persons guilty of adultery of the first, second, and third classes respectively.

A rich man to receive a

If a man be rich, he shall receive a greater greater pun- punishment than this.

MENU says, he who converses with a woman

The punishment for cona woman in hibition.

A man conversing with through neguilty.

The guilt of adulterous inclination, according Menu.

The punishment for conversing with a woman in spite of prohibition.

Yagnyavalkya on the point.

versing with with whom he has been forbidden to do so, whether epite of pro- in a solitary or other place, shall be fined 250 panas. If a man converse through necessity with a

woman woman with whom he was not forbidden to speak, ossity is not he shall not be guilty, for his motive is not wicked. If he converse with her in a solitary place he shall be guilty; as MENU says, he who converses with the <sup>to</sup> wife of another at a ghaut or in a forest, within a chamber, or at the junction of rivers, shall be held guilty of adulterous inclination.

> MENU says, a man ought not to converse with a woman by whose husband and other relatives, he may have been forbidden to do so. If he do it he shall be fined a mchar.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, if a woman converse with a man after she has been forbidden to do so, she shall be fined one hundred panas. If a man do this after he has been forbidden, he shall be fined two

hundred panas. If both the man and the woman do it after they have been forbidden, they shall be fined 250 panas each.

MENU says, he who converses with a woman kept by one master, or with his maid servant, or a versing private female ascetic, in a solitary place, shall be punished cortain women. with a small fine, that is, less than a mohar.

SANKUA says, the limbs of all men, except Brahmins, with which they may do any wrong shall be limbe cut off, or the offenders shall be fined 8000 panas. Brahmins cannot be punished in person.

MENU says, the king shall banish such Kshatryas, Vaisyas, and Sudras as are addicted to lewdness, by branding them with marks of disgrace and cutting off their ears and noses.

If a member of an inferior class have connection with a female of a superior class, he shall, accord- nection with a ing to MENU, be executed.

The man who brings about this wicked connection, or gives place for it, shall receive a similar shall be simipunishment.

If a man converse with women, the caste of whose husbands cannot be ascertained, he shall not of be punished in the abovementioned manner.

MENU confirms this, by saying that the aforesaid rule is not applicable in case of conversation with tion, with ourthe wives of public dancers or singers, or of those who are supported by their wives, for these allow Menu.

The punishment for conin with

Excepting Brahmins, the with which any wrong is done, shall be cut off.

The punishmont for libidiperions nous of the lower classes.

For the man who has conwoman of a auperior class.

The pander to such orime harly punished.

Conversing with the wives common men, not 80 punishablo.

Adultory, but not conversatain women, punish able, ta scoording

their wives to prostitute themselves. But if adulvery be committed they shall be punished, as will be seen from the chapter treating of adultery with corrupt women.

Adulterous acts when held to be crimes and when not.

NAREDA says, adulterous acts with married women in their houses are crimes. But if they be done in the houses of the men, and the women go there, such acts are not held to be crimes.

Conversing a woman un-der certain cirsumstances not punishable.

VISHNU says, if a man converse or commit aduler committing adultery with tery with a woman who has been forsaken by her husband, or whose husband is a hermaphrodite or impotent, or who is willing, he shall not be liable to punishment even if the conversation or adultery take place in her own house.
# PUNISHMENT OF ADULTERY, FORNICA-TION, AND RAPE.

MENU says, he who violates an unmarried woman shall, immediately on conviction, be put to death.

If a man have connection with a willing unmarried woman he shall not be put to death, if willing unmarboth of them belong to the same class.

If a member of an inferior class have connection with an unmarried woman of a superior class, whether she be willing or not, he shall be put ferior class, a to death.

But a man, who has connection with an unmarried woman belonging to the same class with or fornication, her, or at her father's desire, shall give him the man is unwarregular marriage fees. On paying these the man equal and the woman may be married.

NAREDA says, on the same subject, if a man have connection with a woman of the same class to which he belongs, with her consent, he shall marry her after adorning her with ornaments and paying attention to her.

Such a woman shall be married by a man on giving double marriage fees, ornaments, and pecu-presents liar property.

Rape of an unmarried woman a capital orime.

But not commerce with a ried woman of equal class.

Rape or fornication committed by a man of an incapital crime, if the woman be unmarried and of a superior class.

The punishment of rape where the woried and of class, avoided by marriage.

Nareda on the point.

Double fees, ornaments, and 18quired.

#### PUNISHMENT OF ADULTERY, FORNICATION, AND RAPE. 206

The fingers used in the defloration of an unmarried woman equal class, to åa.

If a man deflour an unmarried woman of the same class by touching her private parts with his of two fingers, these fingers shall be lopped off and be lopped off, he shall be fined 600 panas.

Only a fine to be imposed ed with her consent.

But if this be done with her consent, the fingers if the man act- shall not be cut off, but he shall be fined 200 panas to prevent him from repeating the crime.

Treatment of an unmarried connection with perior or inforior class,

NAREDA says, if an unmarried woman commit woman having fornication with a man of a superior class, she a man of a su- shall not be punished. But if she do so with one of an inferior class, she shall be bound and kept at home.

Punishment of an unmaranother.

If an unmarried woman deflour another by touchried woman ing her private parts with two fingers, she shall be guilty of the fined 200 panas, shall pay double marriage fees, and receive ten stripes.

And of a married WOthesame crime.

If a married woman act in the abovementioned man guilty of manner, her head shall be shaved and her two fingers shall be cut off, and she shall be paraded mounted on an ass.

Her punishment for re-80.

Her fingers shall be cut off and she shall be postedly doing mounted on ass-back, if she repeatedly do this.

The punish-ment for deadorned for marriage otherwise.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, if a man defile a woman filing a woman adorned with ornaments for her marriage, he shall or be fined 1000 panas; if she be not adorned with ornaments for marriage, he shall be fined 250 panas.

This penalty is for persons of the same class. If the wo-But if a member of an inferior class so misbehave towards a woman of a superior class, he shall be ishable death. executed.

If a man thus misbehave as regards a willing woman of an inferior class, he shall not be punished. curred, if the

If a man thus act towards a woman of a superior class, his hand shall be cut off and he shall be put to death.

The woman, who, being proud of the beauty and so forth of her brothers and other relations, dishonors the bed of her husband, shall be caused by the king to be killed by dogs, and her paramour shall be burnt to death in a bed of red-hot iron on which the public executioners shall throw wood.

MENU says, if a Brahmin violate a Kshatrya, Vaisya, or Sudra woman who has no guardian, he Brahmin for shall be fined 500 panas.

If he thus misbehave as regards the wife of a person of a mean class, such as a washerman, a mean class, shoe-maker, and the like, he shall be fined 1000 panas.

If a man, who was once convicted of having connection with a woman, be charged with a similar yœr, misconduct with the same woman after a year, he shall be liable to double the punishment that was at first inflicted on hun.

man be of a superior, the crime is punwith

But no punishment is inwoman bo willing and of inferior elam.

The punishment applies, if the woman be of a superior class.

The punishment due to brother and married sister guilty of incost,

The punishment of a rape on an upprotected woman of an inferior class.

For rape on a woman of a

For repotition of fornication after

# 208 PUNISHMENT OF ADULTERY, FORNICATION, AND RAPE.

For commerce with Chandala or Bratta caste, he shall be fined 1000 other mean panas.

Bratta der Bratta means a woman descended from a Bratta, or one who does not practise virtue, but acts disreputably, for, according to HARITA, he, whose virtue is declining and whose conduct and acts are becoming bad, is called Bratta.

The same HALAYUDHA defines Bratta to be such a woman defined by as, being of an age beyond the time of marriage, has not been married.

The punishment for repetition of fornication with a Chandala woman, &o.

If fornication be committed with a Chandala woman or Bratta for the second time, the adulterer shall be fined double.

Therefore, if fornication be repeatedly committed
with a woman of the same class, the offender shall also be doubly punished.

For twiceborn men who have connection with mean women.

connection with a mean woman, he shall be banished after his body has been branded with the figure of a headless man.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, if a twice-born man have

For a Sudra so acting with a mean woman.

If a Sudra thus act towards a mean woman, his body shall only be branded in the abovementioned manner.

For a Chan- If a dal having connection the t with a woman of the twice- death. born classes.

n- If a *Chandala* have connection with a woman of the twice-born classes, he shall be put to death.



Or a woman of the same class.

From the provision that a Sudra's body shall Explanation. only be branded with the figure of a headless man, it is to be understood that he shall not be banished.

Therefore, there is no inconsistency in the provision of death in case of a Chandala having connection with a woman of the twice-born class.

If a Sudra have connection with a woman of one of the twice-born classes, whether she have a nexting with a guardian or not, one of his limbs shall be cut off, man shall be and he shall be deprived of a portion of his property if she have no guardian. But if she have one, he shall be deprived of all his property.

By one of the limbs is meant the male organ, for GAUTAMA says, if a Sudra have connection with a woman of one of the twice-born classes, he shall be deprived of his male organ and all his property. But if she have a guardian, he shall also be put to death.

If a Vaisya have connection with a Brahmin woman who has a guardian, he shall be imprisoned tion with a for one year and fined 1000 panas.

But if a Kshatrya act in the abovementioned manner, his head shall be shaved with the urine of soling shall be asses and he shall receive the aforesaid penalty.

If a Vaisya or Kshatrya have connection with a Brahmin woman who has no guardian, the former shall be fined 500 and the latter 1000 panas.

How a Sudra having contwice-born wopunished.

Gautama on the point.

How a Valeya having connec-Brahmin woman, who has a guardian, shall be punished.

How Kehatrya 80 punished.

How a Vaisya or Kshatrya having connection with a belpless Brahwoman, min shall be punishod.

Digitized by Google

#### 210 PUNISHMENT OF ADULTERY, FORNICATION, AND RAPE.

The smaller penalty on the Vaisya is in the case Explanation. of connection with a Brahmin woman equal to a Sudra. But in the case of connection with a good Brahmin woman, he shall be punished like the Kshatrya.

How a Kaha-If a Kshatrya or Vaisya have connection with a trya or Vaisva having connec- Brahmin woman who has a guardian, he shall be Brahmin wo punished like a Sudra guilty of the same offence, a guardian, or shall be burnt to death by his body being covered with straw, and so forth.

Explanation.

nished.

By the Brahmin woman in the preceding sentence is meant a talented one.

Therefore, there is no inconsistency in the provision of a fine of 1000 panas, and so forth, made above.

Punished like a Sudra means deprived of his entire property and male organ, and put to death.

Burnt to death, &c. VASISHTHA says a Vaisya shall be covered with red kusha, and a Kshatrya with reeds, and burnt.

If a Brahmin violate a Brahmin woman who has

The punishment of a violating or tion with a man who has guardian.

Brahmin for a guardian, he shall be fined 1000 panas. If he having connect have connection with her with her consent, he shall Brahmin wo- be fined 500 panas.

Capital punishment how mutable.

Where the punishment of death is necessary, and whon row- there the Brahmin's head shall be shaved.

Men of other classes shall be put to death.

If a Brahmin be guilty of crimes of every de-How a Brahmin guilty of scription, he shall be expelled the country, but all kinds of crimes shall allowed to take his property, without being wounded. be punished.

He shall be thus expelled, if he have been The punishrepeatedly guilty.

There is not a greater vice than the murder of Execution of a Brahmin Therefore the king shall not even prohibited. a Brahmin. think of the execution of one.

If a Vaisya have connection with a Kshatrya woman who has a guardian, or a Kshatrya with a mining adul-Vaisya woman, each of them shall be punished in Kehatrya wothe same manner as if they had so acted with a . Brahmin woman who has no guardian, or in other nished. words the Kshatrya shall be fined 1000 panas, and the Vaisya 500 panas.

This small punishment for the Vaisya is in the Explanation. case of a talented man and an untalented woman. In other cases both of them shall be punished 1000 panas.

If a Brahmin have connection with a Kshatrya or Vaisya woman, who has a guardian, he shall be nection fined 100) panas.

If a Kshatrya or Vaisya have connection with a Sudra woman who has a guardian, each of them ing connection shall be fined 1000 panas.

A Brahmin, having conwith a Kshairya or Vaisya woman who has no guardian, shall bo finod.

A Kshatrya or Vaisya, havwith a Sudra woman, shall be fined.

How Vaisya comman, who has guardian, shall be pu-

ment is for being repeatedly guilty.

Digitized by Google

The punishment for a Kshatrya having connection with a Kehatrya woman.

If a Kshatrya have connection with a Kshatrya woman, his head shall be shaved with the urine of asses.

▲ Brahmin, a helplees wo-man of any inferior class, shall be fined.

If a Brahmin have connection with a Kshatrya, having con-noction with Vaisya, or Sudra woman, who has no guardian, he shall be fined  $500 \ panas$ .

And two-fold if he do so with a Chandala or other

But if he do the same with a woman of the Chandala or other inferior caste, he shall be fined mean woman. 1000 panas.

 The punishment for forsaking mother, father, wife, or son, without cause.

Mother, father, wife, and son cannot be forsaken. Should a man forsake any of them without any offence which deserves forsaking, he shall be fined 600 panas by the king.

For a sacrificer forsaking a pricet, and vice versa.

If a sacrificer forsake such a priest as is competent and innocent, or if the priest forsake an innocent sacrificer, each of them shall be fined one hundred panas.

For a person taking a weman or man to a certain place for adultery, or giving such place.

For a per-son violating an unwilling woman.

It is said in the Matsya Purana that the person, who takes a woman or man to a certain place for adultery, or gives a place for it, shall be liable to a fine equal to that imposed on an adulterer.

VRIHASPATI says, he who violates an unwilling woman shall be deprived of his entire property by the king, who shall also cause his male organ to be cut off and cause him to be taken around on assback.



He who violates an unwilling woman by cunning The punishment for violating an unperty, and expelled the country after his forchead by cunuing. has been branded with the figure of the female organ.

He, who has connection with a woman of the For having connection class to which he belongs, shall be fined 1000 with a woman of equal class.

The meaning is that he shall be fined if he do so Explanation. by sending pimps, and so forth.

But if he thus misbehave as regards a Sudra Or with a Sudra woman, woman he shall be fined 500 panas, and if he do so or woman of with regard to a woman of superior class he shall class. be put to death.

If a person commit (gurutalpaka) adultery with the wife of his spiritual guide, and so forth, the son commitfigure of the female organ shall be branded on his with the wife body. If he be in the habit of drinking wine, the of his instrucfigure of a bottle shall be branded on him.

If he steal gold, the figure of the foot of a dog For the shall be branded on him.

If he murder a Brahmin, the figure of a headless For the Brahmin shall be branded on him. Brahmin.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, a fine of 1000 panas shall For one who be imposed on one who has connection with a woman woman of the class to which he belongs.

#### PUNISHMENT OF ADULTERY, FORNICATION, AND RAPE. 214

And with a woman of inrior class.

If he have connection with a woman of inferior ferior or super class he shall be fined 500 panas, if with one of a superior class he shall be put to death.

How an adul-If a woman commit adultery, her ears and the teress shall be like shall be cut off. punished.

Explanation. By the expression and the like is meant the hair, and so forth.

Vrihaspati on the punish-ment of an adulteress.

Therefore, where an adulterer shall be put to an death, an adulteress, according to VRIHASPATI, shall be deprived of her ears, and the like.

Capital punishment for certain women tural crime with a cow.

VRIHASPATI says, if a man commit adultery with adultery with a woman who is impure and mean or who belongs and an unnatural crime with a cow, he shall be put to death.

Gurutalpaka defined by Nareda, and its punishment declared.

NAREDA says, by gurutalpaka is meant one who commits adultery with any of these twenty descriptions of women, namely. stepmother, mother's sister, mother-in-law, maternal uncle's wife, paternal aunt. paternal uncle's wife, friend's wife, pupil's wife, sister, sister's female friend, daughter-in-law, daughter, spiritual guide's wife, a woman of the same lineage, a dependant woman, the queen, a female ascetic, nurse, well-behaved Brahmini, or a woman of a superior class. For such a crime there is no other penalty than the excision of the male organ.

Explanation.

This penalty is for adultery in a private manner with such as have guardians.

YAGNYAVALKYA defines gurutalpaka to be a man Gurutelpaka defined ' who commits adultery with his daughter-in-law, Yeguravalka. spiritual guide's wife, paternal aunt, mother's sister, maternal uncle's wife, or daughter.

The penalty for the abovementioned crime is The punishment of gurudeath by the excision of the male organ.

If any of the abovementioned women, actuated by lust, willingly have incestuous connection with a commit incest person of the same class, she shall be punished like the man.

ALASTAMBA says, if a Brahmin have sexual intercourse with an unmarried Sudra woman, he nection with shall be banished.

GAUTAMA says, if a Sudra act in the abovementioned manner with a woman of any of the three superior classes, his male organ shall be cut off and three superior his entire property confiscated.

But if the said woman have a guardian, the man shall be put to death and subjected to the other penaltics.

APASTAMBA says, the wives of a Sudra of the abovementioned description shall be doomed to dra to slavery.

BAUDHAYANA speaks of the manner in which How such Sudra is to be such a Sudra is to be put to death. He shall be put to death. covered with straw and burnt to death.

talpaka.

by

For women. who willingly with persons of the same class.

A Brahmin having conan unmarried Sudra woman, to be banished.

How a Sudra, thus acting with a woman of any of the classes, is to be punished.

The paramour to be put to death, do, if the woman have a guardian.

The wire of such a Sube doomed to slavery.

#### 216 PUNISHMENT OF ADULTERY, FORNICATION, AND RAPE.

How a Fudra, who has connection with a Brahmin woman.

YAMA says, the king shall cause a Sudra, who has had connection with a Brahmin woman, to be dragged over red-hot iron and burnt to death with straw, wood, and so forth.

How a person who has connection with a woman class.

HARITA says that he, who has connection with a woman of a superior class, shall be bound by the of a superior king and put to death by being given as a prey to dogs. He shall then be burnt with wood.

How a woman who has cona man of an

GAUTAMA says that a woman, having connection notion with with a man of any inferior class, shall be put to inferior class. death by being exposed as a prey to dogs.

How men of nection with Brahmin women.

VASISHTHA says, if a Sudra have connection with who have con- a Brahmin woman, he shall be covered with straw and thrown into a fire. If a Vaisya do so with a Brahmin woman, he shall be covered with red If a Kshatrya act in kusha and thrown into a fire. that manner, he shall be covered with the leaves of reeds and thrown into a fire.

How such woman shall be punished.

Brahmin woman, being mounted Such on horseback, shall be taken through the thoroughfares, her head shaven, her body anointed with ghee, her person exposed. She shall be released after suffering such punishment.

If a man of an inferior tion with a wonished.

If a Vaisya has connection with a Kshatrya have connect woman, or a Sudra with a Kshatrya or Vaisya man of a supe woman, both the man and the woman shall be how to be pu- punished in the abovementioned manner.

Digitized by Google

YAMA says, the Brahmin woman, who, being influenced by lust, has connection with a *Sudra*, shall be put to death by the king, by being exposed as a prey to dogs by means of the public executioners.

If she have connection with a Vaisya or Kshatrya, her head shall be shaved and he shall be caused to mount on ass-back.

VRIHASPATI says, the woman, who, coming to a man's house, entices him to embrace her, by touching his person, and so forth, shall receive the full punishment, and the man shall receive half the punishment.

After the nose, ears, and lips of the said woman have been cut off, and she has been taken through  $\frac{\pi}{d}$ the thorough fares, she shall be drowned, or exposed  $\frac{\pi}{p}$ as a prey to dogs in the presence of several persons.

VISHNU says, the woman, who does not love her impotent husband and commits adultery, shall be put to death.

KATYAYANA says, on the subject of fine, that The dependant women shall not be liable to fines : such penda as may be imposed on them shall be levied from fined, their guardians.

KATYANAN says, if a woman, whose husband is in a distant country, be detected on her way to commit adultery, she shall be confined till his return.

It is said in the Matsya Purana that, if a man violate a woman, he shall be put to death but she shall not be blamed.

A Brahmin woman, who has connection with a Sudra, shall be put to death.

If she have connection with a Vaisys or Kahatrya, how she and the man are to be punished.

If a woman entice a man, how both shall be punished,

Such a woman shall be drowned or exposed as a prey to doga.

A woman, whose husband is impotent, shall be put to death if she commit adultery.

The gusrdians of dependant women shall be fined.

How a woman, whose husband is absont, detocted on her way to commit adultery, shall be punished.

A man violating a woman, shall alone be punished,

# INTERCOURSE WITH PROSTITUTES AND UNNATURAL CRIMES.

The punish-ment for in-VYASA says that he, who has intercourse with a tercourse with prostitute kept by another, shall be fined fifty a prostitute kept by an- panas.

. For forcible . connection with her.

other.

If he have connection with her forcibly, he shall be fined one hundred panas.

And for forcible connecmaid servant.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, if a man have connection tion with a maid servant forcibly, he shall be fined ten panas.

For several persons who successively violate an un-

If several persons successively violate an unwilling woman, each of them shall be fined twentywilling woman. four panas.

For unnaturally using a woman, or .abusing, OF having **con**nection with, a female asoctio.

If a man use a woman in an unnatural manner, or if he so abuse, or have connection with, a female ascetic, he shall be fined forty panas.

For committing an unwith a cow or

NAREDA says, if a man commit an unnatural natural crime crime with an animal, he shall be fined one hundred other animal, panas; if with a cow, he shall be fined 500 panas.

For a man having convery mean woman;

If a man have connection with a very mean nection with a woman, he shall be fined 500 panas.

For a Brahmin commitwith a cow.

If a Brahmin commit an unnatural crime with a tural crime cow, he shall be fined one mohar.

Explanation.

The fine of 500 panas, prescribed for committing an unnatural crime with a cow, is for Vaisyas and Kshatryas, for it has been declared above that a Sudra shall be put to death for such a crime; it is here said that a Brahmin shall pay a fine of one mohar.

The fine of 500 panas is therefore intended for Vaisyas and Kshatryas.

If a Brahmin visit prostitutes, he shall be fined fifty panas.

The punishment for a Brahmin who visite prostitutes.

with

The vile man, who has connection with a woman For a man who has conwho has prostituted herself to many, shall be nection woman who punished like one who has had intercourse with has prostitutprostitutes, and not like him that has committed d hereoff to the crime with a respectable woman.

NAREDA says, if a man have connection with a woman with whom intercourse is forbidden by the Shasters, he shall be punished as a check on him. Penance is provided for his freedom from vice.

There is a text to the effect that the criminal, Punishment onsures salvapunished by the king, goes to heaven like virtuous tion. men.

Punished by the king means that the king Explanation. caused the criminal to atone for his crime.

This meaning is confirmed by the Section where the text occurs.

It is contained in the Kalpataru, Section "Mushalaghata," in the Chapter on the "theft of gold."

The reason for punishing a man who has improper connection with a woman.

# OF WOMEN AND OTHER MATTERS.

Women should slways be kept in subjection.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, "women's fathers protect them in their childhood ; their husbands protect them in youth; their sons protect them in age." In default of sons, their relatives should protect them; for they are " never fit for independence."

Duties of the father, husband, and sons of a woman,

VRIHASPATI says, if the father of a woman do not give her in marriage in proper time, if her husband do not have sexual intercourse with her after her menses, and if her sons do not support her, such a father and husband and such sons shall be disgraced and legally punished.

Women do not care for men, ko.

Women do not care for the beauty of men and the boauty of do not regard their age. Whether the latter be handsome or ugly, the former enter into sexual intercourse with them.

Characteristics of women.

In childhood they are bashful, in youth their bashfulness decreases, and in old age they consider their husbands no better than a straw.

How wives become dia obedient.

As disease becomes incurable if it be neglected, so wives, if they be not checked, become disobedient.

According to Menu, what tain to women.

MENU has declared the undermentioned things to things appertain to women : bed, ornaments, seats, love, anger, ounning, wicked decds, and bad behaviour.

.

Such being the nature of women, men are to be Mon to be particularly particularly careful of them. carefulof them.

THE KING'S DUTIES.

MENU says, the king shall punish a person who . The king is impartially to does not perform his or her duties, whether that punish all who neglect their person be his father, spiritual guide, friend, mother, duties. son, or priest.

Where a common person is liable to a fine of one tola of copper, there the king shall pay a king. thousand, which shall be thrown into water. For GAUTAMA says, that VARUNA is the god of punishment.

## DISHONESTY OF DEBTORS.

MENU says, if a debtor, who disowns his liability, of the debtor be caused to acknowledge it by any means, he shall who does not readily be fined five per cent. his liability.

If, after every attempt, he do not acknowledge on evidence. his liability and it be proved by evidence, he shall be fined ten per cent.

# FALSE EVIDENCE.

MENU speaks of witnesses.

According to legislators, false witnesses shall False witnessos shall be receive punishment, as follows, for the preservation Panished. of virtuo and suppression of vico.

If persons give false evidence through some inducement, they shall be fined 1000 panas. If ing false evithey do so through ignorance, they shall be fined various equation 250 panas. If they do it through fear, they shall

The punishmons for give dence from

Digitized by Google

If onvioted

Punishment

own

The fine payable by the

### OF WOMEN AND OTHER MATTERS.

be fined 500 panas. If they act in the abovementioned manner for the sake of friendship, they shall be fined 1000 panas. If they are actuated by lust, they shall be fined 2500 panas. If they give false evidence through anger, they shall be fined 2000 panas. If they unconsciously perjure themselves, they shall be fined two hundred panas. If they ignorantly do so, they shall be fined one hundred panas.

Such pun-ishment is for persons other Brahthan mins.

If Kshatryas, Vaisyas, and Sudras thus give false evidence, the virtuous king shall punish them in the abovementioned manner and banish them.

A Brahmin be banished.

If a Brahmin give false evidence, he shall merely who perjures himself shall be banished.

Three kinds of fines. The equivalent of pana,

MENU says, 250 panas are called (prathama sahosa) primary fine; 500 panas, (madhyama sahosa) medium fine ; and 1000 panas, (uttama sahosa) appropriate fine. A tola of copper is called a pana.

## GIFT OR SALE WITHOUT OWNERSHIP.

The fine for a relative of the acticle, giving it away.

If a relative of the owner of an article give it owner of an away to some other person, he shall be fined 600 panas.

Any other to be punished like a thief.

If any other person act in the abovementioned manner, he shall be punished like a thief.

He who unwittingly solls au article of which he is not the owner, shall be punished.

According to this rule, 'he who unwittingly (asyami vikraya) sells an article of which he is not the proprietor, shall be punished. If he do it with a guilty knowledge, he shall be punished like a thiof.

From this it is evident that if, in a family, a He who sells what is his person sell an article belonging to his brothers, he brothers' shall be punished shall be punished like a thief. like a thick

## GIFTS FOR RELIGIOUS PURPOSES.

If a person take a gift for religious purposes, When a gift and do not so apply it, it should be taken back. sumed.

If he through pride or avarice persist in declaring that he did apply it to religious purposes, the if king shall fine him one mohar.

The meaning is that, if the person have not applied the gift to religious purposes, the king shall cause him to return it. If the man promised to make the gift after performance of the ccremonics, he shall fulfil his promise. If the former take it, stating that he has performed them, he shall be fined one mohar.

should be ro-

How a person, who falsedeclares that he applied a gift to religious purshall DOGOS. be punished.

Ixplanation.

Digitized by Google

223

# THE LAW OF INHERITANCE.

## OF PARTITION.

The period and rule of partition horitage.

THE proper period of partition of heritage is of declared by MENU :--- "After the death of the father and the mother, the brothers, being assembled, may equally divide among themselves the paternal estate; but they have no power over it while their parents are living."

Explanation.

Equally means in equal proportions; no deduction of a twentieth part being allowed for the eldest son, and so forth.

A deduction of a twentieth for heritage ko.

While treating of heritage among sons, after part of the their father's demise, MENU has allowed a deduction the eldest son, of a twentieth part (for the eldest), and so forth.

Implies inequality.

Then how can there be equal partition of heritage among the sons?

For what eldest son the additional share is allowable.

Let not such an objection be raised. For the deduction of a twentieth part, and so forth, is allowed in the case of such eldest son as is possessed of good qualities, or desires to receive the additional share.

Property, to which the faonly at his pleasure.

Property over which the father has an independther has the ent right shall be divided at his pleasure only, sole right, to be divided while he is living. Therefore, his demise is essential to the partition of the abovementioned property. But what is the necessity for the demise of the mother? She has no right whatever over it.



#### OF PARTITION.

When treating of the partition of heritage, SANKUA says that sons are not independent during long as their the lifetime of their father. In like manner they mother live. are not so, as long as their mother lives. From this it may be assumed that she has a control over the estate.

No, for the preceding text is merely indicative The text does not donote a of the praise of such a mother as possesses good mother's right. qualities.

How can dependence, with regard to the partition of an estate, upon a person who has no right over of an estate it, be consistent with reason?

This is no argument at all. For the term paternal, being a conjunctive compound, means some- thing belongthing belonging to both father and mother. therefore also relates to maternal property. Hence mise of the the demise of the mother is necessary to the parti- covery for the tion of her estate. With this view, MENU has ber estate. made the foregoing provision.

But it may again be urged that maternal pro- to Nared perty goes to daughters after the death of the sons have no mother, and, on failure of daughters, to their sons. ternal Sons have no right to take it.

According to NAREDA :-- "Let sons divide the wealth when their father dies. Let daughters divide their mother's wealth, and, on failure of daughters, their male issue."

Sons are not independent as fathor

Dependence as to partition upon a person who has no

right over it, is inconsistent.

Patornal means someing to both It father and mother: the demother is neof

> Nareda, right to mabroperty.

#### THE LAW OF INHERITANCE.

But, according to Menu, all the uterine brothers and aistors divide the maternal estato.

This text in no way debars any one from inheriting the maternal estate. MENU has spoken of joint succession in the following passage :-- "On the death of the mother. let all the uterine brothers and the uterine sisters equally divide the maternal estate."

Therefore, brothers and sisters shall jointly divide Explanation. the maternal estate.

Property independently held by the father shall father not be divided in his lifetime.

From what has been said above, it is evident that the property which is independently held by the father, shall be divided after his demise, but in his lifetime it must remain intact.

SANKHA confirms this by saying that " partition Sankha on the point. does not take place, if the father do not desire it, when he is old, or in his dotage, or is afflicted with disease."

Dotage means weakness of the mental powers. Dotage defined.

When partition by missible.

If the father be alive and be without the abovefather is ad- mentioned complaints, and also willing, the partition is admissible. This will hereafter be explained.

Of united sons, the eldest manager.

Or, after the demise of their father, the sons may may be the live together, making the eldest the chief manager.

Menu on the point.

MENU confirms this by saying that "the eldest brother may take entire possession of the patrimony; and the others may live subject to him, as to their father;" or make him chief who is capable of business.

Digitized by Google

### OF PARTITION.

NAREDA speaks on the same subject :--- "Let the the same on eldest brother, like a father, support all the others, who are willing to live together without partition; or even the youngest brother, if all assent, and if he be capable of business : capacity for business is the best rule in a family."

Or let them divide the estate for the sake of performing religious duties.

The estate may be divided for religious purposes.

MENU confirms this by saying—" Either let them Menu on the thus live together, or, if they desire separately to perform religious rites, let them live apart : since religious duties are multiplied in separate houses, their separation is therefore legal."

How are religious duties multiplied by partition vr of property? VRIHASPATI speaks on this subject : duties. "A single performance of the ceremonies of forefathers and of the worship of the deities and Brahmins may answer for brothers, who reside together and cat food dressed in the same place. In a family, the members of which live apart, these duties are separately performed in the house of each of them."

Divided estates being the exclusive property of How particvery heir, each may perform the ceremonies, religious performances. Bacrifices, &c., according to his own choice, without reference to the others. Hence partition multiplies religious performances.

Vrihaspati on religious

Digitized by Google

#### THE LAW OF INHERITANCE.

# OF PARTITION DURING THE LIFETIME OF THE FATHER.

NAREDA speaks of the time of division among When division may take sons :--- "When the mother is too aged to bear more place. sons, and all the sisters have been given away in marriage, and the father either refrains from pleasures, or withdraws from worldly concerns."

YAGNYAVALKYA says :--- "When the father makes Yagnyavalkya on the division of alf. a partition, let him separate his sons at his pleasure, acquired proand either dismiss the eldest with the largest share, or if he choose all may be equal sharers."

Explanation. *Pleasure* applies in the case of self-acquired property.

Vishnu on VISHNU says, "when a father separates his sons the point. from himself, his self-acquired property shall be divided at his choice."

Explanation. Self-acquired property means such as has been g ained by self-exertion.

Ancestral property recovered acquired and when as common.

Likewise, any ancestral property recovered by the by the father father shall, according to MENU, be considered as when to be con-sidered as self- his self-acquired property. Because it is understood to be recovered without the aid of the ancestral property. But if it be recovered with the aid of the patrimony, it must be considered as comnion property.

Į

perty.

Such property as is acquired or recovered by the father without the aid of the ancestral estate, shall be divided equally or unequally, or not divided at the sid of the all, at his pleasure.

The partition of such property depends entirely on his own will, for the sons have no ownership depends entherein.

MENU says that, if a father, "by his own efforts, recover a debt or property unjustly detained, which covered could not be recovered before by his father, he shall shall be dividnot, unless by his free will, put it into parcenary to his free will. with his sons, since in fact it was acquired by himself."

The meaning of the above is that if any property be taken away or seized by a stranger and recovered by the father, such property, even if it be ancestral, and also that which has been acquired by his own exertions, may be divided among his sons, if he choose, but not against his will.

The father has full dominion over the property of his father, which, being seized, is recovered by him by his own exertions, or over that which is gained by him through skill, valour, or the like. He may give it away at his pleasure, or he may distribute it. On failure of the father, the sons are entitled to an equal share of it.

Seized means taken away by a stranger and not Explanation. recovered by the grandfather through inability, but

Property acquired or recovered by the father without ancestral cetate may be divid-ed at his pleasure.

Partition of such property tirely on his own will.

A debt or property reby father, the ed according

Explanation.

Over what property the father has full dominion.

### THE LAW OF INHERITANCE.

recovered by the father. Such property and also that which is acquired by him through his ability may be distributed or given at his pleasure.

How property goined making use of the ancestral estate, åo., ahall be dia posed of.

From what has been said, it is plain that any without property gained by skill or exertion without making use of the ancestral estate, or any other means, and recovered without using the paternal estate, shall be divided or given at the pleasure of the father.

The power of the father over such proporty.

The father has full power to give a larger portion of the abovementioned property to his eldest son. or to take the greater portion of it for his own use, if he choose.

Self-acquired property may be divided at the pleasure of the father.

The unequal partition, referred to by YAGNYA-VALKYA, applies in the case of the aforementioned self-acquired property. "When the father makes a partition, let him separate his sons from himself at his pleasure, and either dismiss the eldest with the largest share, or if he choose all may be equal sharers."

The abovementioned text relates to property over Explanation. which the father has full dominion, for it occurs on the subject of self-acquired property.

When the wives of the father equal have shares the sons.

He (YAGNYAVALKYA) adds a special rule in the must case of equal partition :---"When the father makes with an equal partition among his sons, his wives must have equal shares with them, if they have received no wealth either from their lord or from his father."



Equal partition means that the wives who have Explanation. received a separate property (stridhana) should be made equal sharers with the other wives. Where the father, giving smaller shares to his sons, takes the largest portion for his own use, he must give equal shares to his wives out of his own property.

It is for this reason specially declared that equal Reval shall be given shares shall be given to his wives, when the father to his wives, makes an equal partition among his sons.

HARITA says :- "If the father, after giving a small portion of the estate to his sons, and reserving the greatest part of it for his own use, become indigent, he may take back the portions given to tions, them."

Indigent means poor.

This text relates to self-acquired property.

Again :--- "Let a father who makes a partition reserve two shares for himself."

SANKHA and LIKHITA say, if he (the father) be an only son, let him take two shares and the principal of the bipeds and quadrupeds. A bull shall be given to the eldest son, and a house, which is not the father's place of residence, to the youngest.

The conclusion is that the father shall take two shares and the best of the slaves and cattle for his A bull shall be given to the virtuous own use.

when the father makes an equalpartition among his eane.

The father. on becoming indigent, may, in a certain case, resume his sons' por-

On a partition a father may take two shares.

Explanation.

Allotments of sons.

Explanation.

eldest son, and a house, other than that occupied by the father, to the youngest, if he have good qualities.

When the father shall get a double ahare.

The father shall get a double share, when he is an only son of his father; for the above cited texts, being from the same root, may, consistently with brevity, be understood as referring to the same matter.

The condi-tion (if the father be the only son) is essential not in the case of property.

The foregoing rule relates only to ancestral property. The abovementioned condition (if he be an only son) is not essential in the case of the selfself-sequired acquired property of the father.

Explanation. Only means eldest, not one in number. Other\_ wise the words, eldest and youngest, would be inconsistent.

What additional protuous eldest السله sons have.

Therefore, the conclusion is that the virtuous perty the vir- eldest and youngest sons shall have the bull and and youngest house respectively, besides equal shares with the other sons ; and the father shall have two shares, with the aforementioned deductions.

How the father liaile according to Apastamba.

The son, but not the wife,

APASTAMBA says, that the father, having satisfied makepartition, the eldest son with one article, shall give equal shares to his living sons.

From the word *living* it is to be understood that of a deceased the wife of a deceased son shall have no share of son shall share in the heritage, but her son is entitled to a share; because a son is said to be the soul of the father, and there is a text, by virtue of which a person is heir to his grandfather.

In the Ratnakara it is said that the word Explanation. living applies to the father, and not to sons.

This causes inconsistency, for the father, who makes the partition, is understood to be living. It is therefore unnecessary to apply the word living to the father.

Principal wealth signifies the best of all kinds of wealth.

DEVALA speaks of the precedence of sons : In Devala on the precedence classes other than the usual four, "the precedence of sons. of sons is regulated by the goodness of their disposition ; and of twins the eldest is he who is first Among twins, to him, whose face actually born. kinsmen first see after his birth, belong the privileges of male offspring, the right of performing obsequies for his father, and the honours of primogeniture."

The forefathers of that son are exalted, who is The marks of the eldest born of parents of the same class, and whose face son. is first seen by kinsmen, and who is also senior in birth.

The conclusion, therefore, is that the first male offspring of a father and mother of the same class of a father and is the eldest son. Seniority of birth belongs to such a son, even if he be younger than the other sons of his father by his wives of different classes.

The first male offspring mother of the same class is the eld. st son.

Meau 01 the point.

MENU confirms this by saying :- "As between sons born of wives equal in class and without any other distinction, there can be no seniority in right of the mother; but the seniority, ordained by law,

d 4

is according to birth." Therefore, in the case of wives taken from different classes even the last born son, by a wife of an equal class, becomes senior in birth.

How an eldest brother younger bropunished.

MENU says that "an eldest brother, who from defrauding his avarice shall defraud his younger brother, shall ther shall be forfeit the honours of his primogeniture, be deprived of his own share, and pay a fine to the king."

What allotments the eldest, middleand most, youngest son are to receive.

"The portion deducted for the eldest is a twentieth part of the heritage with the best of the property; for the middlemost, half of that, or a fortieth ; for the youngest, a quarter of it, or an eightieth."

What may be taken by the first born, if transcendently learned and virtuous.

"Of all the property collected, let the first born, if he be transcendently learned and virtuous, take the best article, whatever is most excellent in its kind, and the best of ten cows or the like."

What article shall be allotted to the eldest, according to Bau-dhayana.

BAUDHAYANA says, one of the ten articles of the same kind shall be allotted to the eldest son, and his other brothers shall have equal shares with him. The sons are entitled to an equal share from their ancestral estate; but if one of them be transcendently learned and virtuous, he shall receive a greater portion of it.

Explanation.

The meaning of the above is that the eldest son shall receive a twentieth part of the ancestral estate besides his own share, if he be very qualified ; but if he be somewhat qualified, he shall receive something.

DEVALA says "let the tenth part of the heritage The virtuous eldest son gets be given to the eldest, who conducts himself accord- a tenth part of the heritage. ing to law."

According to HALAYUDHA and the Parijata this Where this text is applitext is applicable in a case where the eldest son onble. maintains the sacred fire, and is versed in the Shastras, and the others are possessed of no good qualities.

VRIHASPATI says : " sons, to whom equal, less, or Sons should majutain the greater shares have been allotted by their father, distribution made by their should maintain such distribution; otherwise they father. shall be deemed sinful."

This relates to the self-acquired property of the father.

This relates to the father's self-acquired property.

what

not

In

MENU says : " if, among undivided brothers living case the father with their father, there be a common exertion for should make unequal common gain, the father shall never make an un- division smong his sons. equal division among them, when they divide their families."

This text is applicable in the case of property which is gained by the equal exertions of all the cable. brothers. There is therefore no inconsistency.

VRIHASPATI says that "the eldest, or he who is What shares, pre-eminent by birth, science, and virtuous qualities. shall receive two shares of the heritage ; the rest virtuous eldest shall share alike : but he is venerable, like their coive. father."

Where this text is appli-

according to Vrihaspati, the

Digitized by Google

### THE LAW OF INHERITANCE.

Where this This text refers to such eldest brother as supports text is applihis younger brothers like their father. cable.

What sons are entitled to agreater share.

All the sons shall receive equal shares of their paternal property; but such of them as are learned and endowed with good qualities are entitled to a greater share.

When the bothers of a get a share of the wealth acquired by him.

VYASA says, if a co-heir acquire wealth by emo -heir shall ploying the common horses, elephants, cars, weapons, or any other articles, and by his own valour, his brothers shall get a share of it; but he is entitled to a double share.

Explanation. The meaning of the above is that if a co-heir acquire any thing by his valor but with help from the common stock, he shall receive a double share of it.

VASISHTHA says, "he among them, by whom What co-heir shall take a property is acquired through his own sole labour, double share. shall take a double share of it."

Explanation. This text is the same as the above.

When all the YAGNYAVALKYA says, if the common property be brothers shall receive equal improved, all the brothers shall receive equal shares. shares.

The shares of grandsons shall be regulated ac-How the shares of grandsons shall be cording to those of their fathers. regulated.

If the common property, &c., signifies that even if any co-heir improve it by commerce, agriculture, or the like, he shall not receive a greater share. It is to be here understood that this is applicable in a case where the other co-heirs have similarly augmented the common property ; otherwise it will be inconsistent with the text of VASISIITHA.

# GRANDSONS OF DIFFERENT FATHERS.

Ir, on the death of brothers living together, What shares the sous of detheir sons improve the common property by agribeased there living toculture or the like, they shall not separately receive rether, improve shares, but only the shares of their respective common common pro-perty, shall refathers. Deive.

NAREDA says he who manages the family business The manager shall be supplied by his brothers or cousins with business to get food, raiment, and beasts of burden. He who, more than his being employed for the benefit of the family, promotes its temporal interests by agriculture, commerce, or the like, shall have equal shares with the co-heirs. Better food, clothes, and so forth, shall be given to him by his co-heirs in consideration of his exertions.

MENU says, "if any one of the brothers has a A trifle to be competence from his own occupation and wants not ther, who has the property, he may debar himself from his share. and some trifle being given him in lieu of mainte- share of joint nance."

riven to a broa competence, relinquisbes hie property.

of the family something own share.

tro-

who

the

237

His ٥٥. shall sharers makehim compensation out of their own shares, on division.

The brothers of that man, who is able to support himself by his own occupation and labour, and does not require his ancestral property but relinquishes it, shall give him compensation out of their shares of the property which they may divide among themselves.

Explanation, HALAYUDHA says, the purport of the preceding text of NAREDA is the same with that of MENU, but in the Prakasakara the latter is thus explained.

An indolent partner shall not be allowed to enjoy the profit.

If, when partners are engaged in any work for the acquisition of wealth, one of them be indolent, he shall not be allowed to enjoy the profit; but his share of the principal must be given to him.

Who ahall Teceive the son.

KATYAYANA says, "should a brother (anuja) die share of a doed before partition, his share shall be allowed to his son, provided he had received no fortune from his grandfather.

> "That son's son shall receive his father's share from his uncle, or from his uncle's son; and the same proportionate share shall be allowed to all the brothers, according to law.

> "Or, if that grandson be also dead, let his son take the share ; beyond him, succession stops."

Explanation.

Anuja implies a brother.

His son signifies the son of a deceased brother.

No fortune means no portion.

What share shall the brother's son receive?

The reply of the sage to this question is, his The brother's father's share.

son shall receive the share of his father.

239

Explanation.

His son in the latter text signifies the great-Hence. grandson of him whose estate is divided. the estate of the owner shall be divided according to the number of his sons. Consequently, the share which is allotted to a son shall be received by his son or grandson but not by his great-grandson. This is applicable where the partners live together. The wife of a deceased son shall not therefore be entitled to a share, because such is not the rule.

DEVALA says, " partition of heritage among undivided parceners, and a second partition among heritage shall divided relatives living together after re-union, shall extend to the fourth in descent: this is a settled rulo."

The partition of heritage shall extend from the It shall eroriginal owner of the estate to his descendants in original owner the fourth degree. This rule is also applicable where to his decoudthe divided relatives are living together after fourth degree. re-union, because the peculiar state of living together in the abovementioned text is mentioned.

"A share of the heritage shall be allotted with the brothers to the widows who have no offspring, but are supposed pregnant, to be held by them until down who are they severally bear sons."

By widows are meant the wives of the deceased brothers. A share must be given to a brother's widow, who is likely to bear a son and, after

How far ertition of extend.

tend from the of the estate

A share of the heritage shall be allotted to the wisupposed to be prognant.

Explanation.

### THE LAW OF INHERITANCE.

her delivery, that share belongs to her son; but if no son be brought forth, the said share shall be taken by her husband's brothers.

Vrihaspati on the shares of mother, stepmother, and unmarried daughters.

VRIHASPATI says on the same subject : " on the death of the father, the mother (janani) has a claim to an equal share with her own sons; mothers (matara) take the same share; and the unmarried daughters each a fourth of a share."

Mother (junani) means one who has male Explanation. Mothers (matara) means stepmothers who issue. These females shall have have no male issue. equal shares with the sons.

> The unmarried sisters shall receive a fourth part of the share of each of their brothers for their marriage.

**Provision** for the investiture and other rites of younger brothers.

NAREDA says, "For any of the brothers, whose investiture and other ceremonies had not been performed by the father in due order, the other brothers shall perform those ceremonies out of the paternal estate."

pense of their oeremonies

How the ex- "Or, if no property of the father remain, the investiture and other ceremonies must be performed shall be de-frayed if no out of their own shares, by brothers, for whom father remain. those ceremonies have already been performed."

Childless wives of the father and paternal grandmothers are equal sharers.

VYASA says : "even childless wives of the father are pronounced equal sharers, and so are all the paternal grandmothers, who are declared equal to mothers."
YAGNYAVALKYA says, "of heirs dividing after the Yagnyavalkya on the death of the father, let the step-mother also take an stop-mother's aliare. equal share."

### OF EFFECTS UNDISTRIBUTED.

KATTATANA says :-- "Recovering what has been embezzled by any one of the co-heirs, let sons, after the death of the father, divide it equally with their brothers.

An equal distribution to be made on the discovery of any fraud or mistake in the pariition.

"If the parceners have secreted part of the assets from each other, or if any mistake have been made in the partition, on a subsequent discovery there must be an equal division of what has been restored (or ill distributed): so Burigu has ordained."

### OF A CO-HEIR REFURNING FROM ABROAD.

VRIHASPATI says :--- " If a man leave the common family and reside in another country, his share share of a man must no doubt be given to his male descendants his country. when they return. Be the descendant the third, or fifth, or even seventh in degree, he shall receive his hereditary allotment on proof of his birth and name."

This text relates to those who return from a foreign country. cable.

"To the lineal descendants, when they appear, of that man whom the neighbours and old inhabit- the proprietor ants know by tradition to be the proprietor, the must surrenland must be surrendered by his kinsmen."

Who shall receive the who has left

Where this text is appli-

To whom the kinsmen of der iL

### OF PERSONS EXCLUDED FROM INHERITANCE.

A son who is not virtuous to the paternal ostato.

VRIHASPATI says on this subject :-- "Though born has no claim of a woman equal in class, one who is not virtuous shall have no claim to the paternal estate; it is ordained to devolve on those learned kinsmen who offer the funeral cake to the deceased."

MENU says that "all those brothers who are Brothers addioted to vice lose their title addicted to any vice, lose their title to the to inherit. inheritance."

Addicted to any vice means devoted to any Explanation. forbidden acts.

The right of inheritance persons is ex. tinct.

SANCHA says: "of him who has been formally of degraded degraded, the right of inheritance, the funeral cake, and the libation of water, are extinct."

Formally degraded means "excluded from the Explanation. joint libation of water."

> Such a person is not competent to inherit paternal property and to offer the oblation of food and libation of water.

Persons exoluded from a horitage, according Monu.

MENU says :--- "Impotent persons and outcasts, share of the persons born blind or deaf, madmen, idiots, the to dumb, and such as have lost the use of a limb, are excluded from a share of the heritage.

"But it is just that the heir who knows his duty Food and should give all of them food and raiment for life be given to without stint, according to the best of his power : such for life. he who gives them nothing, sinks assuredly to a region of punishment.

"If the cunuch and the rest should at any time The issue of desire to marry, and if the wife of the eunuch as have childron may inshould raise up a son to him by a man legally appointed, that son and the issue of such as have children, shall be capable of inheriting."

Those who have lost the use of a limb signifies those who have been deprived of a hand, a leg, or limb any other member of the body. Such persons are not competent to perform ceremonies relating to the Vedas and Smriti. They are consequently cannot inherit. not entitled to inherit paternal property. This is the correct meaning of the preceding text. But the offspring of all of them except the outcasts shall get the shares of their respective fathers in the inheritance.

YAGNYAVALKYA says :-- " An outcast and his son, an impotent person, one lame, a madman, an idiot, one born blind, he who is afflicted with an incurable allotmont disease, and the like, must be maintained without any allotment of shares."

He who is afflicted with an incurable disease Explanation. means a person who is afflicted with leprosy or any such disease.

Persons deprived of a cannot perform cere-monies relating to the Vedas and Smriti, consequently

What sons should be maintained without 807 of shares.

persons

#### THE LAW OF INHERITANCE.

A leper and the like are not competent to receive shares of their paternal estate.

But their sons, if not disinherit.

"But their sons, whether begotten in lawful qualified, shall wedlock, or procreated by a kinsman on the wife duly authorised, may take shares, provided they have no disability."

Their daughters are to be supported.

"Their daughters must be supported so long as they be not disposed of in marriage."

Explanation.

Here the son of an outcast "begotten in lawful wedlock" is understood to be born before the degradation of his father from the class. But the other sons signify even those born after their fathers had become incompetent to inherit.

Daughters mean female children.

Disposed of in marriage means married.

Their chaste childless wives must be mainthined.

adds that "their childless YAGNYAVALKYA wives, who preserve chastity, must be supplied with food and apparel; but disloyal and traitorous wives shall be banished from the habitation."

Traitorous wives signify such wives as try to administer poison, and so forth.

What sons, according to Nareda, cannot inherit.

NAREDA says :--- "An enemy to his father, an outcast, and one who is addicted to vice, shall not inherit, though begotten by the deceased. much less if begotten on his wife by a kinsman legally appointed."

An enemy to his father means one who ill Explanation. treats his father in his lifetime, or is averse to perform his obsequies when dead.

"Those of the family who are afflicted with long Cannot inherit, and painful disease, an idiot, one who is insane, are to be maintained. blind, or lame, should be maintained, but their sons are partakers of the inheritance."

means consumption, and the Explanation. Long disease like.

Painful discase signifies leprosy, and so forth.

Idiot means a person not susceptible of receiving instruction.

DEVALA says "when the father is dead (as well as Devala on the period in his lifetime) an impotent man, a leper, a madman, who an idiot, a blind man, an outcast, the offspring of an outcast, and a person wearing the token (of religious mendicity) are not competent to share in Food and raiment should be given the heritage. to them, excepting the outcast. But the sons of such persons, being free from similar defects, shall obtain their fathers' shares of the inheritance."

cannot inberit.

Here the word *dead* applies to that father Explanation. who has lost the right of inheritance.

A person wearing the token of mendicity means a professed devotee.

Defects signify such failings as disqualify a person to receive his share.

#### THE LAW OF INHERITANCE.

VASISHTHA says :--- "They who have assumed Vasishtha on the exclusion another order, are excluded from participation." of devotees.

Another order means the order "other than Explanation. that of a housekeeper or married man."

KATYAYANA says, that the son of a woman not Katyayana on the point. married in regular order, and begotten on her by a kinsman, is not competent to inherit the paternal estate; and so is an apostate from a religious order.

Marriage in regular order is lawful. The son of Explanation. a woman who was married contrary to the regular order, and who is of the same family with her husband, and a person who has forsaken the order of an ascetic, are not competent to receive a share.

> But a special provision has been made by KATYAYANA on behalf of the first.

When the son of a woherit.

But the son of a woman not married in regular man not mar- order may inherit property, if his father and mother ried in regular order may in. belong to the same class.

- The son of a woman who is not married in regu-Explanation. lar order and who belongs to the class of her husband is entitled to a share.
- The summary of the above is this: a vicious Recapitulation. person, one who is excommunicated from society for heinous crimes, an outcast, an impotent person, one who is incurably blind, or deaf, a madman, an idiot, a person who is dumb or destitute of limbs, a leper, an enemy to his father, one afflicted with

consumption, an impostor, and a person who has relinquished his household order, are not competent to inherit property, but the sons of all of them except the outcast, if free from similar defects, are entitled to the inheritance.

## PERFORMANCE OF CEREMONIES FOR BROTHERS AND SISTERS.

VYASA speaks of brothers and sisters, whose purifi- Vyasa on brocatory rites have not been performed :---"For any of tern whose puthe brothers, whose investiture and other ceremonies have not been had not been performed, the other brothers, of whom the sacraments have already been completed shall perform those ceremonies out of the paternal estate : and for unmarried sisters, the sacraments shall be completed by their elder brothers, as the law requires."

rificatory rites performed.

NAREDA says :--- " Or if no property of the father Nareda on the point. remain, the investiture and other ceremonies must be performed out of their own shares, by brothers, for whom those ceremonies have already been performed."

YAGNYAVALKYA says :-- "For any of the bro-Yagnyavalkva on thers, whose investiture and other ceremonies have point. not been performed by the father, those ceremonies shall be performed by brothers, of whom the sacraments have been completed; and for their sisters, by giving a fourth part of their respective shares."

Digitized by Google

According to Monu, the unters by the same mother of the share of each of their brothers.

MENU says :- "To the unmarried daughters by married daugh- the same mother, let their brothers give portions out of their own allotments respectively, according to a fourth part the classes of their several mothers : let each give a fourth part of his own distinct share; and they, who refuse to give it, shall be degraded."

Their own allotments means the allotments Explanation. of the brothers. Therefore, the meaning is that a quarter of the share ordained for a brother of the class to which she belongs, should be given to a maiden sister.

Property sufficient to defray the expenses of the be given.

Here the mention of a quarter is not essential. Property sufficient to defray the expenses of the nuptials should be given, for this is ordained by VISENU.

How the expenses of marceremonies. frayed.

The expenses of the marriage and of other cererisgoand other monies of unmarried daughters, must be defrayed are to be de- in proportion to the wealth inherited. The same opinion of the subject is held in the Ratnakara and by other writers.

### OF PROPERTY SUBJECT TO PARTITION.

What property is divihoirs.

KATYAYANA says on this subject :-- "What sible among belonged to the paternal grandfather, or to the father, and any thing else, appertaining to the co-heirs, acquired by themselves, must all be divided on a partition among heirs."

Explanation. Acquired by themselves means gained by the use of the paternal estate.



NAREDA says :--- "What remains of the paternal According to Nareda, after inheritance, over and above the father's obligations payment of the father's obliand after payment of his debts, may be divided by gations dobts. the brothers, so that their father continue not a debtor."

Over and above the father's obligations signi-Explanation. fies "sums, of which payment had been promised by him."

Therefore, after the payment of the father's debts, the residue should be divided among the co-heirs.

# OF PROPERTY NOT SUBJECT TO PARTITION.

MENU says :-- "Wealth, however, acquired by Property aclearning, belongs exclusively to him who acquired learning is not divisible. it; so does any thing given by a friend, received on account of marriage, or presented as a mark of respect to a guest."

Any thing given by a friend means any thing Explanation. gained on account of friendship.

Received on account of marriage will be hereafter explained.

Presented as a mark of respect alludes to what is given to a guest on his arrival, to do him honor.

f 6

and

Digitized by Google

### THE LAW OF INHERITANCE.

Nor property acquired withpaternal estate.

MENU and VISHNU say that property, acquired by out using the a brother through his labour, without using the paternal estate, shall not be given up without his assent.

Labour signifies service, and the like. Explanation.

> The above is merely an instance; for whatever is acquired, without employing the common wealth or estate, shall be the absolute property of the acquirer.

**Vyasa** on property 78ceived =s gifts acquired of the paternal estato.

VYASA says :--- "What is given by the paternal grandfather, or by the father, as a token of affecwithout the nid tion, belongs to him who receives it; neither that, nor what is given by a mother, shall be taken from What a man gains by his own ability, withhim. out relying on the patrimony, he shall not give up to the co-heirs, nor that which is acquired by learning."

These two sorts of property are not liable to Explanation. partition: property gained without the use of patrimony and that acquired by learning.

Property acquired by learning withont any help from the common estate is not liable to partition.

In the Prakasakara it is stated that, if any property be acquired by learning without any help from the common estate, it is not liable to partition. But this opinion is not reasonable, for the two conditions laid down in the text become useless.

According to the Batnakara, ters,

In the Ratnakara and by some writers the and some wri- same opinion is maintained.

Digitized by Google

Here it may be argued that, according to the text of NAREDA, "A learned man need not give a give an nnshare of his own acquired wealth, without his a share of assent, to an unlearned co-heir : provided it were pendently acnot gained by him by using the paternal estate."

A learped man not to learned co-heir wealth indequired.

Where the This text of NAREDA is applicable where the text of Nareda common estate is used for the purpose of acquiring is applicable. learning as well as wealth.

Consequently, if learning be acquired without Property obtainedbylearnusing the paternal estate, and if any property be ing guined obtained by such learning, KATYAYANA, without using paternal mentioning whether the common estate has been divisible. used or not, says it is not divisible.

"Wealth gained through science, which was what is soguisition through acquired from a stranger, while receiving a foreign learning. maintenance, is termed acquisition through learning."

From a stranger signifies from such a person Explanation. as bears no relation to his co-heirs.

The same sage observes, "yet VRIHASPATI has Wealth gained ordained, that 'wealth shall be partible if it was by learned who gained by learned brothers who were instructed in d in the fathe family by their father, or by their paternal ble. grandfather or uncles; and it is the same if the wealth was acquired by valour, or with assistance from the family estate."

Science signifies both military and sacred science. Explanation.



#### THE LAW OF INHERITANCE.

The meaning of the above is that wealth, gained by science, shall be divided amongst the brothers, provided *that science* was gained from the father and other co-heirs.

Gaine soience soribed.

•1

of

de-

What is gained by the solution of a difficulty, after a prize has been offered, must be considered as acquired through science and is not included in partition among co-heirs. What has been obtained from a pupil, or by officiating as a priest, or for answering a question, or for determining a doubtful point, or through display of knowledge, or by success in disputation, or for superior skill in reading, the sages have declared to be the gains of science and not subject to distribution.

The same "BIRIGU says that the same rule likewise prevails rule provails in the arts. in the arts, for the excess above the price of the common goods," &c.

Explanation. What is obtained by the display of superior knowledge in a particular branch of science, is the acquisition of learning.

> By officiating as a priest means "received as a fee or gratuity from a person who employs him to officiate at a secrifice."

Question means any thing proposed.

What has been gained as a reward for display of knowledge means what has been gained by one who shines in a learned assembly.

Superior reading means pro ficiency in learning.

1

Display of superior knowledge in a particular branch of science means proficiency in a particular branch of learning.

KATYAYANA says, that "no part of the wealth, Wealth gained by science ant which is gained by science, need be given by a to be shared with on-heirs, learned man to his unlearned co-heirs; but such but with equals or superiors property must be shared by him with those who are in learning. equal or superior in learning."

Here superior or equal learning is not the cause The cause of such diviof the division of wealth, acquired by learning. Such a meaning renders the text obscure. If wealth, acquired by the learning of equals or superiors, be mixed together, it shall be divided; or, in other words, the mixture is the cause of such division.

Wealth, acquired by a learned man, whose family was supported, during his absence from runt man al 11 home to acquire learning, by a brother, shall be wealth acquire shared with the latter, even if he be ignorant.

In case an igno. aliate in the ed by his Icarned bro. ther.

The summary of the above is that, if a person acquire any property through learning, gained by obtaining maintenance from a stranger, it is called the acquisition of learning and is not liable to partition, provided his family were not maintained during his absence by any of his co-heirs.





Property gained by valour.

- KATYAYANA says on this subject :--- "When a soldier, despising danger, performs a gallant action, and favor is shown to him by his lord pleased with that action, whatever property is then received by him shall be considered as gained by valour. That and what is taken under a standard are declared not to be subject to distribution.

Spoil under a standard defined.

"What is seized by a soldier in war, after risking his life for his lord and routing the forces of the enemy, is named spoil under a standard."

Wealth reseived on account of marriage. The same writer speaks of wealth which is received on account of marriage and which is not liable to partition :---

"What is received with a damsel equal in class, at the time of accepting her *in marriage*, let a man consider as wealth received with the maiden ;" it is the best means of supporting life. Wealth, received with the bride, shall be considered as a marriage gift. With it ceremonies may be performed.

Property acguired by unlenrned brothere shall be equally divioled among them.

1

MENU ordains that, if all of them (brothers), brobeing unlearned, acquire property before partition diby their own labour, there shall be an equal division of that property without regard to the first born; for it was not the wealth of their father : this rule is clearly settled.

Explanation. Labour means employment in agriculture, and so forth.

Equal means in just proportion.

Digitized by Google

'Therefore, the deduction of the twentieth part is not applicable in this case.

GAUTAMA speaks of self-acquired property :-- "The Gautama on the division unlearned brothers shall take equal shares." of self-arquired property.

VASISHTHA says :--- "He among them who has Vesichthe on the point. made an acquisition, may take a double portion of it."

If any among the co-heirs living in union acquire any property by the use of the common estate, property employing himself in agriculture, he shall have a common estate, double share, and the others a share each.

VYASA says, that the brothers participate in Vyass on the that wealth which one of them gained by valour or the like, using any common property, such as a weapon or a vehicle : to him two shares shall be given, but the rest shall share alike.

Or the like signifies learning, which is the cause of self-acquired property, and which is to be considered as one of the means of acquiring such property as cannot be divided. From the like it is to be understood that valour and other qualities are From the compound word (samas) employed. valour, and the like (saurjadi,) another quality is to be understood.

It has already been said that wealth, gained by valour and learning, is not liable to division, even if it be acquired by the use of the paternal estate.

co-heir. acquiring by the use of the shall have double share.

point.

Explanation

A supposed



Digitized by Google

But it is here said that the division of such wealth may take place : consequently this is an inconsistency.

This is no argument.

**Explanation.** The former text is applicable in the case of that wealth, which has been acquired by valour and learning, and of which KATYAYANA speaks.

But the latter text refers to learning of another description.

SEPARATE PROPERTY OF WOMEN.

In treating of the distribution of a woman's peculiar property, the nature of it should first be explained.

Bix kinds of property of a "What was given before the nuptial fire, what was presented in the bridal procession, what has been conferred on the woman through affection, and what has been received by her from her brother, her mother, or her father, are denominated the six kinds of property of a woman."

Explanation. Six kinds of property means that there cannot be a less number.

What is proporty given before the nuptial fire.

KATYAYANA thus explains the first three kinds. "What is given to we nen at the time of their marriage, before the sacred fire, is denominated by sages their property bestowed before (adhyagni) the nuptial fire."

What is given means what is presented by any Explanation. person.

In the same manner, "what a woman receives from the family of her parents, while she is being at her nuptial conducted to the house of her husband, is called the property of a woman given (adhyabahanika) at her nuptial procession.

Property of a woman given at her nuptial procession means any thing given by a person while she is proceeding the second time from the house of her father to that of her husband.

Therefore, "any thing which is given to a woman wealth gainby the mother or father of her husband in token of lity. affection, and that which is given in return for her humble salutations, are called wealth gained by amiability."

Amiability "consists in good temper, skill in Amiability defeminine arts, and the like."

What is given in token of affection, and "by the father or mother of her husband, to a woman property dewho is endowed with good temper and other amiable qualities, and who humbly salutes their feet, constitutes the third sort of exclusive property."

What is received from the mother, father, and brother, does not require any explanation. That for her consowhich a woman receives for her consolation when her husband her husband takes a second wife, is the seventh kind of peculiar property, and is thus explained by YAGNYAVALKYA :-- "A woman, whose husband takes property.

man receives takes a second wife ia the seventh kind of peculiar

ed by amiabi-

Third sort of exclusive fined.

Digitized by Google

Property of woman given procession

Explanation.

Yagnyavalkya a second wife, shall have compensation for the supersession, if no property have been bestowed on her; but, if any have been given, she shall get so much as will make her share equal to that of the new bride."

Explanation. When a man takes a second wife, his first wife is said to be *superseded*.

What a man gives to his first wife, at the time of his second marriage, is called *adhibedanika* or what is given to console her.

Vishnu on the property of a female.

VISHNU says :— "The property of a female is what her father, mother, son, or brother has given her; what she received before the nuptial fire; or at the bridal procession; or when her husband took a second wife; what her husband agrees should be regarded as her perquisites; what is received from his or her kinsmen as a gift subsequent to the marriage."

The perquisites of a woman described by Katyayana. The six kinds of property here mentioned have already been explained. That which her husband agrees should be regarded as her perquisites, is thus explained by KATYAYANA.

"The small sums which are received by a woman as the price or rewards of household duties, using household utensils, tending beasts of burden, looking atter milch cattle, taking care of ornaments of dress, or superintending servants, are called hor perquisites."

The meaning of the above is that what the master Explanation. of the house, pleased with the performance of the household business, gives to a woman, is her perquisite.

"What is received by a woman after marriage, What is from the kinsmen of her lord, or those of her quest parents, is called a gift subsequent."

The peculiar property of women is thus explained. Explanation DFODE

Saudayica is the name by which the different kinds of the peculiar property of women are known.

KATYAYANA says :-- " That which is received by Gift of affect a married woman or a maiden, in the house of her tionate kin husband or father, from her brothers or from her parents, is termed the gift of affectionate kindred."

By the words, her husband, are to be also under-Explanation. stood his kindred.

Hence, the meaning is, what a married woman or a maidon receives from her parents or their kindred or her husband's kindred is called the gift of affectionate kindred.

The means of subsistence and other kinds of The mean women's peculiar property, will be described hereafter.

The same writer states how it is to be used.

Digitized by GOOGLE

Katyayana on the use of the peculiar property of woman.

"The independence of women, who have received such gifts, is recognised in regard to that property;" for it was received through the kindness of the donors. "The power of women over the gifts of their affectionate kindred is ever celebrated, both in respect of donation and sale at their pleasure, even in the case of immovables."

Womon can dispose of the immovables given by their 'husbands' kindred. Women are competent to make gifts, and so forth, of the immovables given by their husbands' kindred.

Apsstamba on the gifts of affectionate kindred. APASTAMBA thus speaks of the gifts of affectionate kindred :—" Ornaments are the exclusive property of a wife, and so is wealth given to her by kinsmen or friends, according to some *legislators*.

Explanation.

Wealth given by kinsmen means that which is given at the time of marriage, and so forth, by kinsmen and the kinsmen of her parents or those of her husband.

Ornamental apparel worn by women during the lives of their hushands cannot be taken by the heirs of the latter.

MENU and VISIINU speak on the subject of ornaments. Such ornamental apparel as women wear during the lives of their husbands, the heirs of those husbands shall not divide among themselves; they who divide it among themselves fall deep *into sin*.

Any ornament worn by a woman with the consent of her husband shall be her property.

Any ornament which a woman wears with the consent of her husbands shall be her peculiar property, even if it have been not given to her. MADHATITHI declares that, according to the foregoing text of KATYAYANA, a woman is competent to give away or sell any immovable or movable property which she has received from her husband's kindred.

NAREDA says :-- " Property given to her by her What property may be husband through pure affection she may enjoy at enjoyed by a her pleasure after his death, or give away, with pleasure after her busband's the exception of lands or houses." death.

Consequently a woman can dispose of movable property which has been given her by her husband, but she can never dispose of immovable property. The same rule holds good in the case of saudayica. or the gifts of affectionate kindred.

KATYAYANA says, that a woman, on the death of her husband, may enjoy his estate according to her death of her pleasure; but in his lifetime she should carefully onjoy his catate preserve it. If he leave no estate, let her remain is to live. with his family.

A childless widow, preserving her chastity, shall enjoy her husband's property with moderation, as shall, chaste widow hor long as she lives. After her death, the heirs shall onjoy her husband's take it. perty.

This admits of two meanings. The one is that, on the death of the husband, his property devolves without other on his wife, and becomes her own in default of perty devolves other heirs.

The other is that the property, which she enjoys with the consent of her husband in his lifetime, with the conis to be regarded as her peculiar property, but of her

On the death of the husband on his wife.

Property en-

Digitized by Google

How a woman on the husband may

A childless

during

pro-

lifetime,

Explanation.

peculiar pro- KTYAYANA says as to the first of these :-- " Let a perty. woman on the death of her husband enjoy her husband's property at her discretion."

This applies This refers to property other than immovable. to movables.

How a wo-The following provision is made for immovable man shall enjoy immovable property. Let a woman enjoy it with moderation as long as she lives. After her death, let the heirs take it.

Moderation means without much expenditure. Explanation.

> Childless widow means one who has no heir of her own.

The property protected in the lifetime of her husband.

On the second, it is said that "while he lives she should carefully preserve it," or, in other words, the property shall be protected in the lifetime of the husband. If her husband have left no wealth, the widow should live with his family.

Immovable property cannot be disposed of by the widow pleasure.

Hence the immovable property, which a woman gets after the death of her husband, cannot be at her disposed of at her pleasure.

Explanation.

The meaning of this is consonant with that of the husband's donation (which can only be enjoyed but not spent.)

The texts of KATYAYANA do not refer to the peculiar property of woman. The inconsistency owing to this is removed by the similarity of meaning.

proparty.

As a woman cannot make a present of, or at WOMAN cannot dispore pleasure dispose of immovable property, given to of immovable her by her husband in his lifetime, so she cannot rited on the dispose of any immovable property which she death of her husband. inherits on his death.

The same opinion is maintained in the Ratna-So the Ratnakara and kara and the Prakasakara. Prakasakara.

If the mother, on the death of her son, get his Nor the immovable proimmovable property, she cannot make a gift of it, perty inherited from her son. or dispose of it at her pleasure.

DEVALA says, as to the property in question :

"Food and vesture, ornaments, perquisites, and wealth received by a woman from a kinsman, are her own property; she may enjoy it herself; and her husband has no right to it, except in extreme distress."

Food and vesture means "funds appropriated to Explanation. her support."

Ornaments means "ornamental apparel."

Perquisites means " wealth given to a damsel on demanding her in marriage."

Wealth received means "that which is received from kinsmen."

These are the several kinds of the peculiar property of women.

the

Devels on the above.

The property for the rolief of a distressed son.

"If he (the husband) give it away on a false of a woman nos consideration, or consume it, he must make good the value to the woman, with interest ; but he may use the property of his wife, to relieve a distressed son."

Explanation.

The property of a woman should not be improperly given away or consumed without her consent, but it may be used for the relief of a distressed It is declared by the same writer that the son. husband has power to use it with or without the consent of his wife.

When the husband shall pay the prin-cipal only.

If the husband, "having obtained her consent, use the property amicably, he shall be required to pay the principal when he becomes rich."

The husband to refund the wife's propervenience.

If the wife give her peculiar property through value of his affection, when her husband is ill, or in danger, or ty at his con- has been confined by a creditor, he may give her the value of it when he pleases.

The meaning of the above is that if the wife, Explanation. observing her husband's illness or the like, give her wealth, it may be re-paid by him at his pleasure.

When from his wife.

YAGNYAVALKYA says that "a husband is not liable to make good the property of his wife, taken porty taken by him in a famine, or for the performance of a duty, or during illness, or while under restraint."

Explanation.

While under restraint signifies while he is so ill that he cannot work.

KATYAYANA specially declares that money, taken by a man from his wife, for performing some from his wife imperative duty, when he has no means of doing so, paid by a mane shall not be repaid. But if the husband "have taken a second wife, and no longer give his first wife the honor due to her, the king shall compel him, by violence, to restore her property, though it was put amicably into his hands.

"If suitable food, apparel, and habitation, cease When wife can to be provided for a wife, she may by force take cibly take her her own property, and a just allotment for such a to own property, provision; or she may, if he die, take it from his heir.

"This is a law of LIKHITA; but after receiving tor her own property and just allotment, she must her must reside with the family of her husband; yet, if with the femiafflicted by disease, and in danger of her life, she band. may go to her own kindred."

"But a wife who does malicious acts injurious to her husband, who has no sense of shame, who of destroys his effects, or who takes delight in being faithless to his bed, is held unworthy of the property before described.

"What has been promised to a woman by her husband, as her exclusive property, must be given woman by her by his sons as a debt of his, provided she remain exclusive prowith the family of her husband." It is not to be given by his given, if she live with the family of her father, of his.

Any thing promised to a husband as her sons as a debt

When money, taken is not to be re-

When it is to be.

The wife afregaining reside ly of her hus-

When she may go to her own kindred.

A bed wife unworthy peculiar property.

#### THE LAW OF INHERITANCE.

What is The honor due to her. This means that, if the meant by "the honor husband do not visit her after the time of her menses and do not provide her with food and raiment, the wife has the right to demand her peculiar property from her husband, though it have been given to him at the time of his sickness or under similar circumstances.

**Explanation.** After receiving, &c. Even if she receive her own property and allotments, she must reside with the family of her husband and not go to the family of her father.

Who does malicious acts injurious, &c. This shows that the kindred should demand the peculiar property from such a woman.

What has been promised to a woman by her husband. This passage does not require any explanation.

### SUCCESSION TO A WOMAN'S SEPARATE PROPERTY.

**Explanation.** Uterine signifies the offspring of the same father and mother.

Digitized by Google

Only the unmarried ones are to be equal Sisters. sharers.

VRIHASPATI confirms this, by declaring that "a Vrihaspati's uthority woman's property goes to her children, and the the point. daughter is a sharer with them, provided she be unaffianced; but, if she be married, she shall not receive the maternal wealth." Something should be given her that her feelings may not be wounded.

To her children means to her sons.

Sharer with them, that is, an equal partaker, Explanation; because no distinction is made.

If she be married signifies if provided with a husband.

Something, that is, in proportion to the ostato.

GAUTAMA says that a woman's separate property Who movies a womau's segoes to her daughters unmarried and unprovided for. parts

Unprovided indicates misfortune, such as the Explanation. want of son, husband, or wealth. This opinion is held in the Ratnakara and by some writers.

Even if the daughter as above described be destitute of a son, she shall receive a share from ceive a share the maternal estate like the sons.

MENU says :-- " Property given to the mother on her marriage (yautuca) is the portion of her inherite the unmarried daughter."

perty.

Dros

The daughter shall rofrom the maternal cetate.

The unmarrio 1] daughter

property given

to the mother on her marriago.

#### THE LAW OF INHERITANCE.

Yautuca. Yautuca means property received at the time of marriage from parents, and such like.

VASISHTHA says. "let the females share the ! Nuptial gifts. nuptial gifts (parinayya) of their mother."

> "A nuptial gift (parinavya) means furniture, such as a mirror, combs, and so forth."

YAGNYAVALKYA says, "the daughters share the 70ective the re-sidue of the residue of their mother's property, after payment mother's proporty after the of her debts, and so forth, and their issue succeed peyment of the in their default."

The daughters shall dither's effects, åo.

Who

" Let the daughters divide their mother's effects. vide their mo- remaining over and above the debts; on failure of such, the (male) issue, that is, the sons, (in other words) their brothers, and their (daughters') sons shall inherit according to MENU."

Where the rule is applicable.

The foregoing rule refers to the property received by the woman, at the time of her marriage in the form denominated Brahma, and her (nuptial gifts, i. e.) furniture, combs, and so forth.

Who succeed on faitors.

KATYAYANA says :-- "But on failure of daughters luro of daugle the inheritance belongs to the son. That which has been given to her by her kindred goes on failure of kindred to her husband."

Married sisters shall share

Married sisters shall share with kinsmen. This with kinsmon. law concerning the separate property of a woman is ordained in the case of partition.

268

#### SUCCESSION TO A WOMAN'S SEPARATE PROPERTY. 269

On failure of daughters, and so forth. The Explanation. meaning of this is that the mother's estate, which consists in her furniture, nuptial gifts, as well as the gifts of parents, goes to her son, provided there be no daughters.

The property, except the abovementioned articles, goes to the son and daughter after the death of the owner. This has been ordained before.

Given to her by her kindred. What is given Married size tern shall reby any one except the father, goes to both the coire brother and sister, but the latter, if unmarried, thing i setate. thing from the becomes an equal sharer. The sisters, if married. shall receive something from the estate. This is the signification of the text regarding married sisters.

On failure of kindred, that is, in default of Explanation daughter's son and the like, the woman's property devolves on her husband.

MENU says :- " It is admitted that the property of a woman married according to (any of) the cere- married monies called Brahma, Daiva, Arsha, Gandharba, tain coremoand Prajapatya, shall go to her husband, if she die without issue. But her wealth, given to her on her lure of issue. marriage in the form called Asura or either of the other two (Rakshasa and Paisacha) is ordained, on to her parents her death without issue, to become the property of her mother and father."

Without issue, that is, without children.

some-

The property of a woman 80cording to cernice shall go her husband, on fai-

When her

Izplanation!

Who, 80cording to get the sister's

GAUTAMA says, "the sister's fee belongs to the Gautama, shall uterine brothers; after them it goes to the mother, and then to the father." Some say that it goes to him before her.

Where this text applies.

This text alludes to property received at the time of marriage (in the form) called Asura and the other two.

Who shall take the wealth damsel.

BAUDHAYANA says, "the wealth of a deceased of a deceased damsel, let the uterine brothers themselves take; on failure of them, it shall belong to the mother, or, if she be dead, to the father.

# ON THE DISTRIBUTION OF EFFECTS CONCEALED.

How effects secreted by one parcener from the others, and discovered after partition, are to be disposed of.

A coparceper who concoals held in coparcenary cannot be charged with theft.

Law of Bhrigu regarding effects, a part of which, being secreted is subsequently discovered.

On this subject YAGNYAVALKYA says, "when effects secreted by one parcener from the others are discovered after partition, the co-heirs shall again distribute those effects in equal shares : this is a settled law."

Partition being suggested as a matter of course. official it is intimated by the enunciation of this text that the crime of theft is not committed by concealing effects held in co-parcenary. This is the opinion of HALAYUDHA.

KATYAYANA says, " if the parceners have secreted part of the effects from each other, or if any mistake have been made in the partition on a subsequent discovery, there must be an equal division (or re-distribution) of what is restored: this is a law of Buniqu.". Nor let a co-heir be obliged to make

٠



good what he had expended before partition for the necessary support of his family.

Equal division means that the division should Explanation. be precisely similar.

What he had expended, &c., signifies the concealed effects common to all.

Therefore, the meaning is that what has been consumed by a co-heir, he shall not be required to make required good.

shall not mako boor what has b consumed by him.

# DISTRIBUTION AMONG BROTHERS UNEQUAL IN CLASS.

MENU says, "let the son of the Brahmin take What four parts, the sor. of the Kshatrya three, the son of different different of the Vaisya two parts, and the son of the Sudra take a single part, if he be virtuous."

It is said in the Mahabharata that the son of The division according the Kshatrya wife shall receive four such parts of the Malashiarsta. his father's estate, and he shall also take whatever implements of war belonged to his father, but the son of the Vaisya wife shall have three shares, and the son of the Sudra one share.

The estate of the Vaisya should be divided into five parts, four of which his son by a wife of his Vaira is to be own class shall receive, and the remaining one shall be received by his son by a Sudra wife.

How the cetate of the divided,

Where this rule applies.

This rule applies where the Brahmin had married four, the Kshatrya three, and the Vaisya two wives from different classes. But if this be not the case, the separate share of a son of the wife different in class, should not be made from the said ten, eight, and five divisions.

Implements of war means horses, weapons, &c. Explanation.

The estate VISHNU declares, "but if a Brahmin leave three of a Brahmin sons and none by a Sudra wife, they shall divide to be divided into nine the estate into nine parts." parts.

Where this This rule is also ordained in the case of a rule is appli-Kshatrya.

The son of the Brahmin ceive land roceived as reward for sacred literature.

cable.

VRIHASPATI says : "land received as reward for wife shall re- sacred literature must never be given to the son of the Kshatrya or other wife of inferior class: even if his father gave it to him, the son of the Brahmani wife may nevertheless resume it after his father's death."

VRIDDHA MENU says : "the sons of the Brahmani Vriddha Menu on the point. wife shall take the land which descends as a holy heritage, but all the sons by women of the twiceborn classes shall succeed to the house and field successively inherited from ancestors."

Decends as a holy heritage means, what is Explanation. received for performing a sacrifice or imparting lessons in literature, or what is given as a reward.

> Twice born signifies the sons of the three classes other than the Sudra.

Digitized by Google

SANKHA and LIKHITA say :- " A son by a Sudra The share of the son by a woman does not succeed to the paternal estate; Sudra woman whatever his father gave him, that alone shall be his share ; but let the father also give him a bull and a cow, some black iron, and any black grain excepting linseed."

MENU says :-- "But whether the Brahmin have sons or have no sons by wives of the first three only is to be classes, no more than a tenth part must be given to given to the son by a Budra wife. the son by a Sudra wife.

"The son of a Brahmin, a Kshatrya, or a Vaisya, by a woman of the servile class, shall inherit no part of the estate unless he be virtuous, nor jointly with other sons unless his mother was lawfully marricd: whatever his father may give him, let that be his own."

According to Monu, a touth

When a son of one of the twice-born classes by a wile Budra shall inherit.

Have sons means have issue by the wives of Explanation. the first three classes.

Have no son signifies have no issue of such kind.

Shall inherit no part of the estate, that is, even if the father be favorable to his son by a Sudra though he be wife, he shall have no power to give him greater virtuous, shall receive a tenth than the said tenth part. This is the opinion ternal estate. expressed in the Kalpataru. But a different opinion is held in the Parijata. A son by a married Sudra wife, though he be virtuous, shall receive the tenth part only of the paternal estate.

Budm

How the catate of a man who leaves no legitimate offopring shall be disposed of.

VRIHASPATI says, that "a virtuous and obedient son, born of a Sudra woman unto a man who legitimate offspring, shall take a leaves no provision for his maintenance, and the kinsmon shall inherit the remainder of the estate."

Who leaves no legitimate offspring, that is, who Explanation. has no son by the wives of the first three classes.

Where this rule is applicable.

Kinsmen, first the nearest, and in default of them This rule relates to the the remotest kindred. child of an unmarried Sudra, for the text is laid down in the section treating of an unmarried woman.

When a son begotten by a Sudra on a fomale elavo. may take a share of the heritage.

MENU says :--- "But a son, begotten by a man of the servile class on his female slave, or on the female slave of his slave, may take a share of the heritage if permitted by the other sons: this is the law established."

Yagnyavalkya on the point.

YAGNYAVALKYA says :-- "A son, begotten by a man of the servile class on his female slave, may receive a share by his father's choice, or, after the death of the father, the brothers shall allot him half a share.

A son of a man may receive a share by the per-mission of his father, &o.

"A son of a Sudra by an unmarried woman Sudra by an unmarried wo- may receive a share by the permission of his father; but, if the father be dead, he shall receive half of the share of his brothers who are borne by married wives.

When shall take the whole.

"Should he have no brother, he shall take the he whole, unless there be a daughter's son."

Digitized by Google

Digitized by Google

The meaning of the above is that the son of a Sudra by an unmarried woman receives the whole heritage, provided there be no son of married wives and daughters' sons.

GAUTAMA says :-- " Sons, borne by women in The share to be received by the inverse order of the classes, shall have a similar sons, borne by woman in the allotment to that of the son produced by a woman inverse order of the classes. of the servile class.

"A son begotton by a Sudra or other man of an inferior class on a Vaisya, or other woman of a on a woman of superior class shall receive the means of livelihood, class shall rethat is, stock for agriculture and the like, such as a of livelihood. plough, a ploughshare, and so forth."

# ON THE PARTICIPATION OF SONS BORN AFTER A PARTITION.

Sons born after a partition are of two kinds, Two kinds of sons born namely, the one is the son who at the time of parti- alter partition. tion is in the womb, and the other, the son conceived and born after the partition.

YAGNYAVALKYA speaks of the first :-- " After a A son born of a woman division, a son born of a woman equal in class equal in class claims partition of the original estate ; or a distri- claims parti-cipation of the bution shall be made of the present wealth, exclu- original estate, sive of subsequent income and past expenses."

Claims partition, that is, is competent to receive Explanation. a share.

Of the present wealth means out of the present wealth visible, or both visible and invisible.

A Sudra's superior ceive the means

Explanation.

#### THE LAW OF INHERITANCE.

Exclusive of subsequent income. &c., that is, excluding the subsequent increase and what has been consumed by the brothers.

A son born after partition, shall receive the whole catate, &o.

HALAYUDHA is of opinion that "a son born being virtuous, shall receive his his share of share of the whole estate; that is, of both sorts of property, forthcoming and not forthcoming; but one deficient in good qualities shall only receive a share of the present wealth."

Who shall give a share to the sons born after partition.

VISHNU says :--- "Sons, with whom the father has made a partition, should give a share to the son born after the distribution."

This text is differently explained.

This text has like the preceding two significations.

What share shall be rc-ceived by a son, born af-ter a division.

Of the second, that is, the son born after the partition, MENU says :---" A son, born after a division, shall alone take the paternal wealth, or he shall participate with such of the brothers as are re-united with the father."

As the son, who is in the womb at the time of Typlanation. partition, receives his share from the brothers, so the son born after partition does not receive it from them, but shall have only the share of his father.

This meaning is derived from the word alone.

When the father's share vered to the partition

Here it is to be understood that if, in the lifeshall be deli- time of the father, the sons desire to have their on born after share and the father be also anxious to reserve his share, then the father's share should, after his
death, be delivered to the son born after partition. But if the father, after division, being re-united with his own brother, or sons, die, the son born after partition shall receive his father's share from the re-united persons or co-heirs.

VRIHASPATI says :-- "The younger brothers of those, who have made a partition with their father. whether children of the same mother or of other tion withtheir wives, shall take the father's share.

"A son, born before partition, has no claim on the paternal wealth ; nor one, begotten after it, on that of his brothers."

Younger brothers means that if, after the par-Explanation. tition, many sons be born, they shall still have the share of their father.

A son born before partition, that is, he who is separated from his father.

All the wealth which is acquired by the father himself, who has made a partition with his sons, ed by the fagoes to the son begotten by him after the partition. tition goes to Those born before it, are declared to have no after begotten right; as in the wealth, so in the debts likewise, and in gifts, pledges, and purchases.

They have no claims on each other, except for The reciproacts of mourning and libations of water.

sons born before and after partition

All 11.0 wealth acquirther after parthe son thereby him.

The you brothers of those who have partimede father shall take the latters' share.

The younger

partition cannot claim the wealth of his brothers.

A son begotten

after

**Bummary.** The summary of the above is this, that a son, who is in the womb at the time of partition, and is born after it, shall receive his equal share from the separated co-heirs out of their estate. But he, who is *begotten* and born after partition, shall only get the share of his father. This is the opinion of MENU and other legislators.

# OF SONS.

Twelve kinds of sons, dcscribed by sages, who know the principles of things. Among Yama. these sons, six are kinsmen and heirs; six not heirs, but kinsmen.

> "The first is declared to be the son begotten by a man himself *in lawful wedlock*; the second a son begotten on his wife by a kinsman; the third is the son of an appointed daughter; thus have the learned declared the law.

> "The fourth is a son by a twice-married woman; the fifth, a son by an unmarried girl; the sixth a son of concealed birth in the husband's mansion: these six give the funeral cake and take the heritage."

What sons are only kinsmen. "A son rejected by his father or mother, the son of a pregnant bride, a son given by his natural parents, a son made through adoption, and fifthly a son bought, and lastly he who offers himself of his own accord.

## OF SONS.

"These six being of mixed origin are kinsmon, but not heirs except to their own father."

NAREDA says :--- "A son begotten by a man himself in lawful wedlock, a son begotten on his wife by a kinsman, the son of an appointed daughter, the son of an unmarried girl, the son of a pregnant bride, and a son of concealed birth, a son by a twice-married woman, a son rejected, a son given by his natural parents, a son bought, a son made by adoption, and a son self-given, are declared to be twelve sons.

"Among these, six are heirs to kinsmen, six not heirs but kinsmen; their relative rank corresponds with the order in which they are here named.

"On the death of the father they succeed in their order to his wealth ; on the failure of the best ther, they sucand the next best, let the inferior in order take the heritage."

The meaning is, on default of each preceding, Explanation, the next succeeding in order is entitled to the property.

MENU says :--- " Of the twelve sons of men, whom Of the twelve MENU, sprung from the Self-Existent, has named, kinsmen and six are kinsmen and heirs, six not heirs, except to their own father, but kinsmen."

sons, six are heirs and six not heirs ex. cept to their own father.

rank of these corresponds with the order in which they are described.

The relative

On the demise of the faceed in their order to his wealth.

Naroda on the point.

Who are in the son begotten by a man himself in lawful wedlock, the son of his wife, and so forth, a son given to him, a son made or adopted, a son of concealed birth, or whose real father cannot be known, and a son rejected, and so forth, are the six kinsmen and heirs :

Who are only kinsmen. The son of a young woman unmarried, the son of a pregnant bride, a son bought, a son by a twice-married woman, a son self-given, and a son by a Sudra, are the six kinsmen but not heirs to collaterals.

To what sons participation of wealth belongs.

BAUDHAYANA says :— "Participation of wealth belongs to the son begotten by a man himself, and so forth, the son of his appointed daughter, the son begotten on his wife, and so forth, a son given, a son made by adoption, a son of concealed birth, and a son rejected by his natural parents.

To what sons ponsanguinity denoted by a pommon family appellation belongs.

sons.

"Consanguinity, denoted by a common family appellation, belongs to the son of an unmarried girl, the son of a pregnant bride, a son bought, a son by a twice married-woman, a son self-given, and a son of a priest by a Sudra."

Devals on DEVALA enumerates the son of the body, the son of an appointed daughter, the son of a wife, the son of an unmarried girl, a son of concealed birth, a son rejected, the son of a pregnant bride, a son by a twice married-woman, a son given, and so forth, a son self-given, a son made by adoption, and a son bought. He then adds :—These twelve sons are considered as offspring by birth or adoption;

Digitized by Google

## OF SONS.

namely, sons begotten by a man himself, sons begotten by another, but futhered by him, sons acquired, and sons by their own consent.

Among these, the first six are kinsmen and heirs, the other six inherit only from their own fathers. and heirs, the The rank of sons is distinguished by the order in herit which they are enumerated.

All these adopted sons are pronounced heirs of a Adopted sons man who has no son begotten by himself; but, there is no son <sup>s</sup>hould a son of his body be afterwards born, there is no larger portion for them, by reason of seniority.

"Such among them as are of the same class with that son shall have as their share one-third of the enne, if a son property, and so forth; but those of a lower class begotion. must live under him with clothes and food only."

VISHNU enumerates the real legitimate son, the Of the twelve son of the wife, the son of an appointed daughter, in order the son of a twice-married woman, the son of the shy, to. unmarried daughter, the son of hidden origin, the son received with a pregnant bride, the son given, the son purchased, the son self-given, the son rejected, and the son obtained in any manner whatsoever. He then adds, "Of these, the first in order is the most worthy: he only is entitled to the estate, but he should support the rest."

The first is the son begotten by a man himself Explanation. on his own wife, (or the son of the body, for this agrees with VASISHTHA); the second is the son of

The first six kinsmon 870 other six infrom their fathers only.

are heirs when begotten.

The share of adopted be afterwards

sons, the first is the most wor-

a wife, begotten by a man of equal class on a widow duly appointed; she who is given in marriage by her father with a declaration in this form, "her son shall be my son," as well as she, who having no brothers, is so appointed to raise up a son to her father, though not yet given in marriage, is an appointed daughter, and considered as the third son : the fourth is the son of a twice-married woman ; the fifth, the son of an unmarried girl ; the sixth, the son of concealed birth, (he is the son of him on whose wife he was begotten); the seventh is the son of a pregnant bride, (and the son of a woman espoused while pregnant is the son of the man who marries her); the eighth is a son given, and becomes the son of him to whom he is given by his natural father or mother; the ninth, a son sold ; the tenth, a son self-given, (he is the son of the man to whom he gives himself); the eleventh is a son rejected, (being forsaken by his father or mother, he becomes the son of him by whom he is received); the twelfth is a son any how produced irregularly, (and he is also called Sudra, or a son by a Sudra).

Others say that the son made is the 12th, and the 13th is the son by a Sudra.

According to Vishnu, the next in order becomes heir and presents funeral oblations on failure of the preceding.

On the subject of dispute, VISHNU says :—" Of the son begotten by himself, the son of a wife, the son of an appointed daughter, the son of concealed birth, the son of an unmarried girl, the son of a twice-married woman, the son given, the son of a chased, the son made, the son self-given, the son of



a prognant bride, the son rejected, the next in order becomes heir and presents funeral oblations on failure of the preceding."

YAGNYAVALKYA says :--- "The legitimate son is one Yagnyavelby on the procreated on the lawful wedded wife.

"Equal to him is the son of an appointed Bon of daughter.

"The son of the wife is one begotten on a wife by Son of the a kinsman of her husband, or by some other relative.

"One secretly produced in the house is a son of gon of hidhidden origin.

"A damsel's child is one born of an unmarried Son of an woman; he is considered as the son of his man. maternal grandsire.

"A child begotten on a woman whose first Bon of a twice-married marriage had not been consummated, or on one woman. who had been defloured before marriage, is called the son of a twice-married woman.

"He, whom his father and his mother give for son given. adoption shall be considered as a son given.

"A son bought is one who was sold by his father Son bought. and mother.

"A son made is one adopted by the man son made. himself.

"One who gives himself is self-given. Son self-given.

A child accepted, while yet in the womb, is one gon of a progreceived with a bride.

# Son deserted.

"He who is taken for adoption, having been forsaken by his parents, is a deserted son.

The order in which they inherit.

"Among these the next in order is heir, and presents funeral oblations, on failure of the preceding."

Explanation. The legitimate son is first.

Here the lawful wife is a woman of equal tribe espoused in lawful wedlock : a son begotten by himself on her is the first legitimate son, because the author says that one produced on the lawful wedded wife of equal tribe is called legitimate.

The second son.

The son of an appointed daughter is second.

The third son.

two fathers.

The wife's son (Kshetraja) is third. If the husband of the wife be anxious to have the son, the aforesaid son may belong to him; and if the procreator also claim the abovementioned son, he may A son of belong to him : but if both of them be anxious for the issue, that offspring may be considered as the son of two fathers.

The son of hidden origin is the fourth, but he The fourth son. must be begotten by a man of a tribe equal to that of his mother. He shall belong to his mother's husband.

The damsel's child is the fifth; he is the son The dem. sel's child. of his maternal grandfather, provided the grandfather be childless: but if his mother's husband be childless, he shall belong to him.

The son of a twice-married woman is the sixth The sixth son he is the son of that person who will afterwards marry his mother.

The son given is the seventh; he becomes the The seventh son of his adopter.

The son bought is the eighth; for he is sold by The eighth his father or mother by receiving wealth, and is ac-

The son made by the person himself is the ninth. The ninth He is one who willingly becomes the son of a person who, having no issue, is anxious to get a son, and answers in the affirmative when he is asked by the intending adopter whether he likes to be his son or not. He is the son of the adopter.

The son solf-given is the tenth ; he, being bereft The tenth of father and mother, or abandoned by them through son. anger and so forth, willingly goes to a person by saying, "let mo become thy son."

The son received with a bride is the eleventh ; The eleventh he is accepted while he is "yet a foctus in the womb of his mother;" he becomes the son of the bridegroom.

The son rejected is the twelfth; he, having The twelfth been discarded by his father and mother or either <sup>son.</sup> of them, through poverty and the like, is taken for adoption and becomes the son of the taker.

VRIHASPATI says, "One alone, namely, the son of Vrihaspeti the body, is doclared to be owner of the wealth left interest by his father; an appointed daughter is equal to wealth of the him; but the other sons shall only be maintained.

Monu on MENU says, "The legitimate son is the sole heir of his father's estate; but for the sake of innocence, he should give a maintenance to the rest."

Explanation. For the sake of innocence, means for the sake of kindness.

Maintenance means livelihood.

When the legitimate son and the son of an appointed daughter, inherit equally.

If the son of an appointed daughter be first born and the true legitimate son be born subsequent to him, an equal partition should be made between them.

Menu on the point. MENU ordains on this, "A daughter having been appointed, if a son be afterwards born, the division of the heritrge must in that case be equal, since there is no right of primogeniture for the woman."

Katyayana on the shares of the legitimate son, and of other sons. KATYANAA says, "If a legitimate son be born, the rest are pronounced sharers of a third part, provided they belong to the same tribe; but if they be of a different class, they are entitled to food and raiment only."

A legitimate son, though last born, inherits the whole estate minus the shares of other sons.

This share of a third part is for the Kshetraja, or the son of a wife, according to the text of the Brahma Purana :—"The son begotten by a man himself in lawful wedlock, even though last born, shall enjoy the whole of the estate; let the son of a wife begotten by a kinsman obtain a third part as his share, and the son of an appointed daughter a fourth."

Some legislators are of opinion that the preced- where the text is applling text relates to the given son who possesses cable. virtuous qualities.

Premising the adopted son, VRIHASPATI says, "If When the adopted 800 a legitimate son be born subsequent to the adoption shares a fourth part. of one, the latter shares a fourth part, provided the estate have not been expended in pious acts."

# Pious acts means sacrifices and so forth.

MENU and other legislators have said that, notwithstanding other kinds of sons, the legitimate son alone receives the whole estate of his father, receives the but they have also declared that the other sons are of his father; sharers of the estate. To remove this contradiction it must be understood that, if the legitimate son be vide it with virtuous, he shall receive the whole estate without giving a share to the others; but if he be void of good qualities, and others possess them, they are entitled to have their respective shares, as has been stated above.

The inconsistency in the rule that the son of the wife and the son given shall receive more or less from the estate, may be removed by observing the distinction of good and bad qualities.

The inconsistency in the texts of VISHNU and YAGNYAVALKYA, regarding the performance of religious ceremonies, will be removed by observing the distinction of good qualities or vices, or recognising their rights alternatively.

Inconsistencies reconciled. virtuous legitimate son whole ostato in any other case, the other sons sons dihim.

Sons born of the same forent fathers tates of their fathers.

VISHNU says, on partition between two sons born mother by dif- of the same mother, by different fathers : "Sons receive the co- born of the same mother by different fathers shall receive the estates of their respective fathers."

Childless persons alluded to elsewhere.

The description of childless persons has been given in the Shradh Chintamani, and it is therefore not necessary to dwell any more upon the subject.

A son informally adinherit.

The son who is adopted without observing the optod does not rules ordained is not competent to have a share. because he is not to be considered as a real son.

# ON THE SUCCESSION TO THE ESTATE OF ONE WHO LEAVES NO SON.

of Order succession to a person who leaves no son.

VISHNU says :-- " The wealth of him who leaves the wealth of no male issue goes to his wife; on failure of her. to his daughter; if there be none, to the mother;\* if she be dead, to the father ;\* on failure of him, to the brothers; after them it descends to the brothers' sons; if none exist, it passes to the kinsmen (bandhu); in their default to relatives, (saculua): on failure of these to the fellowstudent; for want of these heirs the property escheats to the king, excepting the wealth of a Brahmin."

Kinsmen signifies distant kindred.

Relatives means those who are descended from Explanation. the original stock.



<sup>•</sup> In other compilations these clause are transposed.

Vrihat MENU says, "The relation of the sapindas, who are or kindred connected by the funeral oblation, ceases who samanodakse. with the seventh person; and that of samanodakas, or those connected by a common libation of water, extends to the fourteenth degree, or, as some affirm, it reaches as far as the memory of birth and name extends."

After the fourteenth degree descendants are said to be of the same gotra, or "the relation of family the same gotra. name."

Who leaves no son means who has no son, grand- Explanation. son, or great grandson.

The right of performing funeral obsequies is set. The wide w's tled according to the following authority : "The herit. son, the son of a son, and the son of a grandson;" hence their right of inheritance, which is similar to the right of performing functal obsequies, is likewise established. Therefore, in default of a great grandson, the estate devolves on the widow.

Vrihat MENU says :- "A widow, who has no male issue, who keeps the bed of her lord inviolate, titled to sucand who strictly performs the duties of widowhood, shall alone offer the cake at his obsequies, and succeed to his whole estate."

VRIHASPATI declares :--- " Although distant kinsmen, although his father and mother, although uterine brothers be living, the wife of him who dies leaving no male issue shall succeed to his share. If the wife die before her husband, she shall receive his

k 11

Who are descendants of

right to in-

Description of widow on. ceed.

Vrihaspati on the point.

consecrated fire. If not, the widow faithful to her lord, shall take his wealth; this is a primeval law.

Religious duties of the widow who inherits the catate of her hurband.

"Taking his effects, movable and immovable, the precious and base metals, the grains, liquids, and clothes, let her cause several Shraddhas to be offered in each month, in the sixth month, and at the close of the year.

Her duties towards her husband's kindre.!.

"With food and other things consecrated to the gods and the manes, let her honor paternal uncles. spiritual parents, daughters' sons, the offspring of her husband's sisters, and his maternal uncles." old men, helpless persons, "guests and females of the family."

Kinsmen who become hor adversaries, shall be punished.

"Those near or distant kinsmen who become her adversaries or who injure the woman's property, let the king chastise by inflicting on them the punishment of robbery."

Explanation.

The ruls

Here by the mention of the Shraddhas that a wife must perform, it is meant that she shall also perform the ten Shraddhas of her husband recently deceased, and also celebrate the obsequies annually, and take the whole estate of her lord. What has been said above is applicable in apply where ford. Where husband who has taken his share from his co-heirs.

> Faithful to her lord means chaste. Faithful wife does not here signify one who burns herself on the funeral pile of her husband, for she cannot then inherit her husband's estate. Therefore

Digitized by Google

the conclusion is that, in the absence of a great grandson of her husband, the chaste wife is entitled to receive his estate.

SANKUA speaks of cases where the husband lived Rule where the husband with his co-heirs :--- "To the childless wives of bro- was unsegarated. thers and of sons, strictly observing the conduct prescribed, the proprietor of the estate must allot mere food and old garments which are not tattered."

HARITA says, "A woman widowed and young is Harita untractable; but separate property must always be the right of widows. given to women that they may pass their destined life."

BALARUPA is of opinion that this text alludes only to a woman whose husband was re-united with his tion of text. co-heirs.

When the husband dies without partition with his co-heirs, he has no share at all : what then could his wife receive? It cannot be argued that she is entitled to a share like her husband, because there is no authority for this; nor should it be argued that the preceding texts are authority for her receiving a share, because they merely allude to the separate property of a husband.

Therefore VASISHTHA directs that " partition of Right of pretheritage take place among brothers, having waited of until the delivery of such of the women as are childless but pregnant."

humous sons brothers separated.

Argument on applica-

At the time of partition a share must be reserved Explanation. for the sons of widowed wives of the brothers, who are pregnant by their husbands, until the delivery of children; and if no male issue be produced, the abovementioned shares should be taken by them, that is, by living brothers; and it has been distinctly explained in the Ratnakara and other works.

The widow has but a life husband's estate.

Thus it is said in the Mahabharata : "For women interest in her the heritage of their husbands is pronounced applicable to use. Let not women on any account make waste of their husbands' wealth."

Here waste means sale and gift at their own Explanation. choice.

Daughters inherit on fail-

On failure of wives, the heritage devolves on ure of widows. the daughters, according to the preceding text of VISHNU.

Nareda on the ground of the daughter's right.

NAREDA also says :--- " On failure of male issue, the daughter inherits, for she is equally a cause of perpetuating the race; since both the son and daughter are the means of prolonging the father's line."

Menu on the point.

MENU says :--- "The son of a man is even as himself, and the daughter is equal to the son; how then can any other inherit his property, notwithstanding the survival of her who is as it were himself ?"

**Vrihaspeti** on the point.

VRIHASPATI says :--- "As a son, so does the daughter of a man proceed from his several limbs; how then should any other person take her father's wealth ?"

Digitized by Google

293

But what kind of daughter is competent to Description daughter receive her father's heritage, is declared by the competent to inherit. same author.

"Being of equal class and married to a man of like tribe, and being virtuous and devoted to obedience, she (namely, the daughter,) whether appointed or not appointed to continue the male line, shall take the property of her father who leaves no son, nor widow."

BALARUPA is of opinion that the maiden and unmarried daughters take the heritage successively. the PARASARA says :--- "Let a maiden daughter take the ried daughter. heritage of one who dies leaving no male issue; if there be no such daughter, a married one shall inherit."

Here it should not be argued that the aforesaid Explanation. authorities are only intended for the right of the appointed daughter; for, in the preceding text of MENU, from the term unappointed it is to be understood that the daughter was neither appointed nor intended for appointment. Yet appointed should not be here spoken of, because MENU has declared that "such a daughter receives an equal share with the son."

In default of the daughter, the mother succeeds In default of the daughto the estate ; according to the authority of VISHNU, tor, the mother and VRIHASPATI also has declared thus :-- " On the the solate decease of a son, who leaves neither wife nor male issue, the mother must be considered as heiress, or, by her consent, the brother may inherit."

succeeds ta

The respective rights of maiden and the mar-

Explanation. Her consent, that is, the mother's consent. By the term mother, the father is also meant. Hence, according to the Parijata, her consent means the consent of the mother and father.

The daughter's son is the next Leir.

VRIHASPATI says: "as the ownership of the father's wealth devolves on her, although kindred exist, so her son likewise is acknowledged to be heir to his maternal grandfather's estate."

Menu's authority. MENU says :--" Let the daughter's son take the whole estate of his own father, who leaves no other son, and let him offer two funeral oblations, the one to his own father, the other to his maternal grandfather."

Where these two texts apply.

These two texts obtain in default of mother and father. For the right of succession of wife, daughter, and others, has been stated successively.

The paternal grandmother succeeds in default of the mother.

MENU says :--- " Of a son, dying childless and leaving no widow, the father and mother shall take the estate, and the mother also being dead, the paternal grandmother shall take the heritage."

**Explanation.** The meaning is that as, on failure of daughter and others who succeed before her, the mother is the successor, so the paternal grandmother inherits in default of kinsmen. It is stated on authority that, on the failure of the mother, the property devolves on the father and other kinsmen nearest in degree.

The father's In default of the mother, the property goes to right to sucored. the father, according to the authority of VISINU.

MENU ordains :--- "Of him who leaves no son, the father shall take the inheritance or the brothers."

The right of succession of he brother has been The brother's light to sucsettled by the authority of VISHNU. niid.

GAUTAMA says, "The wealth of deceased brothers goes to the eldest."

MENU says, "To the nearest Sapinda the inherit-The right of the sepinda. ance next belongs."

APASTAMBA says: "The effects of him, who leaves The right of no male issue, are received by his nearest kinsman: preceptor and on failure of him by the remote one ; in default of him by the spiritual preceptor; after him by the pupil."

YAGNYAVALKYA says : "A wife, daughters, both parents, brothers, their sons, kinsmen sprung from or or sucthe same original stock, distant kindred, a pupil, and a fellow student in theology; on failure of the first of these, the next in order shares the estate of him who has gone to heaven leaving no male issue. This law extends to all classes."

Here a doubt may arise as to Exponetion. Both parents. the order of succession. To remove this, the following explanation will suffice : the mother, and, on failure of her, the father, because this text has the same origin with that of VISASU.

Their sons means brothers' sons.

Leaving no male issue means having no son, nor son's son, nor grandson's son.

the spiritual pupil.

Tagnyavaltya on the ccssion.

295

Who shall take the proissue.

KATYAYANA says :--- " If a man die separate from perty of a man his co-heirs, let his father take the property on dying separate from his co- failure of male issue; or successively the brother, heirs on failure of male or the mother, or the father's mother."

- Here the altercation is decided in this manner : Explanation. the property acquired by the father devolves on him, and that which is acquired by brothers and others shall be shared by them.
- PAITHINASI says :-- "The effects of him who leaves Paithinasi on the point. no male issue go to his brother; on failure of brothers, his father and mother shall take the heritage."
- DEVALA says :--- " Next, let brothers of the whole Dovala on the point. blood divide the heritage of him who leaves no male issue, or daughters equal (as appertaining to the same tribe); or let the father, if he survive, or half brothers belonging to the same tribe, or the mother, or the wife, inherit in their order. On failure of all these, the nearest of the kinsmen succeed."
- Equal (as appertaining to the same tribe) refers Explanation. to the brothers of the whole blood.

Brother belonging to the same tribe, signifies the sons of the stepmother.

To remove the inconsistency between the texts of VISHNU and YAGNYAVALKYA, HALAYUDHA states that the phrase in order, in the text of DEVALA, applies to the order of succession as settled by YAGNYAVALKYA.

It appears also that the author of the Kalpataru is of the same opinion, because, citing the text of DEVALA, he quotes the texts of VISHNU and But it is not well settled, because YAGNYAVALKYA. DEVALA, leaving the order of succession declared by himself, explains it by taking the meaning of the order of succession mentioned by others. It is improper to depend upon what is in the possession of others by leaving that which is at our control. Even if this be done, contradiction in the passage of PAITHINASI cannot be removed.

Therefore, it is concluded in the Ratnakara, that The order of the order of succession mentioned by YAGNYAVALKYA Yagnyavalkya and Vishnu and VISHNU obtains in property acquired by fore- refere to an-Tathers, and in other property the order of PAITHI- ir, and that of Paithinasi to NASI and others obtains.

other property.

Baudhayana

BAUDHAYANA says : " On failure of kinsmen conon the point. nected by the funeral cake, kinsmen allied by family shall inherit; in default of them, the spiritual preceptor, the pupil, or the priest hired to perform sacrifices, shall take the inheritance; and lastly, on failure of them, the king.

"In default of kinsmen allied by family," the When cor-(bandhu) cognate kindred shall inherit, as stated shall inherit, by YAGNYAVALKYA.

Cognate kindred are of three sorts, namely, a Three corts person's own, his father's, and his mother's, who are indeal thus specified :

Digitized by Google

297

"The sons of his own father's sister, the sons of Who are a person's own kin- his own mother's sister, and the sons of his own cognate dred. mother's brother, must be considered as his own cognate kindred."

His father's cognate kindred.

"The sons of his father's paternal aunt, the sons of his father's maternal aunt, and the sons of his father's maternal unele, must be deemed his father's cognate kindred.

"The sons of his mother's paternal aunt, the His mother's cognate kinmother's maternal aunt, and the sons of his dred. sons of his mother's maternal uncle, must be reckoned his mother's cognate kindred."

These should inherit according to their order. Explanation.

The king is Brahmins.

BALARUPA is of the same opinion. In default of the said heirs the wealth goes to the king, excepting, however, the property of a Brahmana.

Menu on the point.

speaks on this: "The wealth of a MENU Brahmana shall never be taken as an escheat by the king : this is a fixed law ; but the wealth of the other classes, on failure of all heirs, the king may take."

Devala on the point.

DEVALA says : "In every case the king may take the wealth of a subject dying without an heir, except the estate of a priest; for the property of a Brahmana dying without an heir must be given to learned priests."

Without an heir means without one who is entitled to inheritance.

**V**rihaspati on the point.

VRIHASPATI says : "The king takes as an escheat the wealth of those Kshatryas, Vaisyas, and Sudras, who leave no son, nor wife, nor brother; for he is lord of all."

BAUDHAYANA says, that poison destroys only him Why king shall not who takes it, but holy property kills a son and a take the proson's son; the king shall not therefore take the Brahmin. property of a Brahmana.

SANKHA and LIKHITA say, "The property of a learned priest descends to the Brahmanas, and not ir of learned to the king."

Therefore the summary of the abovementioned heirs is this; first, the son; on failure of him, the grandson; in his absence, the grandson's son; on failure of him, a chaste wife; in her default, the daughters ; in their absence, the mother ; in her default, the father; and in his default, the daughter's son; and in default of him, the brother; in his default, the brother's son; and on his death, the nearest kinsmen; in default of them, the remotest kindred according to their order : in default of all these, the nearest saculya; on failure of them, the remotest saculya; in their absence, maternal uncles But on failure of all these heirs the and others. king inherits, except the property of a Brahmana. which goes to another Brahmana.

YAGNYAVALKYA says: "The heirs of a hermit, an ascetic, and a professed student are, in their order, . hormit, an the preceptor, the virtuous pupil, and the spiritual professed stubrother and associate in holiness."

"Order," that is, the inverse order. Therefore, the preceptor takes the goods of the professed student, who passes away his life in the abode of his spiritual preceptor. The property of an ascetic is taken by

Who the heirs of accolic, and a dont.

Explanation.

Brehmine get the proper-

Summary.

his pupil. The property of a hermit is taken by one of his fellows.

Porsons who have loft the household order cannot inherit.

There is no probability of and ascetics hermits gotting the paternal wealth, according to the following text: "Persons who have left the household order are incapable to receive a share : a hermit is allowed to collect food for his support for a year; and an ascetic has his property, such as a copina, or piece of cloth worn over his privities, and the like."

Exceptions to the rule as to escheats.

SANKHA says: "The inherited property of a woman must not be seized by the king, nor the acquired effects of an infant, nor the wealth of a woman roceived in the six modes of acquisition, nor the patrimony of an infant."

Explanation.

The six modes of acquisition have already been explained, that is, "what is given before the nuptial fire," and so forth.

How long the king should perty of an infant.

MENU says, that the king should guard the property keep the pro- which descends to an infant by inheritance until ho return from the house of his preceptor, or until he have passed his minority.

# **RE-UNION OF SEPARATED PARCENERS.**

VRIHASPATI says : "He is said to be re-united Re-union defined. who, having made a partition, lives again, through affection, and so forth, with his father, his brother. or his paternal uncle.

"Our property shall be common to all." This Rvidence of. kind of agreement is called re-union, and this is to be known by their jointly carrying on any trade. because re-union depends upon the mutual use of the said property.

If one of the re-united persons have acquired, Explanation. acquire, or shall acquire, any property, it shall be Therefore, after parcommon to all the parceners. tition, the re-union of wealth with the father, brother, and paternal uncle, is called re-union. This is the opinion given in the Prokasakara. But this opinion, according to the author of the Ratnakara and others. is erroneous; because, from the import of the term "again," it is clear that, after partition, the mere making of the wealth of the parceners common to all is called re-union. Therefore re-union is possible with any of the co-heirs. But the terms father. brother, uncle, in the preceding text, are superfluous. Modern legislators are of opinion that mere mixture of wealth is called re-union. Therefore, the first principle of re-union is the common consent of both re-un on is the the parties; and it may either be with the co-heirs parties. or with a stranger after the partition of wealth.

But it is not fixed that re-union should take place after partition. If this opinion be granted, re-union minor co he im cannot take place with a brother born after parti-It may be said that re-union cannot take tion. place with such a brother. This cannot be granted. because re-union with such brothers takes place. lf any of the co-heirs be a minor, and be separated by the consent of the mother, re-union may likewise take place with him by the consent of his mother.

The principle consent of the

Πow union اللة م takes place.

# SUCCESSION TO PROPERTY OF RE-UNITED PARCENERS.

No right of promogeniture by re-united brothers.

VRIHASPATI says :-- " If brothers, who have made division a partition, become through mutual affection reunited, and again make a division of their joint property, the first born has no right to a larger portion.

The disposel ther.

" Should any one of them die or any how seclude of share of a himself from the world, his share shall not be lost, but devolve on his uterine brothers.

When his sister may take his share.

"But his sister is next entitled to take the share. This law concerns him who leaves no issue, nor wife, nor father, nor mother.

"That re-united parcener, who singly acquires wealth through learning, valour, and the like, shall take a double share, and the others each a share."

Explanation.

thority.

In a case of re-union, the eldest shall not get a larger share.

But some difference as to the wealth acquired through knowledge and so forth, is explained by the author himself.

Any one: if any of the re-united parceners cannot receive a share, through his death or secession from the household order, his share shall not be lost. Who then shall receive his share ? In reply to this question the sage declares that it devolves on his uterine brothers, that is, those with whom he was re-united. This text consequently Monu's au- coincides with that of MENU: "But his uterine brothers and sisters, and such brothers as were re-united after separation, shall assemble and divide his share equally."

The meaning is that a uterine brother, who is Explanation. not re-united, shall not receive a share.

Some legislators explain the above in the following manner :--- If, after the re-union of co heirs, cure a portion of whose property was divided and the amount of cach co-heir's share was only fixed, one of them die, leaving no son, wife, mother, or father, his entire share shall be taken by his uterine brothers who were united with him.

By the division of a portion is to be understood Replanation that of the entire property, in the same manner as the whole of the rice in a vessel at the time of cooking can be said to be boiled by seeing only a portion of it. The rule, that the uterine brothers shall receive the share of one who of the rule. dies without leaving a son, wife, brother, or father, which has been mentioned above, is applicable in a case like this. This cannot be the case ; for division cannot be said to take place when only the amount of shares is fixed. For that is known to the co-heirs even before partition. But actual Wherein acdivision takes place when the co-heirs come to know consiste. the very things which each of them shall receive as his share. It cannot take place unless the articles that will fall to their share are precisely denoted, in the same way as cows are divided by taking hold of Therefore, on the death of the their horns. original owner, the right of co-heirs to the entire property becomes extinct, or is reduced, and causes the right of them to their respective shares. This is the essential feature of separation.

Partition may be of two sorts, namely, the one Two sorts of in which the property remains entire, but the profit

Another view of the

of the same.

Application

partition.

of it is divided among the co-heirs. By the other, the property is divided into as many shares as there are co-heirs.

A man shall, YA sher re-union, give a share to a born uterine brother, born and take that of one who is dead.

YAGNYAVALKYA says that a man shall, after re-union, give a share to a uterine brother who (jatta) is born, and take that of one who is dead.

Explanations.

HALAYUDHA says that born (jatta) means living.

Others explain the abovementioned text in the following manner:—If, after the re-union of a father with his son, the former, having begotten another son, die, the first son shall give the share of the father to the last born son.

If one of two re-united brothers die, the surviving one shall receive the property of the other. But where there is re-union among step-brothers and, uterine brothers, the uterine shall receive each others' shares.

Hence, sons re-united with their father Shallreceive his share even if he have sons who are separate. Consequently, sons who have re-united with their father shall receive the share of the latter, even if he have sons who live separated. For it is a general rule, that those who live together have a title to the share of such of them as die. Those who are re-united after partition and re-united uterine brothers shall get each others' shares.

Re-union between father and son. Re-union can also take place between father and son. It is also proper that the title of sons to the estate of their father should cease after the division of property, and should revive upon their re-union.

From the text of VRIIIASPATI, to the effect that The forego-ing doctrine re-united brothers receive each others' property, controverted. when they leave no son, and so forth, the decision that re-united sons only shall receive the property of the father cannot be correct.

The foregoing argument is untenable; for the Explanation. text of VRHIASPATI is applicable where sons are born after re-union.

The conclusion is, that sons born after partition Conclusion. shall receive the share of their father, who is re-united with his other sons. In default of sons born after partition, re-united sons or brothers or any such shall get it, and sons who live separated shall have no title to it.

MENU says, that sons born after partition shall Menu on the either get the share of their father, or share the born after partition. property of those who live with him.

From the concluding portion of MENU's saying it is Baplanation. manifest, that sons born after partition get the entire property of their father, and that, in their default, it is received by those who were re-united with him. Therefore the rule, that sons born before partition have no claim to paternal property, and that those born after it have an interest in it, is also reasonable.

It might be argued from the above that, if a man, Inference who has made partition with his sons, re-unite with ed brother his brother and die without leaving any sons other property, and

m 13

not sons who live separate.

than those who are separated, the re-united brother shall get his share, to which his sons have no claim. There can be no objection to this.

Re-united step-brothers others' property.

brother, even when separat- Derty. ed, shall inseparated step brother.

The reason of this rule.

YAGNYAVALKYA speaks of cases where the stepshall take each brothers are re-united and uterine brothers live Re-united step-brothers, but not broseparated. A uterine there who live separated, shall take each others' pro-A uterine brother, even when he is herit, but not separated, shall have the property. But a separated step-brother cannot get it.

> It may be said that the re-union of step-brothers, and "the birth of uterine brothers from the same womb, are the source of their right of inheritance.

Explanation. The preceding text does not admit of the meaning that step-brothers shall not receive each others' property, even when they are re-united, for then re-union becomes unnecessary.

The united have a title to each others' shares.

NAREDA says, that those who are re-united have a title to each others' share. In default of them, their sons obtain the share. In their default others (other kinsmen) who are re-united get it.

Explanation. The meaning of the first half of the foregoing passage cannot be that every one must remember, at the time of re-distribution, what he had at the time of re-union. The entire property having become common by re-union, such an injunction would render the meaning of the text obscure.

Digitized by Google

#### SUCCESSION TO PROPERTY OF RE-UNITED PARCENERS. 307

SANKILA says, if one of the re-united brothers die the property without leaving a son, or become an ascetic, the of a re-united brother, who rest shall divide amongst themselves all his prodies without perty. with the exception of what belongs to his or becomes an These heirs shall have to support his wives wife. for life, if they be chaste. If they prove unchaste, woman has no even their peculiar property shall be forcibly taken righta. away.

If the deceased brother leave any unmarried Explanation. daughter, she shall be supported, till her marriage, out of his wealth. Her husband shall afterwards Therefore, the unchaste shall not support her. only not be supported, but any property given her out of affection shall be forcibly taken away. The expenses of the support and marriage of the unmarried daughter shall be defrayed out of her father's estate.

KATYAYANA says, those who are re-united get There-united each others' property. This rule holds good with beirg. regard to those who lived separated. In default of sons, those who are re-united shall get each others' property.

are reciprocal

. مو

Explanation. The meaning of the latter part of the text is that, when a re-united man has no sons, his property shall then be taken by those who are re-united with him.

The summary is, that if any one die after re-union. Bummary. his property devolves on his living sons, grandsons, or great grandsons, born after partition. In their

leaving a son, ascotio. An unchaste

Disposal of

default, the widow who observes all the sacred rules of widowhood, and gives up the eight kinds of sexual gratifications, shall get it; and the other widows who are chaste shall be supported but shall not get any share. The unmarried daughter of such proprietor shall be maintained out of his property till her marriage, the expenses of which shall also be defrayed out of it.

The father of the deceased is also to be maintained.

If the proprietor leave a father, the latter shall be maintained out of his property, like his chaste wives.

In default of other heirs, the property devolves on re-united parceners.

ter get each others' property.

Surviving

and

In default of the aforesaid heirs, the entire property of the said proprietor shall devolve on those with whom he was re-united.

If there be re-union between step-brothers and On re-union between steputerine brothers, the latter shall get each others' brothers and uterine brothers, the lat- property.

If there be re-union among step-brothers only, and step-brothers uterine the uterine brothers remain separated, the steply share the brothers and the uterine brothers shall equally property of reunited step- share the property of the deceased brothers.

The survivor gots the whole.

brothers.

If only one survive, he shall get the whole.

Division of property, ac-

If any one acquire property after re-union, by guired siter learning and so forth, and add it to the common lowrning, and stock, he will get two parts of it, and the others added to the shall and any ment each common stock, shall get only one part each.

Digitized by Google

## RIGHTS OF FATHER AND SON IN ANCESTRAL PROPERTY.

It is declared in the work called *Prakasa* that immovable and biped property, even if it be selfacquired, cannot be sold or given away without the They who are born, they consent of the sons. who are yet in the womb, and even they who are not yet conceived, require paternal property for Therefore, it is improper to paternal protheir maintenance. deprive them of it.

As a special case, the proprietor can give away, sell, or mortgage the immovable property, on any dispose of crisis, for the support of the family, and principally property. for religious acts. When any common danger happens, or when a daughter of the family is to be married, and the like, even the divided immovable property can be given or sold, by a person who has become separated.

All co-parceners have an equal claim to immovable property, whether they be separated or live together. Therefore, one of them is not competent to make a movable progift of, mortgage, or sell it.

The purport of this passage is, that the property, which has been only nominally divided, remains Therefore a single person common to all the heirs. If the entire property is not its absolute master. bo divided, his act, whatever it be, is lawful.

Some thus explain the foregoing passage. In Another erplauation. order to remove any doubt as to a division having

Immorable and biped proporty, even if it be self-acquired, to be disposed of of with consout of sons.

None to be deprived oſ perly.

When the immovable

Why one of the co-parceners cennot dispose of imperty.

Explanation.

Digitized by Google

taken place, the consent of the divided co-parceners shall be taken when the gift, mortgage, or sale of the immovable property is made.

Illustration from the mode in which transfer of land is made.

The passage, which declares that land goes to another person's possession by the following six ways,-consent of the inhabitants of the village where it is situated, that of kinsmen, that of the chief of the district, and that of shareholders, and the gift of gold and water,-means that the consent of the inhabitants of the village where the land is situate, of the kinsmen, and of the shareholders, is necessary for making the gift the known to all. The consent of the chief of the consent of the district is necessary for removing any dispute with regard to boundaries.

Why consent of the the frontier is necessary.

Why gold and water are presented.

The object of the gift of gold and water is to evince that, though land cannot be sold, but may be mortgaged by consent; yet if, notwithstanding this prohibition, and the blessing and enjoyment of paradise which await both him that gives and him that takes land, there be any necessity for a sale, it shall be sold in the form of a donation, by giving gold and water on the land.

# ON THE ASCERTAINMENT OF PARTITION.

How doubt regarding partition among co-heirs is to be removed.

NAREDA says, if there be any doubt with regard to partition among co-heirs, it may be removed by kinsmen who are the witnesses to it, by the partition deed, and by distinct income and expenditure, and so forth.

When the brothers live together, only one of each set of religious ceremonies is performed by all of But after partition they separately celebrate them. religious rites. Divided partners give or receive things in mortgage, separately perform ceremonies every new moon, and so forth, and contract or give loans, without consulting each other.

Divided brothers can be witnesses to the concerns Divided broof each other, can be sureties for each other, can witnesses make or receive presents ; but undivided ones cannot and act indedo so. Those who perform the abovementioned pendeutly. decds out of their own stock, shall be known as separated, even if there be no partition deed.

the:s can be

When one becomes a witness and another con- Partition denoted by tracts a debt or becomes surety, or when one grants transactions with anah and another receives a loan, they are known to be other. separated.

YAGNYAVALKYA says that, if there be any doubt Yagnyavalkya about partition, it may be removed by kinsmen movel witnesses, the partition deed (yaluka,) different doubt about partition. houses and fields.

Yatuka means separate. It is derived from Explanation. ya which means unmixed.

The purport of the above is that the aforesaid transactions cannot take place without partition. Therefore partition will be determined by them.

Mutual transactions denote interests.

Brothers, husband and wife, father and son, are separation of not competent to be each other's sureties or witnesses, or to contract debts between themselves when they live together.

There should not be divihusband and wife.

APASTAMBA says, that there should not be division sion between between husband and wife.

> From this it may be argued that no mention can he made of it.

The argument is unreasonable, for both the hus-Explanation. band and the wife should maintain the sacred fire; from this ceremony it appears that they have an equal right to this, or, in other words, there can be no division. The man who makes Yaga being invested with the mekhala, or sacred threads made of kusa, and his wife being invested with the rope with which the ploughshare is tied, their priest shall The wife shall look at the sacred ghee, complete it. and the husband shall bind the Veda. According to these Vedic rules, both the husband and the wife have an equal claim to matters concerning the Vedas and to ceremonies prescribed in the Dharma Shastras, to marriage and to daily domestic duties. And both of them equally enjoy God's blessing and suffer the consequences of his displeasure.

> For these reasons, the doctrine that husband and wife have no division of property cannot be correct.

APASTAMBA'S text refers only to ceremonies and Where Apasgagas. 11c has concluded with saying that, after applies. marriage, husband and wife have an equal title in all acts, and are equally heirs of God's blessing, and equally liable to 11is displeasure.

The title of the wife to property is mentioned The title wife The title of 10 in the work called Adhikarana. Hence she may property ia supposititious. claim partition with her husband.

This is not actually the case. For wife, slave, and son have no property of their own. From this it must be known that the wife has no right to property.

In the Adhikarana her title to join in the religious ocremonics of her husband is merely montioned.

religious coromonice with hor husband is only mentional

It is said in the Ratnakara : That division of The Ratnaproperty may take place between husband and wife, Loint, appears from the following text: "Wives should bo made equal sharers."

VRIHASPATI says : Divided partners separately How divid-acquire wealth and spend it, contract debts or lend may be known. money, and purchase or sell things. The meaning of this is that those who purchase things from, or sell them to, each other, are understood to be separated.

n 14

tamba's

· Digitized by Google

# Ther title to

kara on the

# DUTIES AND RIGHTS OF DIVIDED PARCENERS.

Nareda on the duties of holders.

NAREDA speaks of these in the following mandivided share ner :---If any have several sons, and these do not re-unite after partition, they shall separately perform Dharma, Krya, Karma, and Guna.

Dharma means the daily duties, such as hospi-Dharma, Krya, Karma, Guus, defined. tality, and the like.

> Krya means contracting debts or lending money, and so forth.

> Karma means the support of the family, and so forth.

Guna means service.

Divided partners can disproperty at

Divided partners are competent to give away, sell, pose of their or to do what they please with, their respective -sheir pleasure. property, for then they have become its lords.



# OF THE VALIDITY OF MORTGAGES.

YAGNYAVALKYA says, that in matters of dispute In mort ko.. what is last done is valid; but in cases of mortgage, what is first done is valid. gift, or purchase, what is first done is valid.

Dealings of the same kind are here understood.

Hence VRIHASPATI says that, if a person, taking a loan, payable with interest at the rate of two per ly abtaine. cent, finally agree, from some unavoidable circumstances, to pay interest at five per cent, the latter rato shall be valid.

The rate of interest finalpromised

Both these transactions being about interest, Explanation. they are of the same kind.

The last transaction is, as a rule, valid. But cases of mortgage, and so forth, are exceptions to it. mortgaged, ko. Consequently, an article which has been mortgaged, given, or sold, for the second time, shall be returned. Further, if an article be sold after it has been given to another, or be given after it has been sold, the ticle already sale or gift shall not be valid. For how can he, is not valid, who has no proprietary right in an article, sell or give it to another ? Therefore, the law is that the sale or gift of an article by one who is not its owner is null and void.

An article a second time, shall be re turned.

The mie or zift of an ar-

For want of ownership.

· Digitized by Google

# OF THE VALIDITY OF MORTGAGES.

last The transaction is valid.

VRIHASPATI says, that the first rule becomes invalid after the passing of a second, and so forth. In the same manner, the validity of the last transactions is greater than that of any preceding one.

The mortgage of a deor the sale of a mortgaged ono, is valid.

fined,

If a person mortgage a deposited articlo, or sell publied article a mortgaged one, the last act is valid.

Deposit means the act of keeping any thing in Deposit dethe care of another mortgagee.

Whereas mortgage signifies the act of placing it Mortgage defined. with another, as if he were its owner. Of these two mortgage is the more valid. Sale and other acts are more valid than mortgage, since ownership is thereby destroyed.

Mortgage is not so valid as salo.

As mortgage does not extinguish the proprietary right, it is not so valid as sale.

The first salo is valid.

Salo, and so forth, being the extinguishment of the proprietary right of the vendor, if he re-sell an article the first act shall be valid.

first The mortgage · valid.

Mortgage, and so forth, do not extinguish proprie-But if an article be at the same time tary right. twice mortgaged, the first mortgage shall be valid.

Acts which extinguish ownorship invalidatoothers.

These rules are derived from practice.

Acts that extinguish ownership invalidate those that do not.

This is the purport of all that has been said above: such is the manner, it is observed in the Ratnakara, in which men act in such cases.

VRHASPATI says that, if an article be mortgaged, sold, and given away, on the same day, and if a tincous sole, doubt arise as to whether the sale, or mortgage, or gin of the gift first took place, all three acts shall be valid. The equally valid. two interested parties shall divide the article, in proportion to the money they have paid, and the These three parties shall equally third to his sharo. divide the disputed property.

HYLAUDHA says, the mortgagee's share is not valid, because the mortgage itself is not valid.

# GAMBLING WITH DICE AND LIVING CREATURES.

MENU says, that gambling with inanimate things, such as dice, and the like, is called Dyuta and that with animate objects called Samahvaya.

VRIHASPATI says, that legislators define Samahvaya to be the act of causing birds, sheep, deer, and the like, to fight, by laying wagers.

MENU says, Both these kinds of gaming are open robbery; consequently the king shall always be careful to check thom.

The king shall punish those who engage in and those who encourage such amusements.

Punishment is also to be inflicted on those Sudras, who invest themselves with the sacred sume the sac thread of the twice-born classes.

KATYAYANA says, that persons should never en-The evils of multing with gage in gambling with dice : it inflames avarice and dime

Vrihamiti doclarce simulmortgage, and

Halayudha's opinion againet tho mortgageo.

Definition of torus.

۰.

Menu's denuncistion of animal fights OL WARCTS.

The actors and abettors to be punishod.

Budras, likecred thread.

#### 318 GAMBLING WITH DICE AND LIVING CREATURES.

anger, is the source of evil, is cruel, destroys human wealth, and gives birth to quarrels, as poison comes out of the mouths of serpents. The king shall therefore check it in his kingdom.

Gamb'ing tolerated, under arrest of thieves.

VRIHASPATI says, that MENU has forbidden the rules, for the amusement because truth, purity, and wealth are destroyed by it. Others have made provision for it for the arrest of thieves. Government officers may take part in its proceeds and conduct it. YAGNYAVALKYA says, gambling with dice should be allowed under the superintendence of a Government officer, in order to find out thieves.

This rule also applies in animal fights.

This rule is also applicable in the case of the the case of amusement called Samahvaya.

10-Rulos garding. the wagers laid.

VRIHASPATI says, If the dependants of a weathy man bo defeated in promoting the amusement, the latter shall pay the amount of the wager. The wager should be publicly laid.

Fraudulent gamblers be banished. Secret gampunished.

Those who fraudulently gamble with dice shall be banished. NAREDA says : He who gambles with blore to be dice without the king's knowledge, shall not get the stake of the game, nay he shall be fined.

Wagers laid for amusement in a game, without Explanation. the king's knowledge, shall not be allowed to be received by the gamblers, who shall moreover be fined.

If the game was carried on with the knowledge Authorised games. of the king, the stake shall only once be allowed.

But if eatables be staked, they shall be allowed Estables staked. once.

Persons may at times gamble for amusement; Addiction to ambling probut they shall not be allowed to addict themselves hibited. to it.

VRIHASPATI says, the king shall punish those gamblo who gamble to cheat others, those who embezzle chest others. to., shall be revenue, and those who deceive the public. punished.

VISHNU says, the hands of those who are addicted to gambling with dice, for the sake of cheating to be cut off. others, shall be cut off.

The thumbs, and the fingers adjacent to them, of those who gamble under any pretence, shall be cut off.

says, the king shall banish YAGNYAVALKYA Such persons shall be banthese two sorts of persons after having branded them. isled.

The abovementioned punishments are to be inflicted according to the nature of the crime.

The punishmenta to he suited to the

crime.

Digitized by Google

The hands gamblers

They

who

10

# PUNISIIMENT THE SUPPORT OF LAW.

The punishment of disobedience. KATYAYANA says : "Ile who does not obey the laws enacted by the king shall be condemned by all and punished by the king."

The quality of punishment. MENU says, "In the beginning God created punishment, which is the protector of all creatures, like *Dharma*, the son of the Almighty and His glory."

It koops all in the path of duty. From fear of punishment, all objects, whether animate or inanimate, can be enjoyed, and all remain in the path of duty.

Punishment should be sppropriate. Taking into proper consideration the country, time, means of learning, fit punishment is to be inflicted on ovil-doors.

The might and benefit of punishment. Punishment is the king himself, the head and ruler, and security for the four Ashrams and for Dharma.

The effects of punishment.

It governs all subjects and defends them from danger. It watches the sleeping. Lawyers call it *Dharma*. When it is inflicted after proper consideration, it renders satisfaction to all. But when it is inconsiderately inflicted, it becomes the destruction of all things.



of the impuguilty, the powerful oppress the poor, as fishes are nity of crime roasted by being pierced with spits, and as the crows take away the bread of yagas, and the dogs lick the ghee of yagas. None can have power over another, and the good gradually become bad.

Men in general are submissive to punishment. Innocent men are rare. The whole world has happinese he world. become a place of enjoyment owing to the fear of punishment.

The deitics, demons, celestial musicians, fiends, By deities By it the and birds, and snakes, promote the happiness of this superior beings promote the happiness world through fear of punishment.

When punishment is unjustly inflicted or not Evile inflicted at all, all classes addict themselves to the want of it. wickedness, all rules are reversed, and all persons become avaricious.

When dark-hued and red-eyed punishment goes The benefit derived from about for the destruction of wickedness, the people is do not become unhappy.

If a king, who speaks truth, administers impartial The greatjustice, is intelligent, and versed in the ways of just king. acquiring virtue and wealth, and of enjoying happiness, justly impose such punishment, his virtue, wealth, and happiness increase.

If a king be addicted to enjoyment through The rain of the weak king. mental weakness, he is ruined by punishment.

If the king through negligence do not punish the

PUNISHMENT THE SUPPORT OF LAW.

Punishment promotes the

that from

The effects

Justice essential to punishment.

Men who have not Punishment is invincible. heen able to control their minds, cannot inflict it.

The ruin of the unjust king.

Punishment ruins a king who is void of virtue, together with his friends, that is, his sons.

The king "who allows oppression, shares the crime."

If a king do not protect his subjects, he participates in a sixth part of the crimes committed by his people.

By protecting his subshare of their virtues.

If he duly protect them, he obtains a sixth part jects, he gains of the virtue gained by his subjects by the study of the Vedas, by making yagas, gifts, and religious services.

Such acts are equivalent to the most valuable daily sacrifices.

ish.

If the king protect his subjects, conformably to the Shastras, and execute those who are worthy of capital punishment, he will have daily performed sacrifices the fee of which is a lakh.

It has been said that the king alone has the right The king has the sole right to pun- of inflicting punishment.

That king shall obtain a seat in the kingdom of The spiritual happiness Indra, in whose kingdom theft, adultery, abusive, of the king who suppresses wickedness. rashness, and oppression are unknown.

The king who performs his duty is the king of kings.

It is the duty of a king to check the abovenamed evils in his kingdom. That king who performs his duty becomes the king of kings, and is praised by the whole world.

-